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When we pause to reflect on Ramanujan’s life, we see that there were certain events that seemingly were necessary in order that Ramanujan and his mathematics be brought to posterity. One of these was V. Ramaswamy Aiyer’s founding of the Indian Mathematical Society on 4 April 1907, for had he not launched the Indian Mathematical Society, then the next necessary episode, namely, Ramanujan’s meeting with Ramaswamy Aiyer at his office in Tirtukkoilur in 1910, would also have not taken place. Ramanujan had carried with him one of his notebooks, and Ramaswamy Aiyer not only recognized the creative spirit that produced its contents, but he also had the wisdom to contact others, such as R. Ramachandra Rao, in order to bring Ramanujan’s mathematics to others for appreciation and support. The large mathematical community that has thrived on Ramanujan’s discoveries for nearly a century owes a huge debt to V. Ramaswamy Aiyer.

1. THE BEGINNING. Toward the end of the first paper [57], [58, p. 36] that Ramanujan published in England, at the beginning of Section 13, he writes, “I shall conclude this paper by giving a few series for $1/\pi$.” (In fact, Ramanujan concluded his paper a couple of pages later with another topic: formulas and approximations for the perimeter of an ellipse.) After sketching his ideas, which we examine in detail in Sections 3 and 9, Ramanujan records three series representations for $1/\pi$.

As is customary, set

$$(a)_0 := 1, \quad (a)_n := a(a + 1) \cdots (a + n - 1), \quad n \geq 1.$$ Let

$$A_n := \frac{(\frac{1}{4})_n^3}{n!^3}, \quad n \geq 0.$$ (1.1)

**Theorem 1.1.** If $A_n$ is defined by (1.1), then

$$\frac{4}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (6n + 1) A_n \frac{1}{4^n},$$ (1.2)

$$\frac{16}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (42n + 5) A_n \frac{1}{2^{6n}},$$ (1.3)

$$\frac{32}{\pi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( (42\sqrt{5} + 30)n + 5\sqrt{5} - 1 \right) A_n \frac{1}{2^{6n}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \right)^{8n}.$$ (1.4)

*This paper was originally solicited by the Editor of Mathematics Student to commemorate the founding of the Indian Mathematical Society in its centennial year. Mathematics Student is one of the two official journals published by the Indian Mathematical Society, with the other being the Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society. The authors thank the Editor of Mathematics Student for permission to reprint the article in this MONTHLY with minor changes from the original.
The first two formulas, (1.2) and (1.3), appeared in the Walt Disney film, *High School Musical*, starring Vanessa Anne Hudgens, who plays an exceptionally bright high school student named Gabriella Montez. Gabriella points out to her teacher that she had incorrectly written the left-hand side of (1.3) as \(8/\pi\) instead of \(16/\pi\) on the blackboard. After first claiming that Gabriella is wrong, her teacher checks (possibly Ramanujan's *Collected Papers*) and admits that Gabriella is correct. Formula (1.2) was correctly recorded on the blackboard.

After offering the three formulas for \(1/\pi\) given above, at the beginning of Section 14 [57], [58, p. 37], Ramanujan claims, “There are corresponding theories in which \(q\) is replaced by one or other of the functions”

\[
q_r := q_r(x) := \exp \left( -\pi \csc(\pi/r) \frac{\pFq{3}{1}{\frac{1}{r}, \frac{r-1}{r}; 1; 1-x}}{\pFq{2}{1}{\frac{1}{r}, \frac{r-1}{r}; 1; x}} \right),
\]

(1.5)

where \(r = 3, 4,\) or \(6,\) and where \(\pFq{2}{1}\) denotes one of the hypergeometric functions \(pF_{p-1},\) \(p \geq 1,\) which are defined by

\[
pFq{p}{p-1}{a_1, \ldots, a_p; b_1, \ldots, b_{p-1}; x} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \cdots (b_{p-1})_n} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad |x| < 1.
\]

(The meaning of \(q\) is explained in Section 3.) Ramanujan then offers 14 further series representations for \(1/\pi.\) Of these, 10 belong to the quartic theory, i.e., for \(r = 4;\) 2 belong to the cubic theory, i.e., for \(r = 3;\) and 2 belong to the sextic theory, i.e., for \(r = 6.\) Ramanujan never returned to the “corresponding theories” in his published papers, but six pages in his second notebook [59] are devoted to developing these theories, with all of the results on these six pages being proved in a paper [16] by Berndt, S. Bhargava, and F. G. Garvan. That the classical hypergeometric function \(\pFq{2}{1}\) in the classical theory of elliptic functions could be replaced by one of the three hypergeometric functions above and concomitant theories developed is one of the many incredibly ingenious and useful ideas bequeathed to us by Ramanujan. The development of these theories is far from easy and is an active area of contemporary research.

All 17 series for \(1/\pi\) were discovered by Ramanujan in India before he arrived in England, for they can be found in his notebooks [59], which were written prior to Ramanujan’s departure for England. In particular, (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) can be found on page 355 in his second notebook and the remaining 14 series are found in his third notebook [59, p. 378]; see also [14, pp. 352–354]. It is interesting that (1.2), (1.3), and (1.4) are also located on a page published with Ramanujan’s lost notebook [60, p. 370]; see also [3, Chapter 15].

2. THE MAIN ACTORS FOLLOWING IN THE FootSTEPS OF RAMANUJAN. Fourteen years after the publication of [57], the first mathematician to address Ramanujan’s formulas was Sarvadamon Chowla [37], [38], [39, pp. 87–91, 116–119], who gave the first published proof of a general series representation for \(1/\pi\) and used it to derive (1.2) of Ramanujan’s series for \(1/\pi\) [57, Eq. (28)]. We briefly discuss Chowla’s ideas in Section 4.

Ramanujan’s series were then forgotten by the mathematical community until November, 1985, when R. William Gosper, Jr. used one of Ramanujan’s series, namely,

\[
\frac{9801}{\pi \sqrt{8}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4n)!}{(n!)^4} \frac{1103 + 26390n}{3964n},
\]

(2.1)
to calculate 17,526,100 digits of \( \pi \), which at that time was a world record. There was only one problem with his calculation—(2.1) had not yet been proved. However, a comparison of Gosper’s calculation of the digits of \( \pi \) with the previous world record held by Y. Kanada made it extremely unlikely that (2.1) was incorrect.

In 1987, Jonathan and Peter Borwein [23] succeeded in proving all 17 of Ramanujan’s series for \( 1/\pi \). In a subsequent series of papers [24], [25], [29], they established several further series for \( 1/\pi \), with one of their series [29] yielding roughly fifty digits of \( \pi \) per term. The Borweins were also keen on calculating the digits of \( \pi \), and accounts of their work can be found in [30], [28], and [26].

