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In this paper, we determine the homotopy groups $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ and $\pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ for abelian groups $A$ by using the following methods from group theory and homotopy theory: derived functors, the Carlsson simplicial construction, the Baues-Goerss spectral sequence, homotopy decompositions and the methods of algebraic K-theory. As the applications, we also determine $\pi_i(\Sigma K(G, 1))$ with $i = 4, 5$ for some non-abelian groups $G = \Sigma_3$ and $\text{SL}(\mathbb{Z})$, and $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1))$ for the 4-th alternating group $A_4$.
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1 Introduction

It is well-known that the suspension functor applied to a topological space shifts homology groups, but "chaotically" changes homotopy groups. For example, one can take a circle $S^1$, whose homotopy type is very simple. Its suspension $\Sigma S^1 = S^2$ has obvious homology groups, however the problem of investigating the homotopy groups of $S^2$ is one of the deepest problems of algebraic topology.

Consider the following functors from the category of groups to the category of abelian groups:

$$\pi_n(\Sigma^m K(\cdot, 1)) : \text{Gr} \to \text{Ab}, \; n \geq 1, m \geq 1$$

defined by $A \mapsto \pi_n(\Sigma^m K(A, 1))$, where $\Sigma^m$ is the $m$-fold suspension. It is clear that $\pi_n(\Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)) = \pi_n(S^{m+1})$, that is the homotopy groups of spheres appear as the simplest case of a general theory of homotopy groups of suspensions of classifying spaces.

For the case $m = 1, 2$ and $n = 3, 4$ there is the following natural commutative
diagram with exact rows [6]:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(\Sigma K(G, 1)) & \longrightarrow & G \otimes G & \longrightarrow & [G, G] & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma^2 K(G, 1)) & \longrightarrow & G\tilde{\otimes}G & \longrightarrow & [G, G] & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(G) & \longrightarrow & G \wedge G & \longrightarrow & [G, G] & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]

where $G \otimes G$ is the non-abelian square of $G$ in the sense of Brown-Loday [6], $G\tilde{\otimes}G$ (resp. $G \wedge G$) is the quotient of $G \otimes G$ by the normal subgroup generated by elements $g \otimes h + h \otimes g$ (resp. $g \otimes g, g \in G$). In particular, for an abelian group $A$, there are natural isomorphisms

\[
\pi_3(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \simeq A \otimes A \\
\pi_4(\Sigma^2 K(A, 1)) \simeq \pi_5^2 K(A, 1) \simeq A\tilde{\otimes}A.
\]

The purpose of this article is to determine the homotopy groups $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ and $\pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ for abelian groups $A$. In order to investigate the structure of these homotopy groups, we use the following methods of group theory and homotopy theory: derived functors, the Carlsson simplicial construction, the Baues-Goerss spectral sequence [4], homotopy decompositions and the methods of algebraic K-theory. The combination of these different methods provides an effective way for determining these homotopy groups. As reader will see, some our computations use commutator tricks in simplicial groups.

The homotopy group $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ as a functor on $A$ can be given as follows:

**Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 3.4)** Let $A$ be any abelian group. Then there is a natural short exact sequence

\[
(\Lambda^2(A) \otimes A)\oplus \oplus A \otimes A \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\pi_4 K(A, 1)} \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow} \text{Tor}(A, A).
\]

Moreover $(\Lambda^2(A) \otimes A)\oplus \oplus$ is an (unnatural) summand of $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$.

An interesting point of this theorem is that the functor $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ has $\text{Tor}(A, A)$ as a natural quotient. For determining the structure of the group $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$,
one has to solve the group extension problem in Theorem 1.1. For finitely generated abelian groups $A$, we are able to solve this problem. Given a finitely generated abelian group $A$, let

$$A = A_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} A_{p^r}$$

be the primary decomposition of $A$, where $A_1$ is torsion free and $A_{p^r}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$-module.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.7) Let $A$ be any finitely generated abelian group. Let $A = A_2 \oplus B$ with $B = A_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{p^r \neq 2} A_{p^r}$. Then

$$\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \cong \frac{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes B)^{\oplus 2} \oplus B^{\oplus 2} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus (A \otimes \Lambda^2(A))^{\oplus 2}$$

$$\oplus \text{Tor}(A_2, B)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \text{Tor}(B, B),$$

where $\frac{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/4$-module with rank of $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_2 \otimes A_2)$.

One point of this theorem is that the (maximal) elementary 2-group summand $A_2$ of $A$ plays a key role in the group extension problem. Roughly speaking $A_2 \otimes A_2$ is half down in the group $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$.

As the applications of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we are able to compute $\pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 2))$ and their connections with $\pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1))$. As the direct consequences, the homotopy groups $\pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^n)$ and $\pi_4(\Sigma K(\Sigma 3, 1))$ are determined. (See subsection 3.2 for the computations of these homotopy groups.)

For the homotopy group $\pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1))$, as a functor, it can be described by two exact sequences given in diagram (4–1). Unfortunately it seems too complicated to produce a canonical functorial short exact sequence description for the functor $\pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ from diagram (4–1). For any finitely generated abelian group $A$, we determine $\pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ in an un-functorial way by the following steps:

1) From the Hopf fibration, $\pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \cong \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1))$;

2) Take a primary decomposition of $A$ and write $K(A, 1)$ as a product of copies of $S^1 = K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$ and $K(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 1)$;

3) By using the fact that

$$\Sigma X \times Y \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma X \wedge Y,$$

write $\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)$ as a wedge of the spaces in the form

$$X = \Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^t, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/p_2^t, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^m, 1)$$

with $m + t \geq 3$ and $m \geq 1$;
4) By applying the Hilton-Milnor Theorem, \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \) becomes a summation of \( \pi_5(X) \) for some \( X \) in the above form.

For the spaces \( X \) in the above form, it is contractible if \( p_i \neq p_j \) for some \( i \neq j \) and \( \pi_5(X) \) can be determined in Proposition 4.2 for an odd prime \( p \). The only difficult part is to compute \( \pi_5(X) \) for \( X \) given in the form

\[
X = \Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}/2^n, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^n, 1)
\]

with \( m + t \geq 3 \) and \( m \geq 1 \). Our computations are then given case-by-case (Propositions 4.5-4.7 and Theorems 4.10-4.18), in which different methods are involved. An instructional example is as follows:

Let \( A = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \). According to 1), \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \cong \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)) \). As in 3),

\[
\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1) \cong (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \wedge (S^1 \times \mathbb{R}P^\infty)
\]

\[
\cong \Sigma (S^1 \lor \mathbb{R}P^\infty \lor \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \wedge (S^1 \lor \mathbb{R}P^\infty \lor \mathbb{R}P^\infty)
\]

\[
= S^3 \lor \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V}^2 \mathbb{R}P^\infty \lor \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V}^3 \mathbb{R}P^\infty \lor \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{R}P^\infty \lor \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{R}P^\infty \lor \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{R}P^\infty \lor \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{V} \mathbb{R}P^\infty
\]

By applying the Hilton-Milnor Theorem as in 4), \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)) \) is a summation of

\[
\pi_5(S^3), \pi_5(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^\infty), \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty \wedge \mathbb{R}P^\infty), \pi_5(\Sigma(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^3), \cdots
\]

with multiplicities. From Theorem 4.18, we have

\[
\pi_5(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/8
\]

and by Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 4.10, we have

\[
\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty \wedge \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \pi_5((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^3) = \mathbb{Z}/2^3.
\]

The group \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)) \) will be determined by filling all possible summands with multiplicities.

As the applications of our computations on \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \), we are able to determine \( \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^6) \) (Proposition 4.20) and \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{S}, 1)) \) (Proposition 4.21).

In section 2 we recall certain facts from the homotopy theory, such as the Whitehead exact sequence, the Carlsson simplicial construction and describe a spectral sequence (2-9), which converges to \( \pi_\ast(\Sigma^m K(A, 1)) \) for any abelian group \( A \), with...
$E^2$-terms are given by the derived functors of certain polynomial functors. We illustrate how it works in Theorem 4.4 for computing

$$\pi_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/8, & \text{if } r = 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^{r+1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2, & \text{if } r > 1. \end{cases}$$

The interesting point is of course how $\mathbb{Z}/8$ shows up in the case $r = 1$ while it becomes $\mathbb{Z}/2^{r+1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ for $r > 1$. The proof is also based on the computations of the derived functors of the antisymmetric square $\tilde{\otimes}^2$.

