

# Strong Semismoothness of the Fischer-Burmeister SDC and SOC Complementarity Functions

Defeng Sun<sup>1</sup>

*Department of Mathematics*

*National University of Singapore, Republic of Singapore*

Jie Sun<sup>2</sup>

*School of Business and Singapore-MIT Alliance*

*National University of Singapore, Republic of Singapore*

December 26, 2002; Revised May 12, 2004

---

**Abstract.** We show that the Fischer-Burmeister complementarity functions, associated to the semidefinite cone (SDC) and the second order cone (SOC), respectively, are strongly semismooth everywhere. Interestingly enough, the proof stems in a relationship between the singular value decomposition of a nonsymmetric matrix and the spectral decomposition of a symmetric matrix.

**Keywords:** Fischer-Burmeister function, SDC, SOC, SVD, strong semismoothness

**AMS subject classifications:** 90C33, 90C22, 65F15, 65F18

---

---

1. *The author's research was partially supported by Grant R146-000-035-101 of National University of Singapore, E-mail: matsundf@nus.edu.sg. Fax: 65-6779 5452.*

2. *The author's research was partially supported by Grant R314-000-042/057-112 of National University of Singapore and a grant from the Singapore-MIT Alliance. E-mail: jsun@nus.edu.sg.*

# 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$  be the linear space of  $p \times q$  real matrices. We denote the  $ij$ th entry of  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{p,q}$  by  $A_{ij}$ . For any two matrices  $A$  and  $B$  in  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$ , we write

$$A \bullet B := \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^q A_{ij} B_{ij} = \text{tr}(AB^T)$$

for the *Frobenius inner product* between  $A$  and  $B$ , where “tr” denotes the trace of a matrix. The *Frobenius norm* induced by the above inner product on  $\mathcal{M}_{p,q}$  is defined as  $\|A\|_{\mathcal{F}} := \sqrt{A \bullet A}$ . The identity matrix in  $\mathcal{M}_{p,p}$  is denoted by  $I$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}^p$  be the linear space of  $p \times p$  real symmetric matrices; let  $\mathcal{S}_+^p$  denote the cone of  $p \times p$  symmetric positive semidefinite matrices. For any vector  $y \in \mathfrak{R}^p$ , let  $\text{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_p)$  denote the  $p \times p$  diagonal matrix with its  $i$ th diagonal entry being  $y_i$ . We write  $X \succeq 0$  to mean that  $X$  is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix. Throughout this paper, we let  $X_+$  denote the (Frobenius) projection of  $X \in \mathcal{S}^p$  onto  $\mathcal{S}_+^p$ . The projection  $X_+$  has an explicit representation; namely, if

$$X = P\Lambda(X)P^T, \tag{1}$$

where  $\Lambda(X) := \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  is the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues of  $X$  and  $P$  is the corresponding orthogonal matrix of orthonormal eigenvectors, then  $X_+ = P\Lambda(X)_+P^T$ , where  $\Lambda(X)_+ := \text{diag}(\max(\lambda_1, 0), \dots, \max(\lambda_p, 0))$ . If  $X \in \mathcal{S}_+^p$ , then we use  $\sqrt{X} := P\sqrt{\Lambda(X)}P^T$  to denote the square root of  $X$ , where  $X$  has the spectral decomposition (1) and  $\sqrt{\Lambda(X)} := \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_p})$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{S}^p$ , we let  $|X| := \sqrt{X^2}$ .