At about the same time as the Borweins were devising their proofs, David and Gregory Chudnovsky [40] also derived series representations for \( 1/\pi \) and, in particular, used their series

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} = 12 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(6n)!}{(n!)^3 (3n)!} \frac{13591409 + 545140134n}{(640320)^{3n+3/2}} 
\]

(2.2)

to calculate a world record 2,260,331,336 digits of \( \pi \). The series (2.2) yields 14 digits of \( \pi \) per term. A popular account of the Chudnovskys’ calculations can be found in a paper written for The New Yorker [56].

The third author of the present paper and his coauthors (Berndt, S. H. Chan, A. Gee, W.-C. Liaw, Z.-G. Liu, V. Tan, and H. Verrill) in a series of papers [19], [31], [33], [34], [36] extended the ideas of the Borweins, in particular, without using Clausen’s formula in [31] and [36], and derived general hypergeometric-like formulas for \( 1/\pi \). We devote Section 8 of our survey to discussing some of their results.

Stimulated by the work and suggestions of the third author, the first two authors [9], [7] systematically returned to Ramanujan’s development in [57] and employed his ideas in order not only to prove most of Ramanujan’s original representations for \( 1/\pi \) but also to establish a plethora of new such identities as well. In another paper [8], motivated by the work of Jesús Guillera [48]–[53], who both experimentally and rigorously discovered many new series for both \( 1/\pi \) and \( 1/\pi^2 \), the first two authors continued to follow Ramanujan’s ideas and devised series representations for \( 1/\pi^2 \).

In the survey which follows, we delineate the main ideas in Sections 3, 6, 7, 8, and 9, where the ideas of Ramanujan, the Borwein brothers, the Chudnovsky brothers, Chan and his coauthors, and the present authors, respectively, are discussed.

3. RAMANUJAN’S IDEAS. To describe Ramanujan’s ideas, we need several definitions from the classical theory of elliptic functions, which, in fact, we use throughout the paper. The complete elliptic integral of the first kind is defined by

\[
K := K(k) := \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\varphi}{\sqrt{1-k^2 \sin^2 \varphi}},
\]

(3.1)

where \( k, \; 0 < k < 1, \) denotes the modulus. Furthermore, \( K' := K(k') \), where \( k' := \sqrt{1-k^2} \) is the complementary modulus. The complete elliptic integral of the second kind is defined by

\[
E := E(k) := \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1-k^2 \sin^2 \varphi} \, d\varphi.
\]

(3.2)
If \( q = \exp(-\pi K'/K) \), then one of the central theorems in the theory of elliptic functions asserts that [13, p. 101, Entry 6]

\[
\varphi^2(q) = \frac{2}{\pi} K(k) = 2F_{1} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; k^{2} \right), \tag{3.3}
\]

where \( \varphi(q) \) in Ramanujan’s notation (or \( \theta_3(q) \) in the classical notation) denotes the classical theta function defined by

\[
\varphi(q) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{j^2}. \tag{3.4}
\]

Note that, in the notation (1.5), \( q = q_2 \) and \( x = k^2 \). The second equality in (3.3) follows from expanding the integrand in a binomial series and integrating termwise. Conversely, it is also valuable to regard \( k \) as a function of \( q \), and so we write \( k = k(q) \).

Let \( K, K', L, \) and \( L' \) denote complete elliptic integrals of the first kind associated with the moduli \( k, k', \ell, \) and \( \ell' \), respectively. Suppose that, for some positive integer \( n \),

\[
\sqrt[n]{\frac{K'}{K}} = \frac{L'}{L}. \tag{3.5}
\]

A modular equation of degree \( n \) is an equation involving \( k \) and \( \ell \) that is induced by (3.5). Modular equations are always algebraic equations. An example of a modular equation of degree 7 may be found later in (9.18). Alternatively, by (3.3), (3.5) can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions. We often say that the multiplier \( m = m(q) \) is defined by

\[
m := m(q) := \frac{2F_{1} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; k^{2}(q) \right)}{2F_{1} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \ell^{2}(q) \right)). \tag{3.6}
\]

We note here that, by (3.3), (3.6) and [13, Entry 3, p. 98; Entry 25(vii), p. 40], \( m(q) \) and \( k^2(q) \) can be represented by

\[
m(q) = \frac{\varphi^2(q)}{\varphi^2(q^n)} \quad \text{and} \quad k^2(q) = 16q \frac{\psi^4(q^2)}{\varphi^2(q)},
\]

respectively, where \( \varphi(q) \) is defined by (3.4) and

\[
\psi(q) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(j+1)/2}.
\]

Thus, modular equations can also be written as theta function identities.

Ramanujan begins Section 13 of [57] with a special case of Clausen’s formula [23, p. 178, Proposition 5.6(b)],

\[
\frac{4K^2}{\pi^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_j^2}{(j!)^2} (2jk')^{2j} = 3F_{2} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1; (2kk')^2 \right), \tag{3.7}
\]
which can be found as Entry 13 of Chapter 11 in his second notebook [59] [12, p. 58]. Except for economizing notation, we now quote Ramanujan. “Hence we have

\[ q^{1/3}(q^2; q^2)_{\infty} = \left( \frac{1}{4} kk' \right)^{2/3} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\\frac{1}{j})^3}{(j^3)} (2kk')^{2j}, \] (3.8)

where

\[ (a; q)_{\infty} := (1-a)(1-aq)(1-aq^2) \cdots \] (3.9)

Logarithmically differentiating both sides in (3.8) with respect to \( k \), we can easily shew that

\[ 1 - 24 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j q^{2j}}{1 - q^{2j}} = (1 - 2k^2) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (3j + 1) \frac{(\\frac{1}{j})^3}{(j^3)} (2kk')^{2j}. \] (3.10)

But it follows from

\[ 1 - \frac{3}{\pi \sqrt{n}} - 24 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j}{e^{2\pi j \sqrt{n}} - 1} = \left( \frac{K}{\pi} \right)^2 A(k) \] (3.11)

where \( A(k) \) is a certain type of algebraic number, that, when \( q = e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}} \), \( n \) being a rational number, the left-hand side of (3.10) can be expressed in the form

\[ A \left( \frac{2K}{\pi} \right)^2 + \frac{B}{\pi}, \]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are algebraic numbers expressible by surds. Combining (3.7) and (3.10) in such a way as to eliminate the term \( (2K/\pi)^2 \), we are left with a series for \( 1/\pi \).” He then gives the three examples (1.2)–(1.4).