There is a natural relation between the problem considered and algebraic $K$-theory. Since the plus-construction $K(G, 1) \to K(G, 1)^+$ is a homological equivalence, there is a natural weak homotopy equivalence

$$\Sigma K(G, 1) \to \Sigma (K(G, 1)^+)$$

This defines the natural suspension map:

$$\pi_n(K(G, 1)^+) \to \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma(K(G, 1)^+)) = \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma K(G, 1))$$

for $n \geq 1$. This map was studied in [3] in the case of a perfect group $G$. We consider the case $G = E(R)$, i.e. the group of elementary matrices over a ring $R$. In this case the natural map

$$K_3(R) = \pi_3(K(E(R), 1)^+) \to \pi_4(\Sigma K(E(R), 1))$$

is an isomorphism (Theorem 5.1). The natural relation to $K$-theory gives a way how to compute homotopy groups $\pi_i(\Sigma K(E(R), 1))$ for $i = 4, 5$ for some rings. For example, the case $G = SL(\mathbb{Z})$ is considered. As an application of our methods, we also determine that $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/4$ for the 4-th alternating group $A_4$.

The article is organized as follows. We give a brief review for the quadratic functors and the simplicial resolutions in Section 2. The determination of $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ is given in section 3, where the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are also given. In section 4, we give case-by-case computations for $\pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1))$. In Section 5, we give some relations to $K$-theory.

2 The Quadratic Functors and the Simplicial Resolutions

2.1 Whitehead Quadratic Functor

In [19, Chapter II], J. H. C Whitehead introduce the universal quadratic functor $\Gamma_2$ from abelian groups to abelian groups as follows: Let $A$ be any abelian group.
Then \( \Gamma_2(A) \) is the group generated by the symbols \( \gamma(x) \), one for each \( x \in A \), subject to the defining relations

1. \( \gamma(-x) = \gamma(x) \);
2. \( \gamma(x+y+z) - \gamma(x+y) - \gamma(y+z) - \gamma(x+z) + \gamma(x) + \gamma(y) + \gamma(z) = 0 \).

Note. According to [19, p. 61], the group \( \Gamma_2(A) \) is abelian and so the multiplication in \( \Gamma_2(A) \) is denoted by +. Define

\[
\gamma(x, y) = \gamma(x+y) - \gamma(x) - \gamma(y).
\]

The following proposition helps for determining the group \( \Gamma_2(A) \).

Proposition 2.1 [19, Theorem 5] Let \( A \) be a free abelian group with a basis \( \{ a_i \mid i \in I \} \) for a well-ordered index set \( I \), and the defining relations \( \{ b_\lambda \equiv 0 \} \). Then the group \( \Gamma_2(A) \) is combinatorial defined by the set of symbolic generators \( \gamma(a_i) \), \( i \in I \), and \( \gamma(a_i, a_j) \), \( i, j \in I \) with \( i < j \) with defining relations \( \gamma(b_\lambda) \equiv 0 \) and \( \gamma(a_i, b_\lambda) \equiv 0 \).

Example 2.2 We list some examples of the group \( \Gamma_2(A) \). The first two examples are direct consequences of the above proposition.

1. Let \( A \) be a free abelian group with a basis \( \{ a_i \mid i \in I \} \) for a well-ordered index set \( I \). Then \( \Gamma_2(A) \) is the free abelian group with a basis given by \( \gamma(a_i) \), \( i \in I \), and \( \gamma(a_i, a_j) \), \( i, j \in I \) with \( i < j \).

2. If \( A \) is a cyclic group of finite order \( m \) generated by \( a_1 \), then \( \Gamma_2(A) \) is cyclic of order \( m \) or \( 2m \), according as \( m \) is odd or even, generated by \( \gamma(a_1) \).

3. Let \( A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i \) for a well-ordered index set \( I \). Then [19, Theorem 7]

\[
\Gamma_2(A) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Gamma_2(A_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{i,j \in I \atop i < j} A_i \otimes A_j.
\]

4. For a general abelian group \( A \), there is a short exact sequence [10, formula (13.8), p.93]

\[
A \otimes A \xrightarrow{t} \Gamma_2(A) \longrightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2,
\]

where \( t(a \otimes b) = \gamma(a, b) = \gamma(a+b) - \gamma(a) - \gamma(b) \). \( \square \)
2.2 Lower Homology of $K(A, 2)$

The homology of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces $K(A, n)$ has been studied in the classical reference [10] and other papers. See also [5] for the functorial description of homology groups of $K(A, 2)$ in all dimensions.

Lemma 2.3 [10, Theorems 20.5 and 21.1] Let $A$ be any abelian group. Then

1. $H_2(K(A, 2)) = A$;
2. $H_3(K(A, 2)) = 0$;
3. $H_4(K(A, 2)) = \Gamma_2(A)$.

The homology $H_5(K(A, 2))$ becomes a special functor on $A$. Let $R_2(A) = H_5(K(A, 2))$. The group $R_2(A)$ for finitely generated abelian group $A$ can be computed as follows [10, Section 22]:

1. If $A$ is a cyclic group of order infinite or odd, then $R_2(A) = 0$;
2. If $A = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ with $r \geq 1$, then $R_2(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$.
3. Let $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$. Then $K(A, 2) \cong K(A_1, 2) \times K(A_2, 2)$. By using Künneth theorem together with the fact that $H_1(K(A, 2)) = H_3(K(A, 2)) = 0$ from Lemma 2.3, we have

$$H_5(K(A, 2)) \cong H_5(K(A_1, 2)) \oplus H_5(K(A_2, 2)) \oplus \text{Tor}(H_2(K(A_1, 2)), H_2(K(A_2, 2))).$$

Thus

$$(2-1) \quad R_2(A_1 \oplus A_2) \cong R_2(A_1) \oplus R_2(A_2) \oplus \text{Tor}(A_1, A_2).$$

Recall the definition of the derived functors in the sense of Dold-Puppe [9]. Let $F$ be an endofunctor in the category of abelian groups and $A$ an abelian group. Take a projective resolution $P_* \to A$. Let $N^{-1}$ be the inverse map to the normalization map due to Dold-Kan. Then $N^{-1}P_*$ is a free simplicial resolution of $A$. Then, the $i$-th derived functor of $F$ applied to the abelian group $A$, is defined as follows:

$$L_i F(A) = \pi_i(F(N^{-1}P_*)), \quad i \geq 0.$$ 

It is a well-known fact that this definition does not depend on a choice of a projective resolution. In these notations, one has a natural isomorphism:

$$R_2(A) = L_1 \Gamma_2(A).$$
2.3 Whitehead exact sequence.

Let $X$ be a $(r-1)$-connected CW-complex, $r \geq 2$. There is the following long exact sequence of abelian groups [19, Theorem 1]:

\[
\cdots \to H_{n+1}(X) \to \Gamma_n(X) \to \pi_n(X) \xrightarrow{h_n} H_n(X) \to \Gamma_{n-1}(X) \to \cdots,
\]

where $\Gamma_n(X) = \text{Im}(\pi_n(\text{sk}_{n-1}(X)) \to \pi_n(\text{sk}_n(X)))$ (here $\text{sk}_i(X)$ is the $i$-th skeleton of $X$), $h_n$ is the $n$th Hurewicz homomorphism.

The Hurewicz theorem is equivalent to the statement $\Gamma_i(X) = 0$, $i \leq r$. J. H. C. Whitehead computed the term $\Gamma_{r+1}(X)$: In the following theorem, assertion (1) was given in [19, Theorem 14] and assertion (2) was given the earlier paper [18]. According to the remarks in the end of [19, Section 14], assertion (2) has been discussed by G. W. Whitehead [20] as well.

Theorem 2.4 Let $X$ be a $(r-1)$-connected CW-complex with $r \geq 2$. Then

(1) If $r = 2$, then $\Gamma_3(X) \cong \Gamma_2(\pi_2(X))$.

(2) If $r > 2$, then $\Gamma_{r+1}(X) \cong \pi_r(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$. □

The isomorphism $\Gamma_2(\pi_2(X)) \to \Gamma_3(X)$ is constructed as follows: Let $\eta: S^3 \to S^2$ be the Hopf map and let $x \in \pi_2(X)$ be written as the composite

\[
S^2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{sk}_2(X) \hookrightarrow \text{sk}_3(X).
\]

Then the composite

\[
S^3 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} \text{sk}_2(X) \hookrightarrow \text{sk}_3(X)
\]

defines an element $\eta^*(x) \in \Gamma_3(X)$. According to [19, Section 13], the mapping

\[
(2-3) \quad \eta_1: \Gamma_2(\pi_2(X)) \to \Gamma_3(X), \quad \gamma(x) \mapsto \eta^*(x),
\]

is a well-defined isomorphism of groups. The construction of the isomorphism $\pi_r(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \Gamma_{r+1}(X)$ in assertion (2) is similar.