A function  $\Phi^{\text{sdC}} : \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p$  is called a semidefinite cone (SDC) complementarity function if

$$\Phi^{\text{sdC}}(X, Y) = 0 \iff \mathcal{S}_+^p \ni X \perp Y \in \mathcal{S}_+^p, \tag{2}$$

where the symbol  $\perp$  means “perpendicular under the Frobenius matrix inner product”; i.e.,  $X \perp Y \iff X \bullet Y = 0$  for any two matrices  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{S}^p$ . Of particular interest are two SDC complementarity functions

$$\Phi_{\min}^{\text{sdC}}(X, Y) := X - (X - Y)_+ \tag{3}$$

and

$$\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}}(X, Y) := X + Y - \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2}. \tag{4}$$

The function  $\Phi_{\min}^{\text{sdC}}$  is called the matrix-valued min-function. It is known that  $\Phi_{\min}^{\text{sdC}}$  is globally Lipschitz continuous, directionally differentiable [1], and strongly semismooth [15] (see [14] for the definition of strong semismoothness). Strong semismoothness plays a fundamental role in the analysis of the quadratic convergence of Newton’s method for solving systems of nonsmooth equations [13, 14]. Newton-type methods for solving the semidefinite programming and the semidefinite complementarity problem based on a smoothed form of  $\Phi_{\min}^{\text{sdC}}$  are discussed in [4, 5, 12, 17].

The function  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}}$  is called the matrix-valued Fischer-Burmeister function. When  $p = 1$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}}$  reduces to the scalar-valued Fischer-Burmeister function  $\phi_{\text{FB}}(a, b) := a + b - \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ ,  $a, b \in \mathfrak{R}$ , which is introduced by Fischer [8]. In [18], Tseng proves that  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}}$  satisfies (2). Borwein and Lewis also suggest a proof in their recent book [2, Exercise 5.2.11]. A desirable property of  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}}$  is its continuous differentiability [18]. For other properties of SDC complementarity functions, see [18, 19].

The primary motivation of this paper is to prove that  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}}$  is globally Lipschitz continuous, directionally differentiable, and strongly semismooth. This goal is achieved in Section 2 by using a relationship between the singular value decomposition of a nonsymmetric matrix and the spectral decomposition of a symmetric matrix in higher dimension and by using the same properties of the function  $|Y|$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{S}^p$ , obtained in [15]. We then proceed to study similar properties of the vector-valued complementarity functions associated with the second order cone (SOC) in Section 3.

## 2 Strong Semismoothness of $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}}$

Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  and assume  $n \leq m$ . Then there exist orthogonal matrices  $U \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{M}_{m,m}$  such that  $A$  has the following singular value decomposition (SVD)

$$U^T A V = [\Sigma(A) \ 0], \quad (5)$$

where  $\Sigma(A) = \text{diag}(\sigma_1(A), \dots, \sigma_n(A))$  and  $\sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(A) \geq 0$  are singular values of  $A$  [11, Chapter 2]. Write  $V \in \mathcal{M}_{m,m}$  in the form  $V = [V_1 \ V_2]$ , where  $V_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}$  and  $V_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{m,m-n}$ . We define the orthogonal matrix  $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{n+m,n+m}$  by

$$Q := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} U & U & 0 \\ V_1 & -V_1 & \sqrt{2}V_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Define the following matrix valued function  $G^{\text{mat}} : \mathcal{M}_{n,m} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n$  by

$$G^{\text{mat}}(A) := \sqrt{AA^T} = U \text{diag}(\sigma_1(A), \dots, \sigma_n(A)) U^T, \quad (7)$$

where  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  has the SVD as in (5). Define two linear operators  $\Xi : \mathcal{M}_{n,m} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{n+m}$  and  $\pi : \mathcal{S}^{n+m} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n$  by

$$\Xi(B) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m} \quad (8)$$

and

$$(\pi(W))_{ij} := W_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \quad W \in \mathcal{S}^{n+m}, \quad (9)$$

respectively. Then, by [11, Section 8.6], when  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  has an SVD as in (5) and  $Q$  is defined in (6), the matrix  $\Xi(A)$  has the following spectral decomposition:

$$\Xi(A) = Q \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma(A) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\Sigma(A) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} Q^T, \quad (10)$$