Ramanujan’s ideas will be described in more detail in Section 9. However, in closing this section, we note that the series on the left-hand sides of (3.10) and (3.11) is Ramanujan’s Eisenstein series \( P(q^2) \), with \( q = e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}} \) in the latter instance, where

\[ P(q) := 1 - 24 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j q^{j}}{1 - q^{j}}, \quad |q| < 1. \] (3.12)

Ramanujan’s derivation of (3.11) arises firstly from the transformation formula for \( P(q) \), which in turn is an easy consequence of the transformation formula for the Dedekind eta-function, given in (9.11) below. The second ingredient in deriving (3.11) is an identity for \( n P(q^{2n}) - P(q^2) \) in terms of the moduli \( k \) and \( \ell \), where \( \ell \) has degree \( n \) over \( k \). Formula (3.8) follows from a standard theorem in elliptic functions that Ramanujan also recorded in his notebooks [59], [13, p. 124, Entry 12(iii)].

4. SARVADAMAN CHOWLA. Chowla’s ideas reside in the classical theory of elliptic functions and are not unlike those that the Borweins employed several years later. We now briefly describe Chowla’s approach [37], [38], [39], pp. 87–91, 116–119. Using classical formulas of Cayley and Legendre relating the complete elliptic
integrals $K$ and $E$, defined by (3.1) and (3.2), respectively, he specializes them by setting $K/K' = \sqrt{n}$. He then defines
\[ S_r := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^r \frac{(j^3)}{(j!)^3} (2kk')^{2j} \]
(4.1)
and
\[ T_r := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^r \frac{(j^3)}{(j!)^3} (2kk')^{2j}. \]
(4.2)
Chowla then writes “Then it is known that, when $k \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$,”
\[ \frac{2K}{\pi} = 1 + T_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4K^2}{\pi^2} = 1 + S_0. \]
(4.3)
Chowla does not give his source for either formula, but the second formula in (4.3), as noted above, is a special case of Clausen’s formula (3.7). The first formula is a special case of Kummer’s quadratic transformation \[23\], pp. 179–180], which was also known to Ramanujan. Each of the formulas of (4.3) is differentiated twice with respect to $k$, and, without giving details, Chowla concludes that if $K/K' = \sqrt{n}$, then
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{K} &= a_1 + b_1 T_0 + c_1 T_1, \\
\frac{K}{\pi} &= d_1 T_1 + e_1 T_2, \\
\frac{1}{\pi} &= a_2 S_0 + b_2 S_1, \\
\frac{1}{K^2} &= a_3 + b_3 S_0 + c_3 S_1 + d_3 S_2, 
\end{align*}
\]
“where $a_1, b_1, \ldots$ are algebraic numbers.” He then sets $n = 3$ and $k = \sin(\pi/12)$ in each of the four formulas above to deduce, in particular, identity (1.2) from the second formula above.

5. R. WILIAM GOSPER, JR. As we indicated in the Introduction, in November, 1985, Gosper employed a lisp machine at Symbolics and Ramanujan’s series (2.1) to calculate 17,526,100 digits of $\pi$, which at that time was a world record. (During the 1980s and 1990s, Symbolics made a lisp-based workstation running an object-oriented programming environment. Unfortunately, the machines were too expensive for the needs of most customers, and the company went bankrupt before it could squeeze the architecture onto a chip.) Of the 17 series found by Ramanujan, this one converges the fastest, giving about 8 digits of $\pi$ per term. At the time of Gosper’s calculation, the world record for digits of $\pi$ was about 16 million digits calculated by Y. Kanada. Before the Borwein brothers had later found a “conventional” proof of Ramanujan’s series (2.1), they had shown that either (2.1) yields an exact formula for $\pi$ or that it differs from $\pi$ by more than $10^{-300000}$. Thus, by demonstrating that his calculation of $\pi$ agreed with that of Kanada, Gosper effectively had completed the Borweins’ first proof of (2.1). However, Gosper’s primary goal was not to eclipse Kanada’s record but to study the (simple) continued fraction expansion of $\pi$ for which he calculated
help one identify

pansions is a view shared by the Chudnovsky brothers [43]. Continued fraction expansions can often be used to distinguish a constant from others, while decimal expansions likely will be unable to do so. For example, the simple continued fraction of \( \pi \), namely,

\[
e = 2 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \cdots}}}}}
\]

has a pattern. On the contrary, taking a large random string of digits of \( \pi \) would not help one identify \( \pi \). It is an open problem if the simple continued fraction of \( \pi \), namely,

\[
\pi = 3 + \frac{1}{7 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{292 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \cdots}}}}}}}}}
\]

has a pattern.

Gosper also derived a hypergeometric-like series representation for \( \pi \), namely,

\[
\pi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{50j - 6}{(j/2)^j}, \tag{5.1}
\]

which can be used to calculate any particular binary digit of \( \pi \). See a paper by G. Almkvist, C. Krattenthaler, and J. Petersson [1] for a proof of (5.1) as well as generalizations, which include the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** For each integer \( k \geq 1 \), there exists a polynomial \( S_k(j) \) in \( j \) of degree \( 4k \) with rational coefficients such that

\[
\pi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{S_k(j)}{(4j)(-4)^{kj}}.
\]
6. JONATHAN AND PETER BORWEIN. One key to the work of both Ramanujan and Chowla in their derivations of formulas for $1/\pi$ is Clausen’s formula for the square of a complete elliptic integral of the first kind or, by (3.3), for the square of the hypergeometric function $\,_{2}F_{1}(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; 1; k^2)$. The aforementioned rendition (3.7) of Clausen’s formula is not the most general version of Clausen’s formula, namely,

\[
\,_{2}F_{1}(a, b; a + b + \frac{1}{2}; z) = \,_{3}F_{2}(2a, 2b, a + b; a + b + \frac{1}{2}, 2a + 2b; z).
\]  

Indeed, the work of many authors who have proved Ramanujan-like series for $1/\pi$ ultimately rests on special cases of (6.1). In particular, squares of certain other hypergeometric functions lead one to Ramanujan’s alternative theories of elliptic functions.

A second key step is to find another formula for $(K/\pi)^{2}$, which also contains another term involving $1/\pi$. Combining the two formulas to eliminate the term $(K/\pi)^{2}$ then produces a hypergeometric-type series representation for $1/\pi$. Evidently, unaware of Chowla’s earlier work, the Borweins proceeded in a similar fashion and used Legendre’s relation [23, p. 24]

\[
E(k)K'(k) + E'(k)K(k) - K(k)K'(k) = \frac{\pi}{2}
\]

and other relations between elliptic integrals to produce such formulas.