Recall the description of the functors $\Gamma_{r+2}(X)$ due to H.-J. Baues [2]. Consider the third super-Lie functor

\[
\mathcal{L}_3^3: \text{Ab} \to \text{Ab}
\]

defined as

\[
\mathcal{L}_3^3(A) = \text{im}\{A \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{L} A \otimes A \otimes A\}
\]
where
\[ l(a \otimes b \otimes c) = \{ a, b, c \} := a \otimes b \otimes c + b \otimes a \otimes c - c \otimes a \otimes b - c \otimes b \otimes a, \ a, b, c \in A. \]
Observe that \( \mathcal{L}_3^3(A) = \ker \{ A \otimes \Lambda^2(A) \to \Lambda^3(A) \} \), where \( \Lambda^i(A) \) is the \( i \)th exterior power of \( A \) and the map \( r \) is given as
\[ r(a \otimes b \wedge c) = a \wedge b \wedge c, \ a, b, c \in A. \]

Let the complex \( X \) be simply connected. Given an abelian group \( A \), define the map
\[ q : \Gamma_2(A) \otimes A \to \mathcal{L}_3^3(A) \oplus \Gamma_2(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \]
by setting
\[ q(\gamma_2(a) \otimes b) = -\{ b, a, a \} + (\gamma_2(a + b) - \gamma_2(a) - \gamma_2(b)) \otimes 1, \ a, b \in A. \]

Define the group \( \Gamma_2^2X = \Gamma_2^2(\Gamma_2(\pi_2X) \to \pi_3X) \) as the pushout:
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_2(\pi_2(X)) \otimes (\pi_2(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{q \otimes id} & \mathcal{L}_3^3(\pi_2(X)) \oplus \Gamma_2(\pi_2(X)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\downarrow \eta \otimes id & & \downarrow \\
\pi_3(X) \otimes (\pi_2(X) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2) & \rightarrow & \Gamma_2^2(X)
\end{array}
\]

Theorem 2.5 \cite[Theorem 3.1]{2} Let \( X \) be a \((r - 1)\)-connected CW-complexes with \( r \geq 2 \).

1) If \( r = 2 \), then there is a natural short exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \Gamma_2^2(X) \to \Gamma_4(X) \to R_2(\pi_2(X)) \to 0. \]
2) If \( r = 3 \), then there is a natural exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \pi_4(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \Lambda^2(\pi_3(X)) \to \Gamma_5(X) \to \text{Tor}(\pi_3(X), \mathbb{Z}/2) \to 0. \]
3) If \( r \geq 4 \), there is a natural exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \pi_{r+1}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \Gamma_{r+2}(X) \to \text{Tor}(\pi_r(X), \mathbb{Z}/2) \to 0. \]

Let \( A \) be an abelian group. Consider the Hurewicz homomorphism
\[ h_* : \pi_*(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \to \tilde{H}_*(\Sigma K(A, 1)) = \tilde{H}_{*-1}(K(A, 1)) = \tilde{H}_{*-1}(A). \]
Since $H_*(K(A, 1))$ is graded commutative ring, the inclusion

$$A = H_1(K(A, 1)) \subseteq H_*(K(A, 1))$$

induces a ring homomorphism

$$\lambda: \Lambda(A) \rightarrow H_*(K(A, 1)).$$

By [10, Theorem 19.3], $\lambda$ is a monomorphism and so we may consider $\Lambda^n(A) \subseteq H_n(K(A, 1)) = H_n(A)$.

Lemma 2.6 For every abelian group $A$, the Hurewicz image

$$\text{Im}(h_{n+1}: \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \rightarrow H_n(A))$$

contains the subgroup $\Lambda^n(A)$.

Proof From the naturality, it suffices to show that the statement holds for a free abelian group $A$.

When $A$ is a free abelian group, then $K(A, 1)$ is a (weak) Cartesian product of the circles. Thus $\Sigma K(A, 1)$ is a wedge of spheres from the suspension splitting that

$$\Sigma X \times Y \simeq \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \vee \Sigma X \wedge Y$$

and so the Hurewicz homomorphism induces an epimorphism

$$h_*: \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \rightarrow H_n(A) = \Lambda^n(A)$$

for a free abelian group $A$. This finishes the proof. \qed

2.4 Carlsson construction.

Let $G_*$ be a simplicial group and $X$ a pointed simplicial set with a base point $\ast$. Consider the simplicial group $F^{G_*}(X)$ defined as

$$F^H(X)_n = \coprod_{x \in X_n} (G_n)_x,$$

i.e. in each degree $F^{G_*}(X)_n$ is the free product of groups $G_n$ numerated by elements of $X_n$ modulo $(G_n)_x$, with the canonical choice of face and degeneracy morphisms. It is proved in [7] that the geometric realization $\vert F^{G_*}(X) \vert$ is homotopy equivalent to the loop space $\Omega(|X| \wedge B|G|)$. The main example we will consider is the simplicial circle $X = S^1$ with

$$S^1_0 = \{\ast\}, \quad S^1_1 = \{\ast, \sigma\}, \quad S^1_2 = \{\ast, s_0\sigma, s_1\sigma\}, \ldots, \quad S^1_{n+1} = \{\ast, x_0, \ldots, x_n\}.$$
where \( x_i = s_n \ldots s_i \ldots s_0 \sigma \) and the simplicial group \( G_* \) with \( G_n = G \) for a given group \( G \), with identity homomorphisms as all face and degeneracy maps. In this case we use the notation \( F^G(X) = F^{G_*}(X) \). One has a homotopy equivalence

\[
|F^G(S^1)| \simeq \Omega \Sigma K(G, 1).
\]

The group \( F^G(S^1)_n \) is the \( n \)-fold free product of \( G \):

\[
F^G(S^1)_1 = G, \quad F^G(S^1)_2 = G * G, \quad F^G(S^1)_3 = G * G * G, \ldots
\]

We can formally identify \( G * G \) with \( s_0 G * s_1 G \), \( G * G * G \) with \( s_1 s_0 G * s_2 s_0 G * s_2 s_1 G \), etc, and to define naturally the face and degeneracy maps:

\[
F^G(S^1) : \ldots \longrightarrow G * G * G \quad \longrightarrow \quad G * G \quad \longrightarrow \quad G.
\]

Remark. Consider the second term \( F^G(S^1)_2 = G * G \) and face morphisms \( d_0, d_1, d_2 : G * G = s_0(G) * s_1(G) \rightarrow G \) defined as

\[
d_0 : \begin{cases} s_0(g) \mapsto g \\ s_1(g) \mapsto 1 \end{cases}, \quad d_1 : \begin{cases} s_0(g) \mapsto g \\ s_1(g) \mapsto g \end{cases}, \quad d_2 : \begin{cases} s_0(g) \mapsto 1 \\ s_1(g) \mapsto g \end{cases}.
\]

There is a natural commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
\pi_3(\Sigma K(G, 1)) & \longrightarrow & G \otimes G & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{ab} \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
\pi_3(\Sigma K(G, 1)) & \longrightarrow & (\ker(d_1) \cap \ker(d_2))/B_2 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & G_{ab}
\end{array}
\]

where \( B_2 \) is the 2-boundary subgroup of \( G * G \) and the map \( f \) is defined as

\[
f(g \otimes h) = [s_0(g)s_1(g)^{-1}, s_0(h)].B_2.
\]

There is a natural description of the 2-boundary (see [11], for example):

\[
B_2 = [\ker(d_0), \ker(d_1) \cap \ker(d_2)][\ker(d_1), \ker(d_2) \cap \ker(d_0)][\ker(d_2), \ker(d_0) \cap \ker(d_1)].
\]

Diagram (2–5) implies that \( f \) is a natural isomorphism.
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In the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, the simplicial group $F^G(S^1)$ is identical to the Milnor construction $F(S^1)$, with $F(S^1)_n$ a free group of rank $n$, for $n \geq 1$:

$$F(S^1) : \cdots \to F_3 \overset{\sim}{\to} F_2 \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

In this case there is a homotopy equivalence

$$|F(S^1)| \simeq \Omega S^2$$

and the construction $F(S^1)$ provides a combinatorial model for the computation of homotopy groups of the 2-sphere $S^2$. The construction $F(S^1)$ was studied from the group-theoretical point of view in [21]. It is easy to find the simplicial generators of the homotopy classes of $\pi_i(F(S^1)) = \pi_{i+1}(S^2)$ for $i = 3, 4, 5$. In order to find these simplicial generators, consider the sequence of maps between Milnor simplicial constructions $F(S^4) \to F(S^3) \to F(S^2) \to F(S^1)$ such that the induced homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z} = \pi_2(F(S^2)) \to \pi_2(F(S^1)) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z} = \pi_3(F(S^3)) \to \pi_3(F(S^2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ are epimorphisms and define the homotopy classes of $\pi_3(S^2)$ and $\pi_4(S^3)$ respectively.