i.e., the eigenvalues of  $\Xi(A)$  are  $\pm\sigma_i(A), i = 1, \dots, n$ , and 0 of multiplicity  $m - n$ . Thus,  $\sigma_i(A) = \lambda_i(\Xi(A)), i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\lambda_i(\Xi(A))$  is the  $i$ th largest eigenvalue of  $\Xi(A)$ . This, together with the linearity of  $\Xi(\cdot)$  and Theorem 4.7 in [16] on the strong semismoothness of eigenvalue functions of symmetric matrices, shows that  $\sigma_1(\cdot), \dots, \sigma_n(\cdot)$  are strongly semismooth everywhere in  $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}$ . In a similar way to [16], the strong semismoothness of the singular value functions can be used to study the quadratic convergence of generalized Newton methods for solving inverse singular value problems. For a survey on inverse eigenvalue and singular value problems, see [7].

**Proposition 2.1** *Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  has an SVD as in (5). Then it holds that*

$$G^{\text{mat}}(A) = \pi(|\Xi(A)|). \quad (11)$$

**Proof.** By (6) and (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Xi(A)| &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} U & U & 0 \\ V_1 & -V_1 & \sqrt{2}V_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} |\Sigma(A)| & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |-\Sigma(A)| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & |0| \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U^T & V_1^T \\ U^T & -V_1^T \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}V_2^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} U\Sigma(A)U^T & 0 \\ 0 & V_1\Sigma(A)V_1^T \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\pi(|\Xi(A)|) = U\Sigma(A)U^T = G^{\text{mat}}(A)$ .  $\square$

The next theorem is our main result of this section.

**Theorem 2.2** *The function  $G^{\text{mat}} : \mathcal{M}_{n,m} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^n$  defined by (7) is globally Lipschitz continuous, continuously differentiable around any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  of full row rank, and strongly semismooth everywhere in  $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}$ .*

**Proof.** First, by Proposition 2.1, for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}$ , we have

$$\|G^{\text{mat}}(A) - G^{\text{mat}}(B)\|_{\mathcal{F}} = \|\pi(|\Xi(A)| - |\Xi(B)|)\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \sqrt{2\|A - B\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2},$$

which proves that  $G^{\text{mat}}$  is globally Lipschitz continuous.

Second, the continuous differentiability of  $G^{\text{mat}}$  around any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  of full row rank can be obtained easily by using [5, Lemma 4], the definition of  $G^{\text{mat}}$ , and the fact that  $AA^T$  is positive definite when  $A$  is of full row rank. The details are omitted here.

Finally, it is known that  $|Y|, Y \in \mathcal{S}^{n+m}$  is strongly semismooth everywhere [15, Theorem 4.12]. Then Proposition 2.1 and the linearity of  $\Xi(\cdot)$  imply that  $G^{\text{mat}}$  is strongly semismooth at any  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n,m}$ .  $\square$

Let the matrix valued Fischer-Burmeister function  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}} : \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p$  be defined as in (4). By noting the fact that for any  $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{S}^p$ ,  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdC}}(X, Y) = X + Y - G^{\text{mat}}([X \ Y])$ , we obtain from Theorem 2.2 the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3** *The matrix valued Fischer-Burmeister function  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdc}} : \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{S}^p \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p$  is globally Lipschitz continuous, continuously differentiable around any  $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{S}^p$  if  $[X \ Y]$  is of full row rank, and strongly semismooth everywhere in  $\mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{S}^p$ .*

### 3 The FB Function Associated with the SOC

The second order cone (SOC) in  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ), also called the Lorentz cone or the ice-cream cone, is defined as  $\mathcal{K}^n := \{(x_1, x_2^T)^T \mid x_1 \in \mathfrak{R}, x_2 \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1} \text{ and } x_1 \geq \|x_2\|\}$ . Here and below,  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the  $l_2$ -norm in  $\mathfrak{R}^n$  and, for convenience, we write  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  instead of  $x = (x_1, x_2^T)^T$ . For any  $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ , we define their Jordan product as

$$x \cdot y := \begin{bmatrix} x^T y \\ y_1 x_2 + x_1 y_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Denote  $e = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ . Let  $x_+$  be the orthogonal projection of  $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$  onto  $\mathcal{K}^n$ . Denote  $x^2 := x \cdot x$  and  $|x| := \sqrt{x^2}$ , where for any  $y \in \mathcal{K}^n$ ,  $\sqrt{y}$  is the unique vector in  $\mathcal{K}^n$  such that  $y = \sqrt{y} \cdot \sqrt{y}$ . Then, by [10], we know that  $x_+ = (x + |x|)/2$ .