Having derived a series representation for $1/\pi$, one now faces the problem of evaluating the moduli and elliptic integrals that appear in the formulas. If $q = e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}}$, then for certain positive integers $n$ one can evaluate the requisite quantities. This leads us to the definition of the Ramanujan–Weber class invariants. After Ramanujan, set

\[
\chi(q) := (-q; q^2)_{\infty}, \quad |q| < 1,
\]

where $(a; q)_{\infty}$ is defined by (3.9). If $n$ is a positive rational number and $q = e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}}$, then the class invariants $G_n$ and $g_n$ are defined by

\[
G_n := 2^{-1/4}q^{-1/24}\chi(q) \quad \text{and} \quad g_n := 2^{-1/4}q^{-1/24}\chi(-q).
\]

In the notation of H. Weber [63], $G_n = 2^{-1/4}(\sqrt{-n})$ and $g_n = 2^{-1/4}j_1(\sqrt{-n})$. As mentioned in Section 3, $k_n := k(e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}})$ is called the singular modulus. In his voluminous work on modular equations, Ramanujan sets $\alpha := k^2$ and $\beta := \ell^2$. Accordingly, we set $\alpha_n := k_n^2$. Because [13, p. 124, Entries 12(v), (vi)]

\[
\chi(q) = 2^{1/6}[\alpha(1 - \alpha)/q]^{-1/24} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(-q) = 2^{1/6}[\alpha(1 - \alpha)^{-2}/q]^{-1/24},
\]

it follows from (6.3) that

\[
G_n = [4\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)]^{-1/24} \quad \text{and} \quad g_n = [4\alpha_n(1 - \alpha_n)^{-2}]^{-1/24}.
\]

In the form (6.4), the class invariant $G_n$ appears on the right-hand sides of (3.7) and (3.10), and consequently the values of $G_n$ for several values of $n$ are important in deriving certain series for $1/\pi$. It is known that if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ then $G_n$ generates the Hilbert class field of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ [32, Cor. 5.2], and this fact is very useful in evaluating $G_n$. When we say that a series for $1/\pi$ is associated with the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$, we mean that the constants involved in the series are related to the generators of the Hilbert class field of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$. 
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Singular moduli and class invariants are actually algebraic numbers. In general, as \( n \) increases, the corresponding series for \( 1/\pi \) converges more rapidly. The series (2.2) is associated with the imaginary quadratic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-163}) \).

The Borweins' proofs of all 17 of Ramanujan's series for \( 1/\pi \) can be found in their book [23]. Their derivations arise from several general hypergeometric-like series representations for \( 1/\pi \) given in terms of singular moduli, class invariants, and complete elliptic integrals [23, pp. 181–184]. Another account of their work, but with fewer details, can be found in their paper [24] commemorating the centenary of Ramanujan's birth. Further celebrating the 100th anniversary of Ramanujan's birth, the Borweins derived further series for \( 1/\pi \) in [25]. The series in this paper correspond to imaginary quadratic fields with class number 2, with one of their series corresponding to \( n = 427 \) and yielding about 25 digits of \( \pi \) per term. In [29], the authors derived series for \( 1/\pi \) arising from fields with class number 3, with a series corresponding to \( n = 907 \) yielding about 37 or 38 digits of \( \pi \) per term. Their record is a series associated with a field of class number 4 giving about 50 digits of \( \pi \) per term; here, \( n = 1555 \) [28]. The latter paper gives the details of what we have written in this paragraph.

The Borweins have done an excellent job of communicating their work to a wide audience. Besides their paper [28], see their paper in this MONTHLY [30], with D. H. Bailey, on computing \( \pi \), especially via work of Ramanujan, and their delightful paper in the Scientific American [26], which has been reprinted in [22, pp. 187–199] and [11, pp. 588–595].

7. DAVID AND GREGORY CHUDNOVSKY. In our Introduction we mentioned that the Chudnovsky brothers, Gregory and David, used (2.2) to calculate over 2 billion digits of \( \pi \). They had first used (2.2) to calculate 1,130,160,664 digits of \( \pi \) in the fall of 1989 on a “borrowed” computer. They then built their own computer, “m zero,” described colorfully in [56], and set a world record of 2,260,321,336 digits of \( \pi \). The world record for digits of \( \pi \) has been broken several times since then, and since it is not the purpose of this paper to delineate this computational history, we refrain from mentioning further records.

The Chudnovskys, among others, have extensively examined their calculations for patterns. It is a long outstanding conjecture that \( \pi \) is normal. In particular, for each \( k \), \( 0 \leq k \leq 9 \),

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\text{# of appearances of } k \text{ in the first } N \text{ digits of } \pi}{N} = \frac{1}{10}.
\]

The Chudnovskys’ calculations, and all subsequent calculations of Kanada, lend credence to this conjecture. As a consequence, the average of the digits over a long interval should be approximately 4.5. The Chudnovskys found that for the first billion digits the average stays a bit on the high side, while for the next billion digits, the average hovers a bit on the low side. Their paper [43] gives an interesting statistical analysis of the digits up to one billion. For example, strings of consecutive digits of maximal lengths between 8 and 10 occur for each digit.

The Chudnovskys deduced (2.2) from a general series representation for \( 1/\pi \), which we will describe after making several definitions. For \( \tau \in \mathcal{H} = \{ \tau : \text{Im } \tau > 0 \} \) and each positive integer \( k \), the Eisenstein series \( E_{2k}(\tau) \) is defined by

\[
E_{2k}(\tau) := 1 - \frac{4k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{2k-1}(j)q^j, \quad q = e^{\pi i \tau},
\]

\[ (7.1) \]
where $B_k$, $k \geq 0$, denotes the $k$th Bernoulli number and $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$. Klein’s absolute modular $J$-invariant is defined by

$$J(\tau) := \frac{E_4(\tau)}{E_6(\tau) - E_4(\tau)}, \quad \tau \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (7.2)$$

It is well known that if $\alpha(q) := k^2(q)$, where $k$ is the modulus, then [13, pp. 126–127, Entry 13]

$$J(2\tau) = \frac{4 \left(1 - \alpha(q) + \alpha^2(q)\right)^3}{27\alpha^2(q)(1 - \alpha(q))^2}. \quad (7.3)$$

Thus, (6.4) and (7.3) show that, when $q = e^{-\pi \sqrt{\nu}}$, singular moduli, class invariants, and the modular $J$-invariant are intimately related. Now define

$$s_2(\tau) := \frac{E_4(\tau)}{E_6(\tau)} \left(\frac{E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi} \text{Im}(\tau)}{E_2(\tau) - \frac{3}{\pi} \text{Im}(\tau)}\right).$$

We are now ready to state the Chudnovskys’ main formula [44, p. 122]. If $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{-n})/2$, then

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{6} (1 - s_2(\tau)) + \mu \right\} \frac{(6\mu)!}{(3\mu)! \mu!} \frac{1}{1728^\mu} J^\mu(\tau) = \frac{\sqrt{-J(\tau)}}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - J(\tau)}}. \quad (7.4)$$

The Chudnovskys’ series (2.2) is the special case $n = 163$ of (7.4).