$$F(S^3)_4 \overset{\cdots}{\to} \mathbb{Z} \quad \Downarrow \quad \eta^2$$

$$F(S^2)_4 \overset{\cdots}{\to} F(S^2)_3 \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{Z} \quad \Downarrow \quad \eta$$

$$F(S^1)_4 \overset{\cdots}{\to} F(S^1)_3 \overset{\sim}{\to} F(S^1)_2 \overset{\sim}{\to} \mathbb{Z}$$

For $n \geq 3$, the homotopy class of $\pi_n(S^{n-1})$ defined as $\pi_{n-1}(F(S^{n-2}))$ is generated by $[s_0(\sigma_{n-2}), s_1(\sigma_{n-2})]$ in $F(S^{n-2})_{n-1}$ (see [21]), where $\sigma_{n-2}$ is a generator of $F(S^{n-2})_{n-2} = \mathbb{Z}$. That is, we can define the simplicial suspension maps $\eta^i : F(S^i+1)_{i+1} \to F(S^i)_{i+1}$ by

$$\eta^i : \sigma_{i+1} \to [s_0(\sigma_i), s_1(\sigma_i)], \quad i \geq 1.$$ 

Since the generators of $\pi_i(S^2)$ are presented by suspensions over Hopf fibration for $i = 3, 4, 5$, the simplicial generators of $\pi_i(F(S^1))$, $i = 2, 3, 4$ are given by the following elements:
\[ w_2(x_0, x_1) = [x_0, x_1] \]
\[ w_3(x_0, x_1, x_2) = [[x_0, x_2], [x_0, x_1]] \]
\[ w_4(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = [[[x_0, x_3], [x_0, x_1]], [[x_0, x_2], [x_0, x_1]]]. \]

Here we use the natural notations \( x_j := s_j \ldots \hat{s}_j \ldots s_0(\sigma_1) \), \( j = 0, \ldots, i \) for the basis elements in \( F(S^1)_{i+1} \).

### 2.5 Spectral sequence

Consider an abelian group \( A \) and its two-step flat resolution
\[
0 \to A_1 \to A_0 \to A \to 0.
\]
By Dold-Kan correspondence, we obtain the following free abelian simplicial resolution of \( A \):
\[
N^{-1}(A_1 \hookrightarrow A_0) : \quad \ldots \xrightarrow{\otimes} A_1 \oplus s_0(A_0) \xrightarrow{\otimes} A_0.
\]

Applying Carlsson construction to the resolution \( N^{-1}(A_1 \hookrightarrow A_0) \), we obtain the following bisimplicial group:
\[
\begin{array}{c}
F^{N^{-1}(A_1 \hookrightarrow A_0)_{2}}(S^n)_{3} \\
F^{A_1 \oplus s_0(A_0)}(S^n)_{3} \\
F^{A_0}(S^n)_{3}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\xrightarrow{\otimes} \\
\xrightarrow{\otimes} \\
\xrightarrow{\otimes}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
F^{N^{-1}(A_1 \hookrightarrow A_0)_{2}}(S^n)_{2} \\
F^{A_1 \oplus s_0(A_0)}(S^n)_{2} \\
F^{A_0}(S^n)_{2}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
N^{-1}(A_1 \hookrightarrow A_0)_{2} \\
A_1 \oplus s_0(A_0) \\
A_0
\end{array}
\]

\[2\]One can continue the process of construction of elements \( w_{n+1}(x_0, \ldots, x_n) \) by the following law: \( w_{n+1}(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = [w_n(x_0, \ldots, \hat{x}_{n-1}, x_n), w_n(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1})] \). In this case, the 16-commutator bracket \( w_5(x_0, \ldots, x_4) \) corresponds to the element of order 2 in \( \pi_6(S^2) \), but the 32-commutator bracket \( w_6(x_0, \ldots, x_5) \) lies in the simplicial boundary subgroup \( BF(S^1)_b \) (see [10]). The construction of a simplicial generator of the 3-torsion in \( \pi_6(S^2) \) is more tricky: it is possible to find its simplicial representative which is a product of six brackets of the commutator weight six.
Here the $m$th horizontal simplicial group is Carlsson construction $F^{N-1}(A_1 \hookrightarrow A_0)_m(S^n)$. By the result of Quillen [15], we obtain the following spectral sequence:

\[ E^{2}_{p,q} = \pi_q(\pi_p(\Sigma^n K(N^{-1}(A_1 \hookrightarrow A_0), 1)) \Rightarrow \pi_{p+q}(\Sigma^n K(A, 1)). \]

Consider now a non-abelian analog of this spectral sequence, for $n = 1$. Suppose now that a group $G$ is arbitrary, not necessary abelian. Consider a simplicial resolution of $G$:

\[ G_* \to G, \]

i.e. $G_*$ is a simplicial group with $\pi_0(G_*) = G$, $\pi_i(G_*) = 0$, $i > 0$. Consider the following bisimplicial group

\[
\begin{array}{c}
G_2 \ast G_2 \ast G_2 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
G_2 \ast G_2 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
G_2
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
G_1 \ast G_1 \ast G_1 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
G_1 \ast G_1 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
G_1
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
G_0 \ast G_0 \ast G_0 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
G_0 \ast G_0 \\
\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
G_0
\end{array}
\]

Again, by the result of Quillen [15], we obtain the following spectral sequence:

\[ E^{2}_{p,q} = \pi_q(\pi_p(\Sigma K(G_*, 1))) \Rightarrow \pi_{p+q}(\Sigma K(G, 1)). \]

If $G_*$ is a free simplicial resolution, the spectral sequence (2–10) contains a lot of canonical differentials of a complicated nature:
3 On group $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$

3.1 The group $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ for an abelian group $A$

Let $A$ be an abelian group. Consider the homotopy commutative diagram of fibre sequences

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1) & \xrightarrow{H} & \Sigma K(A, 1) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1) & \xrightarrow{f} & K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2) \\
\end{array}
$$

(3–1)

where $H$ is the Hopf fibration. Thus we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1 There are isomorphisms

$$
\pi_n(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)) \cong \pi_n(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \cong \pi_n(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2))
$$

for $n \geq 3$. In particular, $\pi_3(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \cong \pi_3(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)) \cong A \otimes A$. 

By Lemma 2.3, the lower homology of the wedge $K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)$ are the following:

Lemma 3.2

$$
\begin{align*}
H_2(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)) &= A \oplus A, \\
H_3(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)) &= 0, \\
H_4(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)) &= \Gamma_2(A) \oplus \Gamma_2(A), \\
H_5(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)) &= R_2(A) \oplus R_2(A).
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.3 The Hurewicz image

$$
h_n: \pi_n(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)) \longrightarrow H_n(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2))
$$

is zero for $n \geq 3$. 
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Proof The assertion follows from the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_n(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)) & \xrightarrow{h_n} & H_n(K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi_n(K(A, 2) \times K(A, 2)) & = & 0 \xrightarrow{h_n} H_n(K(A, 2) \times K(A, 2)).
\end{array}
\]

\[\square\]

Theorem 3.4 Let \(A\) be any abelian group. Then there is a natural short exact sequence

\[(\Lambda^2(A) \otimes A)^{\oplus 2} \oplus A \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{j} \pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Tor}(A, A).\]

Moreover \((\Lambda^2(A) \otimes A)^{\oplus 2}\) is an (unnatural) summand of \(\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))\).

Proof Let \(X = K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2)\) and let \(Y = K(A, 2) \times K(A, 2) = K(A \oplus A, 2)\). From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, there is a short exact sequence

\[R_2(A) \oplus R_2(A) \xrightarrow{j} \Gamma_4(X) \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi_4(X).\]

The inclusion \(j: X = K(A, 2) \vee K(A, 2) \xrightarrow{j} Y = K(A, 2) \times K(A, 2)\) induces a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H_5(X) = R_2(A) \oplus R_2(A) & \xrightarrow{\jmath_*} & \Gamma_4(X) \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi_4(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_5(Y) = R_2(A \oplus A) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \Gamma_4(Y) \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi_4(Y) = 0.
\end{array}
\]