A function  $\phi^{\text{soc}} : \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$  is called an SOC complementarity function if

$$\phi^{\text{soc}}(x, y) = 0 \iff \mathcal{K}^n \ni x \perp y \in \mathcal{K}^n, \quad (13)$$

where  $x \perp y \iff x \cdot y = 0$ . By [10], both the vector-valued min-function

$$\phi_{\text{min}}^{\text{soc}}(x, y) := x - (x - y)_+ \quad (14)$$

and the vector valued Fischer-Burmeister function

$$\phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{soc}}(x, y) := x + y - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad (15)$$

are SOC complementarity functions. The strong semismoothness of  $\phi_{\text{min}}^{\text{soc}}$  can be checked directly and has been done in [3, 6]. In this section, we shall prove that  $\phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{soc}}$  is strongly semismooth.

For any  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ , let  $L(x), M(x) \in \mathcal{S}^n$  be defined by

$$L(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2^T \\ x_2 & x_1 I \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M(x) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0^T \\ 0 & N(x_2) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

respectively, where for any  $z \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $N(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{n-1}$  denotes

$$N(z) := \|z\| (I - zz^T / \|z\|^2) = \|z\| I - zz^T / \|z\| \quad (17)$$

and the convention " $\frac{0}{0} = 0$ " is adopted. A direct calculation shows that

$$L(x^2) = (L(x))^2 + (M(x))^2, \quad \forall x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}. \quad (18)$$

**Lemma 3.1** *The operator  $N(\cdot)$  is globally Lipschitz continuous, twice continuously differentiable around any  $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ , and strongly semismooth everywhere in  $\mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}$  are two arbitrary points in  $\mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ . If the line segment  $[z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}]$  connecting  $z^{(1)}$  and  $z^{(2)}$  contains the origin 0, then

$$\|N(z^{(1)}) - N(z^{(2)})\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \sqrt{n-2}\|z^{(1)}\| + \sqrt{n-2}\|z^{(2)}\| = \sqrt{n-2}\|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|.$$

If the line segment  $[z^{(1)}, z^{(2)}]$  does not contain the origin 0, then by the mean value theorem we have

$$\|N(z^{(1)}) - N(z^{(2)})\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \int_0^1 \|N'(z^{(1)} + t[z^{(2)} - z^{(1)}])(z^{(2)} - z^{(1)})\|_{\mathcal{F}} dt,$$

which, together with the fact that for any  $z \neq 0$ ,  $N$  is differentiable at  $z$  with

$$N'(z)(\Delta z) = \frac{(\Delta z)^T z}{\|z\|} [I + zz^T / \|z\|^2] - \frac{1}{\|z\|} [z(\Delta z)^T + (\Delta z)z^T] \quad (19)$$

and

$$\|N'(z)(\Delta z)\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \sqrt{n-2}\|\Delta z\| \quad \forall \Delta z \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1},$$

implies that

$$\|N(z^{(1)}) - N(z^{(2)})\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \sqrt{n-2}\|z^{(1)} - z^{(2)}\|.$$

Therefore,  $N$  is globally Lipschitz continuous.

By equation (19), we know that  $N$  is at least twice continuously differentiable around any  $z \neq 0$ , and so strongly semismooth at any  $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ . Now it suffices to show that  $N$  is strongly semismooth at  $z^* := 0$ .