The Chudnovsky brothers developed and extended Ramanujan’s ideas in directions different from those of other authors. They obtained hypergeometric-like representations for other transcendental constants and proved, for example, that

$$\frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Gamma^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}$$

are transcendental [42]. Their advances involve the “second” solution of the hypergeometric differential equation. Recall from the theory of linear differential equations that $\,_2F_1(a, b; c; x)$ is a solution of a certain second-order linear differential equation with a regular singular point at the origin [5, p. 1]. A second linearly independent solution is generally not analytic at the origin, and in [43] and [44, pp. 124–126], the Chudnovsky brothers establish new hypergeometric series identities involving the latter function. Their identities in this paper lead to hypergeometric-like series representations for $\pi$, including Gosper’s formula (5.1). In [45], the authors provide a lengthy list of such examples, including

$$45\pi + 644 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{8^j(430j^2 - 6240j - 520)}{\binom{4j}{2j}}.$$

The Chudnovsky brothers have also employed series for $1/\pi$ to derive theorems on irrationality measures $\mu(\alpha)$, which are defined by

$$\mu(\alpha) := \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : 0 < \left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q^\mu} \text{ has only finitely many solutions } \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q} \right\}.$$
By the famous Thue–Siegel–Roth Theorem [6, p. 66],

$$\mu(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is rational}, \\
2, & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is algebraic but not rational}, \\
\geq 2, & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is transcendental}.
\end{cases}$$

Although the Chudnovskys can obtain irrationality measures for various constants, the one they obtain for $\pi$ is not as good as one would like. Currently, the world record for the irrationality measure of $\pi$ is held by M. Hata [54], who proved that $\mu(\pi) \leq 8.016045 \ldots$. Their methods are much better for obtaining irrationality measures for expressions, such as $\pi/\sqrt{640320}$, arising in their series (2.2). See also a paper by W. Zudilin [64].

8. RAMANUJAN’S CUBIC CLASS INVARIANT AND HIS ALTERNATIVE THEORIES. In Sections 3, 4, 6, and 7, we emphasized how Ramanujan–Weber class invariants and singular moduli were of central importance for Ramanujan and others who followed in deriving series for $1/\pi$. We also stressed in Section 1, in particular, in the discourse after (1.5), that Ramanujan’s remarkable idea of replacing the classical hypergeometric function $2F_1(\tfrac{1}{3}, \tfrac{2}{3}; 1; x)$ by $2F_1(\tfrac{1}{3}, \tfrac{2}{3}; 1; x)$, $r = 3, 4, 6$, leads to new and beautiful alternative theories. On the top of page 212 in his lost notebook [60], Ramanujan defines a cubic class invariant $\lambda_n$ (i.e., $r = 3$ in (1.5)), which is an analogue of the Ramanujan–Weber classical invariants $G_n$ and $g_n$ defined in (6.3). Define Ramanujan’s function

$$f(-q) := (q; q)_{\infty}, \quad |q| < 1,$$  

(8.1)

where $(a; q)_{\infty}$ is defined in (3.9), and the Dedekind eta-function $\eta(\tau)$

$$\eta(\tau) := e^{2\pi i \tau/24} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi i j \tau}) =: q^{1/24} f(-q),$$  

(8.2)

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ and $\text{Im} \tau > 0$. Then Ramanujan’s cubic class invariant $\lambda_n$ is defined by

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \frac{f^6(q)}{\sqrt{q} f^3(q^3)} = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{\eta\left(\frac{1+i\sqrt{n/3}}{2}\right)}{\eta\left(\frac{1+i\sqrt{3n}}{2}\right)} \right)^6,$$  

(8.3)

where $q = e^{-\pi \sqrt{n/3}}$, i.e., $\tau = \frac{1}{2} i \sqrt{n/3}$.

Chen, Liaw, and Tan [34] established a general series representation for $1/\pi$ in terms of $\lambda_n$ that is analogous to the general formulas of the Borweins and Chudnovskys in terms of the classical class invariants. To state this general formula, we first need some definitions. Define

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^*(q)} := -\frac{1}{27q} \frac{f^{12}(q)}{f^{12}(q^3)} + 1.$$  

(8.4)

Thus, when $q = e^{-\pi \sqrt{n/3}}$ and $\alpha^* := \alpha^*(e^{-\pi \sqrt{n/3}})$, (8.3) and (8.4) imply that

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^*_n} = 1 - \lambda_n^2.$$
In analogy with (3.6), define the multiplier \( m(q) \) by

\[
m(q) := m(\alpha^*, \beta^*) := \frac{2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \alpha^* \right)}{2F_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}; 1; \beta^* \right)},
\]

where \( \beta^* = \alpha^*(q^n) \). We are now ready to state the general representation of \( 1/\pi \) derived by Chan, Liaw, and Tan [34, p. 102, Theorem 4.2].

**Theorem 8.1.** For \( n \geq 1 \), let

\[
a_n = -\frac{\alpha_n^* (1 - \alpha_n^*)}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{d m(\alpha^*, \beta^*)}{d \alpha^*} \bigg|_{\alpha^* = 1 - \alpha_n^*, \beta^* = \alpha_n^*},
\]

\[
b_n = 1 - 2\alpha_n^*,
\]

and

\[
H_n = 4\alpha_n^* (1 - \alpha_n^*).
\]

Then

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{3}{n}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n j) \frac{\left( \frac{j}{7} \right)_j \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)_j \left( \frac{5}{2} \right)_j}{(j!)^3} H_n^j. \tag{8.5}
\]

We give one example. Let \( n = 9 \); then \( \alpha_9^* = \frac{9}{8} \). Then, without providing further details,

\[
\frac{4}{\pi \sqrt{3}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (5j + 1) \frac{\left( \frac{j}{7} \right)_j \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)_j \left( \frac{5}{2} \right)_j}{(j!)^3} \left( -\frac{9}{16} \right)^j,
\]

which was discovered by Chan, Liaw, and Tan [34, p. 95].