By formula (2–1),

\[H_5(K(A, 2) \times K(A, 2)) = H_5(K(A \oplus A, 2)) = R_2(A) \oplus R_2(A) \oplus \text{Tor}(A, A)\]

and so the cokernel of \(j_*: H_5(X) \to H_5(Y)\) is \(\text{Tor}(A, A)\). On the other hand, from Theorem 2.5(1), there is a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_2^2(X) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \Gamma_4(X) \xrightarrow{\psi} R_2(\pi_2(X)) = R_2(A \oplus A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma_2^2(Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \Gamma_4(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi} R_2(\pi_2(Y)) = R_2(A \oplus A).
\end{array}
\]
The composite
\[
\psi \circ \phi: R_2(A \oplus A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Gamma_4(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi} R_2(A \oplus A)
\]
is a natural self epimorphism for any abelian group A. It is an isomorphism for any finitely generated abelian A and so an isomorphism for any abelian group A by considering the direct limit. Thus \( j_* : \Gamma_4(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_4(Y) \) is an epimorphism and, from diagram (3–2), there is a short exact sequence (3–3)
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma_2^2(X) \xleftarrow{\phi} \pi_4(X) \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{Tor}(A, A).
\end{array}
\]
Let \( Z = \Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1) \) and let \( f : Z \rightarrow X \) be the map in diagram (3–1). Consider the commutative diagram (3–4)
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\pi_2(Z) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 = \Gamma_2^2(Z) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Gamma_4(Z) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \pi_4(Z) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_4(Z) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \Gamma_3(Z) = 0 \\
\downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* & & \cong \\
\Gamma_2^2(X) & \xleftarrow{\phi} & \pi_4(X) & ,
\end{array}
\]
where \( \Gamma_2^2(Z) \rightarrow \Gamma_4(Z) \) is an isomorphism because its cokernel \( R_2(\pi_2(Z)) = 0 \). From the definition (2–4) of the functor \( \Gamma_2^2 \),
\[
f_* : \Gamma_2^2(Z) \rightarrow \Gamma_2^2(X)
\]
is a monomorphism with retracting homomorphism \( \phi' : \Gamma_2^2(X) \rightarrow \Gamma_2^2(Z) \). By the short exact sequence (3–3), \( \Gamma_4(Z) \rightarrow \pi_4(Z) \) is a monomorphism and so there is a short exact sequence (3–5)
\[
A \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 = \Gamma_4(Z) \xleftarrow{\phi} \pi_4(Z) \xrightarrow{\psi} H_4(Z) = H_3(K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)).
\]
Note that \( H_1(K(A, 1)) = A \) and \( H_2(K(A, 1)) = \Lambda^2(A) \). By the Künneth theorem, there is a natural short exact sequence
\[
(A \otimes \Lambda^2(A)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \xleftarrow{\phi} H_4(Z) \xrightarrow{\psi} \text{Tor}(A, A).
\]
Consider the composite
\[
\theta_A : \Gamma_2^2(X) = \Gamma_2^2(\Gamma_2(A \oplus A) \rightarrow A \otimes A) \xleftarrow{\phi} \pi_4(X) \xrightarrow{f_*^{-1}} \pi_4(Z) \xrightarrow{\psi} H_4(Z) \xrightarrow{\psi'} \text{Tor}(A, A),
\]
which is natural on any abelian group A. If A is a free abelian group, then \( \theta_A = 0 \). For any abelian group A, choose any free abelian group \( A_0 \) with an epimorphism \( g : A_0 \rightarrow A \). From the definition (2–4) of \( \Gamma_2^2 \),
\[
\Gamma_2^2(g) : \Gamma_2^2(\Gamma_2(A_0 \oplus A_0) \rightarrow A_0 \otimes A_0) \rightarrow \Gamma_2^2(\Gamma_2(A \oplus A) \rightarrow A \otimes A)
\]
is an epimorphism. By the naturality of $\theta_A$, we have $\theta_A = 0$ because $\theta_{A_0} = 0$.

Now, from diagram (3–4), there is a commutative diagram of natural short exact sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
A \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 &\longrightarrow A \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\odot &\downarrow \quad \odot \\
\Gamma_4^2(X) &\hookrightarrow \pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(A, A) \\
\downarrow &\quad \downarrow \\
(A \otimes \Lambda^2(A))^{\oplus 2} &\hookrightarrow H_4(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(A, A).
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that there is a natural (on $A$) isomorphism

$$
\Gamma_4^2(X) \cong A \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus (A \otimes \Lambda^2(A))^{\oplus 2}.
$$

Since $(A \otimes \Lambda^2(A))^{\oplus 2}$ is an (unnatural) summand of $H_4(\mathbb{Z})$, it is an (unnatural) summand of $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$. The proof is finished. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 3.5** Let $p$ be an odd prime integer. Then

$$
\pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/p^r
$$

and the Hurewicz homomorphism

$$
\pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 1)) \to H_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 1))
$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proof** In this case, $A \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 = 0$. Since $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$ is cyclic, $\Lambda^2(A) \otimes A = 0$ and hence the result. \hfill \Box

For completely determining the group $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$, we have to consider the divisibility problem of the elements in the subgroup $A \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 = \Gamma_4(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1)) = \pi_4(\mathbb{Z})$. We solve this problem for any finitely generated abelian group $A$.

**Lemma 3.6** Let $A$ be any abelian group and let $j: M(A, 1) \to K(A, 1)$ be a map such that $j_*: H_1(M(A, 1)) \to H_1(K(A, 1))$ is an isomorphism. Then there is an (unnatural) splitting exact sequence

$$
\pi_4(\Sigma M(A, 1) \wedge M(A, 1)) \xrightarrow{(\Sigma j)_*} \pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A \otimes \Lambda^2(A))^{\oplus 2}.
$$
Proof Let $X = \Sigma M(A, 1) \wedge M(A, 1)$ and let $Z = \Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)$. The assertion follows from the commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Gamma_4(X) & \subset & \pi_4(X) & \rightarrow & H_4(X) = \text{Tor}(A, A) \\
\cong & & & & & & \\
\Gamma_4(Z) & \subset & \pi_4(Z) & \rightarrow & H_4(Z),
\end{array}
$$

where the bottom row is short exact by equation (3–5).

Given a finitely generated abelian group $A$, let

$$
A = A_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} A_{p^r}
$$

be the primary decomposition of $A$, where $A_1$ is torsion free and $A_{p^r}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$-module.

Theorem 3.7 Let $A$ be any finitely generated abelian group. Let $A = A_2 \oplus B$ with $B = A_1 \oplus \bigoplus_{p^r \neq 2} A_{p^r}$. Then

$$
\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \cong \frac{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes B) \oplus B \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus (A \otimes \Lambda^2(A)) \oplus \text{Tor}(A_2, B) \oplus \text{Tor}(B, B),
$$

where $\frac{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/4$-module with rank of $\text{dim}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}(A_2 \otimes A_2)$.

Proof Let $X = \Sigma M(A, 1) \wedge M(A, 1)$. By Lemma 3.6, it suffices to show that

$$
\pi_4(X) \cong \frac{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2) \oplus (A_2 \otimes B) \oplus B \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \text{Tor}(A_2, B) \oplus \text{Tor}(B, B).
$$

Observe that there is a homotopy decomposition

$$
X \simeq \bigvee_{r, s \geq 0} \Sigma M(A_{p^r}, 1) \wedge M(A_{q^s}, 1),
$$

where we allow $r, s$ to be 0 for having the factor $A_1$ to be appeared. Thus there is a decomposition

$$
\pi_4(X) \cong \bigoplus_{r, s \geq 0} \pi_4(\Sigma M(A_{p^r}, 1) \wedge M(A_{q^s}, 1)).
$$
Let \( r, s \geq 1 \) and let \( p \) and \( q \) be positive prime integers. From [13, Corollary 6.6], there is a homotopy decomposition
\[
(3–7) \quad \Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/q^s, 1) \\
\simeq \begin{cases} 
\ast & \text{if } p \neq q, \\
M(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\min\{r,s\}}, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/p^{\min\{r,s\}}, 4) & \text{if } p = q \text{ and } \max\{p^r, q^s\} > 2.
\end{cases}
\]
By taking \( \pi_4 \) to above decomposition, we have
\[
(3–8) \quad \pi_4(\Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/q^s, 1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r \otimes \mathbb{Z}/q^s \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, \mathbb{Z}/2^s)
\]
if \( \max\{p^r, q^s\} > 2 \). Clearly this formula also holds for the case where \( p^r = 1 \) or \( q^s = 1 \). For the case \( p^r = q^s = 2 \), we claim that
\[
(3–9) \quad \pi_4(\Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/4.
\]
Let \( Y = \Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \). From the short exact sequence
\[
\Gamma_4(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \longleftarrow \pi_4(Y) \longrightarrow H_4(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/2,
\]
the group \( \pi_4(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \) or \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \). Suppose that \( \pi_4(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \). Then there exists an element \( \alpha \in \pi_4(Y) \) of order 2 which has the nontrivial Hurewicz image. Since \( \alpha \) is of order 2, the map \( \alpha: S^4 \rightarrow Y \) extends to a map \( \tilde{\alpha}: M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 4) \rightarrow Y \) with
\[
\tilde{\alpha}_*: H_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 4)) \longrightarrow H_4(Y)
\]
an isomorphism. Let
\[
j: M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3) \longrightarrow Y
\]
be the canonical inclusion. Then \( j_*: H_3(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \rightarrow H_3(Y) \) is an isomorphism. Then
\[
(j, \tilde{\alpha}): M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 4) \longrightarrow Y
\]
is a homotopy equivalence because it induces an isomorphism on homology, which contradicts that the Steenrod operation \( Sq^2: H^3(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow H^5(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) is an isomorphism. Thus \( \pi_4(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \).