Note that  $N$  is a positive homogeneous mapping, i.e., for any  $t \geq 0$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $N(tz) = tN(z)$ . Hence,  $N$  is directionally differentiable at 0 and for any  $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $N'(0; z) = N(z)$ . By (19), for any  $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$ ,

$$N(z^* + z) - N(z^*) - N'(z^* + z)(z) = N(z) - N(0) - N'(z)(z) = 0,$$

which, together with [15, Theorem 3.7], the Lipschitz continuity, and the directional differentiability of  $N$ , shows that  $N$  is strongly semismooth at  $z^* = 0$ .  $\square$

Suppose that the operators  $L$  and  $M$  are defined by (16). For any  $a^1, \dots, a^p \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ , let

$$\chi(a^1, \dots, a^p) := \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^p (a^i)^2} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\Gamma(a^1, \dots, a^p) := [L(a^1) \ \dots \ L(a^p) \ M(a^1) \ \dots \ M(a^p)]. \quad (21)$$

By [3, Lemma 4.1]<sup>1</sup>, for any  $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$  we have  $\sqrt{|x|} = \left(\sqrt{L(|x|)}\right) e$ . This, together with the fact that  $v := \sum_{i=1}^p (a^i)^2 \in \mathcal{K}^n$  and (18), implies

$$\chi(a^1, \dots, a^p) = \sqrt{v} = \left(\sqrt{L(v)}\right) e = \left(\sqrt{\Gamma(a^1, \dots, a^p) (\Gamma(a^1, \dots, a^p))^T}\right) e. \quad (22)$$

Therefore, by (22), for any  $a^1, \dots, a^p \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ , we have

$$\chi(a^1, \dots, a^p) = G^{\text{mat}}(\Gamma(a^1, \dots, a^p)) e, \quad (23)$$

where  $G^{\text{mat}}$  is defined by (7)

**Theorem 3.2** *For any  $a^1, \dots, a^p \in \mathfrak{R}^n$ , let  $\chi(a^1, \dots, a^p)$  be defined by (20). Then  $\chi$  is globally Lipschitz continuous, continuously differentiable around any  $(a^1, \dots, a^p)$  if  $v_1 \neq \|v_2\|$ , where  $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$  and  $v := \sum_{i=1}^p (a^i)^2$ , and strongly semismooth everywhere.*

**Proof.** First, the global Lipschitz continuity of  $\chi$  can be obtained directly by Theorem 2.2, Lemma 3.1, and equation (23).

Second, let  $a^i \in \mathfrak{R}^n, i = 1, \dots, p$  be such that  $v_1 \neq \|v_2\|$ , where  $v = (v_1, v_2) \in \mathfrak{R} \times \mathfrak{R}^{n-1}$  and  $v = \sum_{i=1}^p (a^i)^2$ . Then, from (23), Theorem 2.2, and the fact that  $\Gamma(a^1, \dots, a^p) \left(\Gamma(a^1, \dots, a^p)\right)^T = L(v)$  (cf. (18)) is positive definite when  $v_1 \neq \|v_2\|$ , we know that  $\chi$  is continuously differentiable around  $(a^1, \dots, a^p)$ .

Finally, we know from [9] that the composite of two strongly semismooth functions is strongly semismooth. Hence, by (23), Theorem 2.2, and the fact that the mapping  $\Gamma$  is strongly semismooth (cf. Lemma 3.1), we can draw the conclusion that  $\chi$  is strongly semismooth everywhere.  $\square$

Theorem 3.2 generalizes the results discussed in [6] from the absolute value function  $|x|$  to the function  $\chi$ . By Theorems 2.2 and 3.2, we have the following results, which do not require a proof.