Another general series representation for \( 1/\pi \) in the alternative theories of Ramanujan was devised by Berndt and Chan [19, p. 88, Eq. (5.80)]. We will not state this formula and all the requisite definitions, but let it suffice to say that the formula involves Ramanujan’s Eisenstein series \( P(q) \), \( Q(q) = E_4(\tau) \), and \( R(q) = E_6(\tau) \) at the argument \( q = -e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}} \) and the modular \( j \)-invariant, defined by \( j(\tau) = 1728J(\tau) \), where \( J(\tau) \) is defined by (7.2). In particular,

\[
\frac{3 + \sqrt{-3n}}{2} = -27\frac{(\lambda_n^2 - 1)(9\lambda_n^2 - 1)}{\lambda_n^2},
\]

a proof of which can be found in [18]. The hypergeometric terms are of the form

\[
\frac{\left( \frac{j}{7} \right)_j \left( \frac{3}{2} \right)_j \left( \frac{5}{2} \right)_j}{(j!)^3}.
\]

Berndt and Chan used their general formula to calculate a series for \( 1/\pi \) that yields about 73 or 74 digits of \( \pi \) per term.
Lastly, we conclude this section by remarking that Berndt, Chan, and Liaw [20] have derived series representations for $1/\pi$ that fall under the umbrella of Ramanujan’s quartic theory of elliptic functions. Because the quartic theory is intimately connected with the classical theory, their general formulas [20, p. 144, Theorem 4.1] involve the classical invariants $G_n$ and $g_n$ in their summands. Not surprisingly, the hypergeometric terms are of the form

$$B_j := \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_j \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_j}{(j!)^3}.$$

The simplest example arising from their theory is given by [20, p. 145]

$$\frac{9}{2\pi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j(7j + 1) \left(\frac{32}{81}\right)^j.$$

9. THE PRESENT AUTHORS AS DISCIPLES OF RAMANUJAN. As mentioned in Section 2, the first two authors were inspired by the third author to continue the development of Ramanujan’s thoughts. In their first paper [9], Baruah and Berndt employed Ramanujan’s ideas in the classical theory of elliptic functions to prove 13 of Ramanujan’s original formulas and many new ones as well. In [7], they utilized Ramanujan’s cubic and quartic theories to establish five of Ramanujan’s 17 formulas in addition to some new representations. Lastly, in [8], motivated by the work of J. Guillera, described briefly in Section 10 below, the first two authors extended Ramanujan’s ideas to derive hypergeometric-like series representations for $1/\pi^2$. For example,

$$\frac{24}{\pi^2} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (44571654400\mu^2 + 5588768408\mu + 233588841) B_{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{99^4}\right)^{\mu+1},$$

where

$$B_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\mu} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_\nu \left(\frac{1}{7}\right)_{\mu-\nu} \left(\frac{4}{7}\right)_\nu \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\mu-\nu} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)_{\mu-\nu}}{\nu!^3 \mu!^3 \nu!^3}.$$

In Section 3, we defined Ramanujan’s Eisenstein series $P(q)$ in (3.12) and offered several definitions from Ramanujan’s theories of elliptic functions in giving a brief introduction to Ramanujan’s ideas. Here we highlight the role of $P(q)$ in more detail before giving a complete proof of (1.3). Because these three series representations (1.2)–(1.4) can also be found in Ramanujan’s lost notebook, our proof here is similar to that given in [3, Chapter 15].

Following Ramanujan, set

$$z := \frac{2}{\pi} F_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; x\right).$$

The two most important ingredients in our derivations are Ramanujan’s representation for $P(q^2)$ given by [13, p. 120, Entry 9(iv)]

$$P(q^2) = (1 - 2x)z^2 + 6x(1 - x)z \frac{dz}{dx}$$
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and Clausen’s formula (3.7), which, using (9.1), we restate in the form
\[ z^2 = {}_3F_2\left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1; 1, 1; X \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j X^j, \]  
(9.3)
where, as in (1.1),
\[ A_j := \frac{(\frac{1}{2})^3}{j!^3} \quad \text{and} \quad X := 4x(1 - x). \]  
(9.4)

From (9.3) and (9.4),
\[ 2z \frac{dz}{dx} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j jX^{j-1} \cdot 4(1 - 2x). \]  
(9.5)

Hence, from (9.2), (9.3), (9.5), and (9.4),
\[ P(q^2) = (1 - 2x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j X^j + 3(1 - 2x) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j X^j \]
\[ = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ((1 - 2x) + 3(1 - 2x)j)A_j X^j. \]  
(9.6)

For \( q := e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}} \), recall (9.1) and set
\[ x_n = k^2(e^{-\pi \sqrt{n}}), \quad z_n := {}_2F_1\left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; x_n \right) \]  
(9.7)

and
\[ X_n = 4x_n(1 - x_n). \]  
(9.8)

For later use, we note that [3, p. 375]
\[ 1 - x_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}z_n \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}z_n = \sqrt{n}z_n. \]  
(9.9)

With the use of (9.7) and (9.8), (9.6) takes the form
\[ P(e^{-2\pi \sqrt{n}}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ((1 - 2x_n) + 3(1 - 2x_n)j)A_j X_n^j \]
\[ = (1 - 2x_n)z_n^2 + 3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2x_n)j A_j X_n^j. \]  
(9.10)

In order to utilize (9.10), we require two different formulas, each involving both \( P(q^2) \) and \( P(q^{2n}) \), where \( n \) is a positive integer. The first comes from a transformation formula for \( P(q) \), which in turn arises from the transformation formula for \( f(-q) \) defined in (8.1) or the Dedekind eta function defined in (8.2), and is for general \( n \). This transformation formula is given by [13, p. 43, Entry 27(iii)]
\[ e^{-\pi/12} \alpha^{1/4} f\left( -e^{-2\pi} \right) = e^{-\pi/12} \beta^{1/4} f\left( -e^{-2\pi} \right), \]  
(9.11)
where \( \alpha \beta = \pi^2 \), with \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) both positive. Taking the logarithm of both sides of (9.11), we find that

\[
-\frac{\alpha}{12} + \frac{1}{4} \log \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - e^{-2j\alpha}) = -\frac{\beta}{12} + \frac{1}{4} \log \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \log(1 - e^{-2j\beta}).
\]

(9.12)

Differentiating both sides of (9.12) with respect to \( \alpha \), we deduce that

\[
-\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{4\alpha} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{2je^{-2j\alpha}}{1 - e^{-2j\alpha}} = \frac{\beta}{12\alpha} - \frac{1}{4\alpha} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2j\beta/\alpha)e^{-2j\beta}}{1 - e^{-2j\beta}}.
\]

(9.13)

Multiplying both sides of (9.13) by \( 12\alpha \) and rearranging, we arrive at

\[
6 - \alpha \left(1 - 24 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{je^{-2j\alpha}}{1 - e^{-2j\alpha}}\right) = \beta \left(1 - 24 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{je^{-2j\beta}}{1 - e^{-2j\beta}}\right).
\]

(9.14)

Setting \( \alpha = \pi / \sqrt{n} \), so that \( \beta = \pi \sqrt{n} \), recalling the definition (3.12) of \( P(q) \), and rearranging slightly, we see that (9.14) takes the shape

\[
\frac{6\sqrt{n}}{\pi} = P(e^{-2\pi/\sqrt{n}}) + nP(e^{-2\pi\sqrt{n}}).
\]

(9.15)

This is the first desired formula.