Now the assertion follows from decomposition (3–6) and the computational formulae (3–8) and (3–9).

\[ \square \]

Corollary 3.8 \ Let \( A_2 \) be any elementary 2-group. Then there is a natural short exact sequence
\[
(A_2 \otimes \Lambda^2(A_2))^\oplus 2 \longleftarrow \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_2, 1)) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2),
\]
where \( \frac{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2) \) is a free \( \mathbb{Z}/4 \)-module. Moreover this splits off unnaturally.
Proof By Theorem 3.4, there is a natural short exact sequence

\[(A_2 \otimes \Lambda^2(A_2)) \oplus 2 \subset - \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_2, 1)) = \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_2, 1))/(A_2 \otimes \Lambda^2(A_2)) \oplus 2.\]

By Theorem 3.7, the quotient group \(\pi_4(\Sigma K(A_2, 1))/(A_2 \otimes \Lambda^2(A_2)) \oplus 2\) is free \(\mathbb{Z}/4\)-module for any finite dimensional elementary 2-groups. The assertion follows by taking direct limits.

Remark 3.9 The summand \(1/2(A_2 \otimes A_2)\) is sub-quotient functor of \(\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))\) on \(A\) in the following sense. For any abelian group \(A\), the \(\mathbb{Z}/2\)-component \(A_2\) is given by the image of

\[Sq^1_1 : H_2(A; \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H_1(A; \mathbb{Z}/2).\]

Thus \(A \mapsto \overset{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2)\) is a sub functor of the identity functor on abelian groups. Then \(\pi_4(\Sigma K(A_2, 1))\) is a sub functor of \(\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))\) on \(A\) and so

\[\overset{1}{2}(A_2 \otimes A_2) = \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_2, 1))/(A_2 \otimes \Lambda^2(A_2)) \oplus 2\]

is a sub-quotient functor of \(\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))\) on \(A\).

3.2 Applications

As an application, we compute \(\pi_i(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2))\) for \(i \leq 4\). By the Hurewicz Theorem, \(\pi_2(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) = \mathbb{Z}/p^r\). From the Whitehead exact sequence (2–2), we have

\[(3–10) \quad \Gamma_n(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) = \pi_n(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2))\]

for \(r \geq 3\) because \(H_i(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) = 0\) for \(i \geq 3\). It follows directly that

\[(3–11) \quad \pi_3(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) = \Gamma_3(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) = \Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/p^r & \text{if } p > 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2^{r+1} & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}\]

where \(\Gamma_2(A)\) is computed in Example 2.2. From Theorem 2.5 (1), there is a short exact sequence

\[\Gamma_2^1(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) \longrightarrow R_2(\pi_2(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2))) = R_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r).\]

From subsection 2.2,

\[R_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p > 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}\]
By the definition (2–4) of the functor $\Gamma_2^2$, the group $\Gamma_2^2(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2))$ is given by the push-out

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^r \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{q \otimes \text{id}} & L_3^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^r) \oplus \Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\cong & & \\
\pi_3(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/p^r \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2) & \to & \Gamma_2^2(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)).
\end{array}
$$

Thus

$$
\Gamma_2^2(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) \cong L_3^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^r) \oplus \Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z}/p^r) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2.
$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$ is cyclic and $L_3^1(A)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of $A \otimes \Lambda^2(A) \to \Lambda^3(A)$, we have

$$
L_3^1(\mathbb{Z}/p^r) = 0
$$

and so

$$
\Gamma_2^2(M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } p > 2, \\
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } p = 2.
\end{cases}
$$

A direct consequence is:

(3–12) \hspace{1cm} \pi_4(\Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 2)) = 0 \text{ for } p > 2.

For the case $p = 2$, we have the short exact sequence

$$
\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 2)) \to \mathbb{Z}/2.
$$

The remaining problem is to decide whether $\pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 2))$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ or $\mathbb{Z}/4$. It has been computed in [22] that $\pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)) = \mathbb{Z}/4$. For $r > 1$, the group $\pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 2))$ seems not recorded in references. We are going to determine the group $\pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 2))$ using our methods.

Lemma 3.10 Let

$$
j: M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 2) \to \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)
$$

be the canonical map inducing isomorphism on $H_2$. Then

$$
\pi_*: \Gamma_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 2)) \to \Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1))
$$

is an isomorphism.
Proof By Theorem 2.5(1), there is a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma_2^2(M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) \twoheadrightarrow R_2(\mathbb{Z}/2') = \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\xrightarrow{j_*} \quad \xrightarrow{j_*} \quad \cong \quad \xrightarrow{j_*}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\Gamma_2^2(K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_4(K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) \twoheadrightarrow R_2(\mathbb{Z}/2') = \mathbb{Z}/2
\]

From the Whitehead exact sequence

\[
\Gamma_3(\mathbb{Z}/2') = \mathbb{Z}/2^{r+1} \rightarrow \pi_3(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2' \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2' = \mathbb{Z}/2' \rightarrow 0,
\]

we have

\[
\eta_1 \otimes \text{id}: \Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z}/2') \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/2' \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow \pi_3(K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/2' \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2)
\]

is an isomorphism. Similar to the computation of \( \Gamma_2^2(M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) \), we have

\[
\Gamma_2^2(K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2
\]

with an isomorphism \( j_*: \Gamma_2^2(M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) \cong \Gamma_2^2(K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) \). The assertion then follows by 5-lemma. \( \Box \)

Lemma 3.11 The group

\[
\Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } r > 1, \\
\mathbb{Z}/4 & \text{if } r = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof Let \( Z = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1) \) and let \( H: Z \rightarrow \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1) \) be the Hopf map. From equation (3–5), there is a commutative diagram of exact sequence

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma_4(Z) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \pi_4(Z) \twoheadrightarrow H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2') = \mathbb{Z}/2' \\
\xrightarrow{H_*} \quad \cong \quad \xrightarrow{H_*} \quad \xrightarrow{H_*}
\end{array}
\]

\[
\Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \hookrightarrow \pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \twoheadrightarrow H_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)),
\]

where the bottom row is left exact because \( H_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) = H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2') = 0 \).

If \( r > 1 \), then \( \Gamma_4(Z) \) is a summand of \( \pi_4(Z) \cong \pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \) by Theorem 3.7. Thus \( \Gamma_4(Z) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \) is also a summand of \( \Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \). It follows that

\[
\Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \text{ if } r > 1.
\]
If $r = 1$, by Corollary 3.8, $\pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/4$ and so

$$
\Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) \cong \pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/4.
$$

The proof is finished.

Since $\Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \to \pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1))$ is a monomorphism, from Lemmas 3.10 and 3.11, we have the following:

Corollary 3.12 Let $j : M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2) \to \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)$ be the canonical map inducing isomorphism on $H_2$. Then

1. $\pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)) = \mathbb{Z}/4$ and
   $$
j_* : \pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2)) \to \pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1))
$$
   is an isomorphism.

2. For $r > 1$, $\pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ and
   $$
j_* : \pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 2)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2'
$$
   is a monomorphism.

Note that $M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2) = \Sigma \mathbb{RP}^2$ and $\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) = \Sigma \mathbb{RP}^\infty$ with the canonical inclusion $j : \Sigma \mathbb{RP}^2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{RP}^\infty$. A consequence of Corollary 3.12 (1) on the suspended projective spaces are as follows.

Corollary 3.13 Let $j : \Sigma \mathbb{RP}^2 \to \Sigma \mathbb{RP}^n$ be the canonical inclusion with $3 \leq n \leq \infty$.

1. For $4 \leq n \leq \infty$, $j_* : \pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{RP}^2) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \to \pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{RP}^n)$ is an isomorphism.

2. For $n = 3$, $j_* : \pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{RP}^2) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \to \pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{RP}^3)$ is a splitting monomorphism. Moreover
   $$
\pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{RP}^3) \cong \pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{RP}^2) \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}.
$$

Proof Assertion (1) and the first part of assertion (2) are direct consequences of Corollary 3.12. For the second part of assertion (2), notice that $\mathbb{RP}^3 = SO(3)$. From the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_4(\Sigma SO(3) \wedge SO(3)) & \cong & \pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{RP}^\infty \wedge \mathbb{RP}^\infty) \\
\downarrow H_* & & \downarrow H_* \\
\pi_4(\Sigma SO(3)) & \rightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma \mathbb{RP}^\infty),
\end{array}
$$
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we have
\[ \pi_4(\Sigma SO(3)) \cong \pi_4(\Sigma SO(3) \wedge SO(3)) \oplus \pi_4(BO(3)) \]
\[ \cong \pi_4(\Sigma RP^\infty) \oplus \pi_3(SO(3)) \]
\[ \cong \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z} \]
and hence the result.