**Corollary 3.3** *The vector-valued Fischer-Burmeister function  $\phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{soc}} : \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$  is globally Lipschitz continuous, continuously differentiable around any  $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^n$  if  $v_1 \neq \|v_2\|$ , where  $v := x^2 + y^2$ , and strongly semismooth.*

**Corollary 3.4** *The smoothed version of  $\Phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdc}}$ ,*

$$\bar{\Phi}_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdc}} : \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathcal{S}^p \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^p, \quad \bar{\Phi}_{\text{FB}}^{\text{sdc}}(X, Y, \varepsilon) := X + Y - \sqrt{X^2 + Y^2 + \varepsilon^2 I}$$

*and the smoothed version of  $\phi_{\text{FB}}^{\text{soc}}$ ,*

$$\bar{\phi}_{\text{FB}}^{\text{soc}} : \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R}^n \times \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n, \quad \bar{\phi}_{\text{FB}}^{\text{soc}}(x, y, \varepsilon) := x + y - \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + \varepsilon^2 e}$$

*are strongly semismooth.*

---

<sup>1</sup>P. Tseng presented this result in “The Third International Conference on Complementarity Problems”, held in Cambridge University, United Kingdom, July 29 -August 1, 2002.

## References

- [1] J. F. Bonnans, R. Cominetti and A. Shapiro, “Second order optimality conditions based on parabolic second order tangent sets,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, **9** (1999) 466–493.
- [2] J. M. Borwein and A. S. Lewis, *Convex Analysis and Nonlinear Optimization: Theory and Examples*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [3] J. Chen, X. Chen and P. Tseng, “Analysis of nonsmooth vector-valued functions associated with second-order cones,” Manuscript, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, USA, November 2002.
- [4] X. Chen, H. Qi, and P. Tseng, “Analysis of nonsmooth symmetric-matrix functions with applications to semidefinite complementarity problems,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, **13** (2003) 960–985.
- [5] X. Chen and P. Tseng, “Non-interior continuation methods for solving semidefinite complementarity problems,” *Mathematical Programming*, **95** (2003) 431–474.
- [6] X. D. Chen, D. Sun and J. Sun, “Complementarity functions and numerical experiments on some smoothing Newton methods for second-order-cone complementarity problems,” *Computational Optimization and Applications*, **25** (2003) 39–56.
- [7] M. T. Chu, “Inverse eigenvalue problems,” *SIAM Review*, **40** (1998) 1–39.
- [8] A. Fischer, “A special Newton-type optimization method,” *Optimization*, **24** (1992) 269–284.
- [9] A. Fischer, “Solution of monotone complementarity problems with locally Lipschitzian functions,” *Mathematical Programming*, **76** (1997) 513–532.
- [10] M. Fukushima, Z. Q. Luo and P. Tseng, “Smoothing functions for second-order-cone complementarity problems,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, **12** (2002) 436–460.
- [11] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix Computations*, The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, USA, Third Edition, 1996.
- [12] C. Kanzow and C. Nagel, “Semidefinite programs: new search directions, smoothing-type methods,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, **13** (2002) 1–23.
- [13] J. -S. Pang and L. Qi, “Nonsmooth equations: motivation and algorithms,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, **3** (1993) 443–465.
- [14] L. Qi and J. Sun, “A nonsmooth version of Newton’s method,” *Mathematical Programming*, **58** (1993) 353–367.
- [15] D. Sun and J. Sun, “Semismooth matrix valued functions,” *Mathematics of Operations Research*, **27** (2002) 150–169.
- [16] D. Sun and J. Sun, “Strong semismoothness of eigenvalues of symmetric matrices and its application to inverse eigenvalue problems,” *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, **40** (2002) 2352–2367.
- [17] J. Sun, D. Sun and L. Qi, “A squared smoothing Newton method for nonsmooth matrix equations and its applications in semidefinite optimization problems,” *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, **14** (2004) 783–806.
- [18] P. Tseng, “Merit functions for semidefinite complementarity problems,” *Mathematical Programming*, **83** (1998) 159–185.
- [19] N. Yamashita and M. Fukushima, “A new merit function and a descent method for semidefinite complementarity problems,” in M. Fukushima and L. Qi (eds.), *Reformulation - Nonsmooth, Piecewise Smooth, Semismooth and Smoothing Methods*, Boston, Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 405–420, 1999.