The second gives representations for Ramanujan’s function [57], [58, pp. 33–34]

\[
f_n(q) := nP(q^{2n}) - P(q^2)
\]

(9.16)

for certain positive integers \( n \). (Ramanujan [57], [58, pp. 33–34] used the notation \( f(n) \) instead of \( f_n(q) \).) In [57], Ramanujan recorded representations for \( f_n(q) \) for 12 values of \( n \), but he gave no indication of how these might be proved. These formulas are also recorded in Chapter 21 of Ramanujan’s second notebook [59], and proofs may be found in [13].

We now give the details for our proof of (1.3), which was clearly a favorite of Gabriella Montez, the precocious student in High School Musical. Unfortunately, we do not know whether she possessed a proof of her own. We restate (1.3) here for convenience.

**Theorem 9.1.** If \( A_j, j \geq 0 \), is defined by (9.4), then

\[
\frac{16}{\pi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (42j + 5)A_j \frac{1}{26j}.
\]

(9.17)

**Proof.** The identity (9.17) is connected with modular equations of degree 7. Thus, our first task is to calculate the singular modulus \( x_7 \). To that end, we begin with a modular equation of degree 7

\[
\left\{ x(q)x(q^7) \right\}^{1/8} + \left\{ (1 - x(q))(1 - x(q^7)) \right\}^{1/8} = 1,
\]

(9.18)
due to C. Guetzlaff in 1834 but rediscovered by Ramanujan in Entry 19(i) of Chapter 19 of his second notebook [59], [13, p. 314]. In the notation of our definition of a modular equation after (3.5), we have set \( x(q) = k^2(q) \), and so \( x(q^7) = \ell^2(q) \). Set \( q = e^{-\pi/\sqrt{7}} \) in (9.18) and use (9.9) and (9.8) to deduce that

\[
2 \{ x_7(1 - x_7) \}^{1/8} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad X_7 = \frac{1}{2^6}.
\]

(9.19)

Ramanujan calculated the singular modulus \( x_7 \) in his first notebook [59], [15, p. 290], from which, or from (9.19), we easily can deduce that

\[
1 - 2x_7 = \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{8}.
\]

(9.20)

In the notation (9.16), from either [57], [58, p. 33], or [13, p. 468, Entry 5(iii)],

\[
f_7(q) = 3z(q)z(q^7) \left( 1 + \sqrt{x(q)x(q^7)} + \sqrt{(1 - x(q))(1 - x(q^7))} \right).
\]

(9.21)

Putting \( q = e^{-\pi/\sqrt{7}} \) in (9.21) and employing (9.9) and (9.19), we find that

\[
f_7(e^{-\pi/\sqrt{7}}) = 3\sqrt{7} \left( 1 + 2\sqrt{x_7(1 - x_7)} \right) z_7^2 = 3\sqrt{7} \cdot \frac{9}{8} z_7^2.
\]

(9.22)

Letting \( n = 7 \) in (9.15) and (9.10), and using (9.20), we see that

\[
\frac{6\sqrt{7}}{\pi} = P(e^{-2\pi/\sqrt{7}}) + 7 P(e^{-2\pi/\sqrt{7}})
\]

(9.23)

and

\[
P(e^{-2\pi/\sqrt{7}}) = (1 - 2x_7)z_7^2 + 3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - 2x_7) j A_j X_j^2
\]

\[
= \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{8} z_7^2 + \frac{9\sqrt{7}}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j A_j 2^{6j},
\]

(9.24)

respectively. Eliminating \( P(e^{-2\pi/\sqrt{7}}) \) from (9.22) and (9.23) and putting the resulting formula for \( P(e^{-2\pi/\sqrt{7}}) \) in (9.24), we find that

\[
\frac{3\sqrt{7}}{7\pi} + \frac{27\sqrt{7}}{16 \cdot 7} z_7^2 = \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{8} z_7^2 + \frac{9\sqrt{7}}{8} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j A_j 2^{6j},
\]

which upon simplification with the use of (9.3) yields (9.17).

\[\blacksquare\]

10. JESÚS GUILLERA. A discrete function \( A(n, k) \) is hypergeometric if

\[
\frac{A(n + 1, k)}{A(n, k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{A(n, k + 1)}{A(n, k)}
\]

are both rational functions. A pair of functions \( F(n, k) \) and \( G(n, k) \) is said to be a WZ pair (after H. S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger) if \( F \) and \( G \) are hypergeometric and

\[
F(n + 1, k) - F(n, k) = G(n, k + 1) - G(n, k).
\]
In this case, H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger [55] showed that there exists a rational function $C(n, k)$ such that

$$G(n, k) = C(n, k)F(n, k).$$

The function $C(n, k)$ is called a certificate of $(F, G)$. Defining

$$H(n, k) = F(n+k, n+1) + G(n, n+k),$$

Wilf and Zeilberger showed that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H(n, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} G(n, 0).$$

Ekhad (Zeilberger’s computer) and Zeilberger [46] were the first to use this method to derive a one-page proof of the representation

$$\frac{2}{\pi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j (4j+1) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3j} (j!)^3. \quad (10.1)$$

The identity (10.1) was first proved by G. Bauer in 1859 [10]. Ramanujan recorded (10.1) as Example 14 in Section 7 of Chapter 10 in his second notebook [59], [12, pp. 23–24]. Further references can be found in [9]. In 1905, generalizing Bauer’s approach, J. W. L. Glaisher [47] found further series for $1/\pi$.

Motivated by this work, Guillera [48] found many new WZ-pairs $(F, G)$ and derived new series not only for $1/\pi$ but for $1/\pi^2$ as well. One of his most elegant formulas is

$$\frac{128}{\pi^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j (2j) \left(\frac{1}{j}!\right)^5 (820j^2 + 180j + 13) \frac{1}{2^{10j}}.$$

Subsequently, Guillera empirically discovered many series of the type

$$\frac{A}{\pi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j \frac{Bj^2 + Dj + E}{H^j}.$$

Most of the series he discovered cannot be proved by the WZ-method; it appears that the WZ-method is only applicable to those series for $1/\pi$ when $H$ is a power of 2. An example of Guillera’s series which remains to be proved is [49]

$$\frac{128\sqrt{5}}{\pi^2} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{5}\right)_j \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)_j \frac{1}{(j!)^880^j} (5418j^2 + 693j + 29).$$

For further Ramanujan-like series for $1/\pi^2$, see Zudilin’s papers [65], [66].