Another consequence is as follows:

Corollary 3.14 Let \( \Sigma_3 \) be the third symmetric group. Then \( \pi_4(\Sigma K(\Sigma_3, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/12 \).

Proof Recall that the integral homology groups of \( \Sigma_3 \) are 4-periodic with the following initial terms:
\[ H_1(\Sigma_3) = \mathbb{Z}/2, \quad H_2(\Sigma_3) = 0, \quad H_3(\Sigma_3) = \mathbb{Z}/6, \quad H_4(\Sigma_3) = 0. \]
Let \( X = \Sigma K(\Sigma_3, 1) \). The Whitehead exact sequence has the following form:
\[ \Gamma_4(X) \hookrightarrow \pi_4(X) \longrightarrow H_3(\Sigma_3) = \mathbb{Z}/6 \longrightarrow \Gamma_3(X) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \longrightarrow \pi_3(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2. \]
The inclusion \( \Sigma_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \Sigma_3 \) induces an isomorphism
\[ \pi_i(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \pi_i(\Sigma K(\Sigma_3, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \]
for \( i = 2, 3 \). By Theorem 2.5 (1) together with Lemma 3.11, the inclusion \( \Sigma_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \Sigma_3 \) induces an
\[ \Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \cong \Gamma_4(\Sigma K(\Sigma_3, 1)) \]
and hence the result.

4 On group \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \)

4.1 Some Properties of the Functor \( A \mapsto \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \)

From Hopf fibration
\[ \Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1) \longrightarrow \Sigma K(A, 1) \longrightarrow K(A, 2), \]
it suffices to compute $\pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1))$. Let $Z = \Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)$. Since $Z$ is 2-connected, from Theorem 2.5(2), there are natural exact sequences (4–1)

\[
\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \Lambda^2(A \otimes A) \rightarrow H_6(Z) \rightarrow \Gamma_5(Z) \rightarrow \pi_5(Z) \rightarrow H_5(Z),
\]

where $\pi_5(Z) \rightarrow H_5(Z)$ is onto by equation (3–5). The group $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A, 1))$ has been determined by Theorems 3.4 and 3.7.

**Proposition 4.1** Let $A$ be a free abelian group. Then there is a natural short exact sequence

\[
(\Lambda^2(A) \otimes A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus \Lambda^2(A \otimes A) \rightarrow \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \rightarrow (\Lambda^3(A) \otimes A) \oplus \Lambda^2(A) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2.
\]

**Proof** Since $A$ is a free abelian group, the Hurewicz homomorphism $h_\ast : \pi_6(Z) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_6(Z)$ is onto because $Z$ is a wedge of spheres. Thus there is a short exact sequence

\[
\Gamma_5(Z) \rightarrow \pi_5(Z) \rightarrow H_5(Z).
\]

By Theorem 3.4, $\pi_4(Z) \cong (\Lambda^2(A) \otimes A) \oplus \Lambda^2(A \otimes A) \oplus A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ for a free abelian group $A$. The assertion follows from diagram (4–1).

**Proposition 4.2** If $A$ is a torsion abelian group with the property that $2 : A \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism, then there is a natural short exact sequence

\[
\Lambda^2(A \otimes A) \rightarrow \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \rightarrow H_4(K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)).
\]

**Proof** It suffices to show that the Hurewicz homomorphism $h_\ast : \pi_6(Z) \rightarrow H_6(Z)$ is onto. We may assume that $A$ is finitely generated because we can take direct limit for general case whence the finitely generated case is proved. Then $A$ is a direct sum of the primary $p$-torsion groups $\mathbb{Z}/p^r$ for some $r \geq 1$ and odd primes $p$. According to [12], there is homotopy decomposition

\[
\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 1) \simeq X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_{p-1},
\]
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where \( \tilde{H}_q(X_i; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0 \) if and only if \( q \equiv 2i \mod 2p - 2 \). Together with the decomposition formula (3–7) for the smash product of Moore spaces, up to 6-skeleton, \( \Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1) \) is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres and Moore spaces. It follows that the Hurewicz homomorphism

\[
\pi_6(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)) \longrightarrow H_6(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1))
\]

is onto and hence the result.

From the above proof, we also have the following:

Proposition 4.3 Let \( A \) be any abelian group. Let \( Z_{1/2} = \{ \frac{m}{2^r} \in \mathbb{Q} \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, \ r \geq 0 \} \). Then there is natural short exact sequence

\[
\Lambda^2(A \otimes A) \otimes Z_{1/2} \longrightarrow \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \otimes Z_{1/2} \longrightarrow H_4(K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)) \otimes Z_{1/2}.
\]

For computing the group \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \), as one see from the above, the tricky part is the 2-torsion. Whence \( A \) contains 2-torsion summands, the Hurewicz homomorphism \( \pi_6(Z) \to H_6(Z) \) is no longer epimorphism in general and so \( \Gamma_5(Z) \to \pi_5(Z) \) is not a monomorphism in general. Also the group \( \pi_5(Z) \) in diagram (4–1) admits non-trivial extension. The computation of the group \( \pi_5(\Sigma K(A, 1)) \) for finitely generated abelian groups \( A \) can be given by the following steps:

Step 1. Take a primary decomposition of \( A \) and write \( K(A, 1) \) as a product of copies of \( S^1 = K(\mathbb{Z}, 1) \) and \( K(\mathbb{Z}/p^r, 1) \).

Step 2. By using the fact that \( \Sigma X \times Y \cong \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y \cong \Sigma X \wedge Y \) for any spaces \( X \) and \( Y \), one gets

\[
\Sigma(X_1 \times X_2) \wedge (X_1 \times X_2) \cong \Sigma(X_1 \vee X_2 \vee X_1 \wedge X_2) \wedge (X_1 \vee X_2 \vee X_1 \wedge X_2) \\
= \Sigma(X_1^\wedge 2 \vee X_2^\wedge 2 \vee X_1^\wedge 2 \wedge X_2^\wedge 2) \\
= \bigvee X_1 \wedge X_2 \vee X_1^\wedge 2 \wedge X_2 \vee X_1 \wedge X_2^\wedge 2.
\]

From this, \( \Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1) \) is then homotopy equivalent to a wedge of the spaces in the form

\[
X = \Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/p_2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/p_t^{r_t}, 1)
\]

with \( m + t \geq 3 \) and \( m \geq 1 \).
Step 3. By applying the Hilton-Milnor Theorem, we have
\[
\Omega(\Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y) \simeq \Omega \Sigma X \times \Omega \Sigma Y \times \Omega \Sigma((\Omega \Sigma X) \wedge (\Omega \Sigma Y)) \\
\simeq \Omega \Sigma X \times \Omega \Sigma Y \times \Omega \Sigma \left( \bigvee_{i,j=1}^{\infty} X^{\wedge i} \wedge Y^{\wedge j} \right).
\]

Thus
\[
\pi_n(\Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y) \cong \pi_n(\Sigma X) \oplus \pi_n(\Sigma Y) \oplus \pi_n \left( \bigvee_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \Sigma X^{\wedge i} \wedge Y^{\wedge j} \right).
\]

Note that the connectivity of \(X^{\wedge i} \wedge Y^{\wedge j}\) tends to \(\infty\) as \(i, j \to \infty\). By repeating the above procedure, \(\pi_n(\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1))\) is isomorphism to a direct sum of the groups \(\pi_n(X)\) with \(X\) given in the form above.

Notice that
\[
\Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/p_2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/p_t^{r_t}, 1) \simeq *
\]
if the primes \(p_i \neq p_j\) for some \(i \neq j\). Thus we only need to compute
\[
\pi_5(\Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}/p_1^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/p_2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/p_t^{r_t}, 1))
\]
for a prime \(p\). If \(t = 0\), the homotopy group \(\pi_5(S^m)\) is known by \(\pi_5(S^3) = \pi_5(\mathbb{S}^3) = \mathbb{Z}/2\) and \(\pi_5(\mathbb{S}^5) = \mathbb{Z}\). For an odd prime \(p\), this homotopy group can be determined by Proposition 4.2. The rest work in this section is of course to compute \(\pi_5(\Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_t}, 1))\) with \(m + t \geq 3\).

When \(m + t \geq 5\), we have
\[
\pi_5(X) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } m + t > 5 \\
\mathbb{Z}/2^{\min(r_1, \ldots, r_t)} & \text{if } m + t = 5 \text{ with } t \geq 1
\end{cases}
\]
for \(X = \Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_t}, 1)\). The first less obvious case is \(m + t = 4\), which will be discussed in the next subsection.