11. RECENT DEVELOPMENTS. We have emphasized in this paper that Clausen’s formula (6.1) is an essential ingredient in most proofs of Ramanujan-type series representations for $1/\pi$. However, there are other kinds of series for $1/\pi$ that do not depend
upon Clausen’s formula. One such series discovered by Takeshi Sato [62] is given by

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{15}}{120(4\sqrt{5} - 9)} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\mu} \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\mu + v}{v} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{20} \sqrt{5} + \mu \right) \left( \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2} \right)^{12\mu}.$$  \hfill (11.1)

In unpublished work (personal communication to the third author), Sato derived a more complicated series for $1/\pi$ that yields approximately 97 digits of $\pi$ per term. A companion to (11.1), which was derived by a new method devised by the third author, S. H. Chan, and Z.-G. Liu [31], is given by

$$8 \sqrt{3} \pi = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{5\mu + 1}{64^\mu}, \hfill (11.2)$$

where

$$a_\mu := \sum_{v=0}^{\mu} \left( \frac{2\mu - 2v}{\mu - v} \right) \left( \frac{2v}{v} \right) \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^2. \hfill (11.3)$$

We cite three further new series arising from this new method. The first is another companion of (11.2), which arises from recent work of Chan and H. Verrill [36] (after the work of Almkvist and Zudilin [2]), and is given by

$$\frac{9}{2\sqrt{3}\pi} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\mu} (-1)^{\mu-v} 2^{\mu-3v} \left( \frac{\mu}{3v} \right) \left( \frac{\mu + v}{v} \right) \left( \frac{3v!}{(v!)^3} \right) (4\mu + 1) \left( \frac{1}{81} \right)^{\mu}.$$  

The second is from a paper by Chan and K. P. Loo [35] and takes the form

$$\frac{2\sqrt{3}(3 + 2\sqrt{2})}{9\pi} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} C_\mu \left( \mu + 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{2} \right) \left( -1 + \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2} \right)^\mu,$$

where

$$C_\mu = \sum_{v=0}^{\mu} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{\mu} \left( \frac{\mu}{j} \right)^v \sum_{i=0}^{\mu-v} \left( \mu - v \right)^i \right\}.$$  

The third was derived by Y. Yang (personal communication) and takes the shape

$$\frac{18}{\pi \sqrt{15}} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sum_{v=0}^{\mu} \left( \frac{\mu}{v} \right)^4 \frac{4\mu + 1}{36^v}.$$

Motivated by his work with Mahler measures and new transformation formulas for $5F_4$ series, M. D. Rogers [61, Corollary 3.2] has also discovered series for $1/\pi$ in the spirit of the formulas above. For example, if $a_\mu$ is defined by (11.3), then

$$\frac{2}{\pi} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\mu a_\mu \frac{3\mu + 1}{32^\mu}.$$  

This series was also independently discovered by Chan and Verrill [36].
According to W. Zudilin [67], G. Gourevich empirically discovered a hypergeometric-like series for $1/\pi^3$, namely,

$$
\frac{32}{\pi^3} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{4}{7} \right)_\mu (\mu!)^7 2^{6\mu} (168\mu^3 + 76\mu^2 + 14\mu + 1).
$$

This series and the search for further series representations for $1/\pi^m$, $m \geq 2$, are described in a paper by D. H. Bailey and J. M. Borwein [4].

12. CONCLUSION. One test of “good” mathematics is that it should generate more “good” mathematics. Readers have undoubtedly concluded that Ramanujan’s original series for $1/\pi$ have sown the seeds for an abundant crop of “good” mathematics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The authors are deeply grateful to R. William Gosper for carefully reading earlier versions of this paper, uncovering several errors, and offering excellent suggestions. We are pleased to thank Si Min Chan and Si Ya Chan for watching High School Musical, thereby making their father aware of Walt Disney Productions’ interest in Ramanujan’s formulas for $1/\pi$.

The research of Baruah was partially supported by BOYSCAST Fellowship grant SR/BY/M-03/05 from DST, Govt. of India. Berndt’s research was partially supported by grant H98230-07-1-0088 from the National Security Agency. Lastly, Chan’s research was partially supported by the National University of Singapore Academic Research Fund R-146-000-103-112.

REFERENCES


August–September 2009] RAMANUJAN’S SERIES FOR $1/\pi$ 585
30. J. M. Borwein, P. B. Borwein, and D. H. Bailey, Ramanujan, modular equations, and approximations to \(\pi\) or how to compute one billion digits of \(\pi\), this *MONTHLY* 96 (1989) 201–219.
59. ———, *Notebooks* (2 volumes), Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1957.
60. M. D. Rogers, New $\genfrac{(}{)}{0pt}{}{5}{4}$ hypergeometric transformations, three-variable Mahler measures, and formulas for $1/\pi$, *Ramanujan J.* (to appear).

NAYANDEEP DEKA BARUAH is Reader of Mathematical Sciences at Tezpur University, Assam, India. He received his Ph.D. degree from this university in 2001. He was a recipient of an ISCA Young Scientist Award in 2004 and a BOYSCAST Fellowship in 2005–06 from the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, under which he spent the year March 2006–March 2007 at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign working with Professor Berndt. He has been a fan of $\pi$ since the first year of his B.Sc. To learn more about $\pi$, he began reading about Ramanujan and his mathematics and became a huge fan of Ramanujan! He still loves to recite the first 2000 digits of $\pi$ from memory in his leisure time.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tezpur University, Napaam-784028, Sonitpur, Assam, India
nayan@tezu.ernet.in

BRUCE C. BERNDT is Professor of Mathematics at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. Since 1977 he has devoted almost all of his research energy toward finding proofs for the claims made by Ramanujan in his (earlier) notebooks and lost notebook. Aiding him in this endeavor have been many of his 25 former and 6 current doctoral students, as well as several outstanding postdoctoral visitors. So far, he has published ten books on Ramanujan’s work. Most recently, he and George Andrews have published their second of approximately four volumes on Ramanujan’s lost notebook.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 West Green St., Urbana, IL 61801, USA
berndt@illinois.edu

HENG HUAT CHAN is currently Professor of Mathematics at the National University of Singapore. He received his Ph.D. in 1995 under the supervision of Professor B. C. Berndt (the second author of this paper) and spent nine months at the Institute for Advanced Study after his graduation. He then took up a one-year visiting position at the National Chung Cheng University in Taiwan. While in Taiwan, he attempted without success to design a graduate course based on the book “Pi and the AGM” by J. M. Borwein and P. B. Borwein. However, it was during this period that he began his research on “Ramanujan-type series for $1/\pi$.” Since then, he has published several papers on this topic with various mathematicians.

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117543, Republic of Singapore
matchh@nus.edu.sg