4.2 The Group \(\pi_5(\Sigma^m K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge \cdots \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_t}, 1))\) for \(m + t = 4\) and \(m, t \geq 1\).

We first consider the case \(t = 1\).

Lemma 4.4 The Hurewicz homomorphism
\[
h_5: \pi_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) \to H_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1))
\]
is onto for any \(r \geq 1\).
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Proposition 4.6 Let \( r \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 1 \). Then
\[
\pi_5(S^2K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^\min\{r_1, r_2\} & \text{if } \max\{r_1, r_2\} > 1, \\
\mathbb{Z}/4 & \text{if } r_1 = r_2 = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof Let \( X = \Sigma^2K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \). Consider the Whitehead exact sequence
\[
\pi_5(X) \xrightarrow{h_5} H_5(X) \to \Gamma_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \pi_4(X) \to H_4(X) = 0.
\]
Thus the Hurewicz homomorphism \( h_5 \) is onto if and only if \( \pi_4(X) \neq 0 \).

Let \( f : S^3 \to X \) be a map representing the generator for \( \pi_3(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2^r \). From the remark to Theorem 2.4, \( \pi_4(X) = 0 \) if and only if the composite
\[
S^4 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^3 \xrightarrow{f} X
\]
is null homotopic, if and only if the map \( f : S^3 \to X \) extends to a map \( \tilde{f} : \Sigma \mathbb{C}P^2 \to X \) because \( \Sigma \mathbb{C}P^2 \) is the homotopy cofibre of \( \eta : S^4 \to S^3 \).

Suppose that there exists a map \( \tilde{f} : \Sigma \mathbb{C}P^2 \to X \) such that \( \tilde{f}|_{S^4} = f \). By taking mod 2 cohomology, there is commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^3(\Sigma \mathbb{C}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}^*} & H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^3(\Sigma \mathbb{C}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2) = H^3(S^3; \mathbb{Z}/2) & \xrightarrow{Sq^*} & H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2.
\end{array}
\]
It follows that
\[
Sq^2 : H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)
\]
is an isomorphism. On the other hand, from the fact that \( X = \Sigma^2K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \) and \( Sq^2 : H^1(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1); \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^3(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) \) is zero, \( Sq^2 : H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^5(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) is zero. This gives a contradiction. The assertion follows. \( \square \)

Proposition 4.5 \( \pi_5(\Sigma^3K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \) for \( r \geq 1 \).

Proof Let \( X = \Sigma^3K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \). Consider the Whitehead exact sequence
\[
\pi_6(X) \xrightarrow{h_6} H_6(X) \to \Gamma_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \pi_5(X) \to H_5(X) = 0.
\]
By Lemma 4.4, \( h_6 : \pi_6(X) \to H_6(X) \) is onto. Thus \( \pi_5(X) \cong \Gamma_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \). \( \square \)

Now we consider the case \( t = 2 \).

Proposition 4.6 Let \( r_1, r_2 \geq 1 \). Then
\[
\pi_5(S^2K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1)) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^\min\{r_1, r_2\} & \text{if } \max\{r_1, r_2\} > 1, \\
\mathbb{Z}/4 & \text{if } r_1 = r_2 = 1.
\end{cases}
\]
Proof Let $X = \Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1)$. By Lemma 4.4, there exists a map

$$f_i: S^5 \longrightarrow \Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

which induces an epimorphism

$$f_i*: H_5(S^5) \longrightarrow H_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)).$$

Let $j: Y = \Sigma^2 M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \land M(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1) \hookrightarrow X$ be the canonical inclusion. Then the map

$$f: Y \cup S^5 \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \lor K(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1) \land S^5 \xrightarrow{(f_1, \text{id}, \text{id}, f_2)} X$$

induces an isomorphism on $H_j(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ for $j \leq 6$. Thus

$$f_*: \pi_k \left( Y \cup S^5 \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \lor K(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1) \land S^5 \right) \longrightarrow \pi_k(X)$$

is an isomorphism for $k \leq 5$. Note that

$$\pi_k(S^5 \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) = \pi_k(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \land S^5) = 0$$

for $k \leq 5$. Thus

$$f_*: \pi_k(Y) \longrightarrow \pi_k(X)$$

is an isomorphism for $k \leq 5$. In particular, $\pi_5(Y) \cong \pi_5(X)$.

If $\max r_1, r_2 > 1$, from decomposition (3–7), we have

$$\Sigma^2 M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \land M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \cong M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{\min\{r_1, r_2\}}, 4) \lor M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{\min\{r_1, r_2\}}, 5)$$

and so

$$\pi_5(Y) \cong \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{\min\{r_1, r_2\}}, 4)) \oplus \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{\min\{r_1, r_2\}}, 5)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{\min\{r_1, r_2\}}.$$

Consider the case $r_1 = r_2 = 1$. From formula (3–9) and the Freudenthal Suspension Theorem,

$$\pi_5(\Sigma^2 M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \land M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) \cong \pi_4(\Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \land M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4.$$

The proof is finished. \(\square\)

The last case is $t = 3$.

Proposition 4.7 Let $r_1, r_2, r_3 \geq 1$ and let $r = \min\{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$. Then

$$\pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_3}, 1)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^r & \text{if } \max\{r_1, r_2, r_3\} > 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 1. \end{cases}$$
Proof Let $X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_3}, 1)$. Let $f_i$ be the composite
$$S^6 \xrightarrow{g} \Sigma^3 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \cong \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge S^1 \wedge S^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X,$$
where $g$ is a map which induces epimorphism on $H_6(\;)$ by Lemma 4.4. Similarly, we have the maps
$$f_i: S^6 \rightarrow \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_3}, 1), \quad i = 2, 3,$$
by replacing $K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_i}, 1)$ by $K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_i}, 1)$. Let $Y = \Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_3}, 1)$ and let $j: Y \hookrightarrow X$ be the canonical inclusion. The map
$$Y \vee S^6 \vee S^6 \vee S^6 \xrightarrow{\cup f_1 f_2 f_3} X$$
duces an isomorphism on $H_k(\;; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ for $k \leq 6$ and so
$$(j, f_1 f_2 f_3)_*: \pi_5(Y \vee S^6 \vee S^6 \wedge S^6) = \pi_5(Y) \longrightarrow \pi_5(X)$$
is an isomorphism.

If $\max\{r_1, r_2, r_3\} > 1$, from decomposition (3–7),
$$Y \simeq M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 4) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 5) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 5) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 6)$$
and so
$$\pi_5(Y) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2' \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2'.$$

If $r_1 = r_2 = r_3 = 1$, there is a homotopy decomposition [22, Corollary 3.7]
$$\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \cong \Sigma \mathbb{C}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \vee \Sigma^4 \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \Sigma^4 \mathbb{R}P^2.$$
By [22, Lemma 6.34 (2)],
$$\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{C}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) = 0$$
and so
$$\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2,$$
which finishes the proof.

Remark 4.8 For the case $X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)$, the Hurewicz homomorphism
$$\pi_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow H_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$$
is an isomorphism and so, in the Whitehead exact sequence,
$$H_6(X) \longrightarrow \Gamma_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2$$
is onto. This gives an example that the morphism $H_6(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \Gamma_5(\mathbb{Z})$ in diagram (4–1) may not be zero, which is the only example in the case $m + t = 4$. More examples will be shown up in the case $m + t = 3$ in the next subsections.
4.3 The Group $\pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) \cong \pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1))$.

Lemma 4.9 Let $X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)$ with $r \geq 1$. Then mod 2 Hurewicz homomorphism

$$\pi_6(X) \xrightarrow{h_6} H_6(X) \rightarrow H_6(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is zero.

Proof Recall that the mod 2 cohomology ring

$$H^*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong E(u_1) \otimes P(u_2)$$

with the $r$th Bockstein $\beta^r(u_1) = u_2$. Let $x_i$ (and $y_i$) denote the basis for $H_i(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r; \mathbb{Z}/2))$. The Steenrod operations and the Bockstein on lower homology are given by

$$\begin{align*}
Sq^2x_4 &= x_2 & Sq^2y_4 &= y_2 \\
\beta_r(x_4) &= x_3 & \beta_r y_4 &= y_3 \\
\beta_r(x_2) &= x_1 & \beta_r y_2 &= y_1.
\end{align*}$$

The $\mathbb{Z}/2$-vector space $s^{-1}H_k(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ with $k \leq 6$ has a basis given by the table

$$\begin{pmatrix}
k = 6 & x_1y_4 & x_2y_3 & x_3y_2 & x_4y_1 \\
k = 5 & x_1y_3 & x_2y_2 & x_3y_1 \\
k = 4 & x_1y_2 & x_2y_1 \\
k = 3 & x_1y_1
\end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\alpha \in H_6(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ be a spherical class. Then

$$s^{-1} \alpha = \epsilon_1x_1y_4 + \epsilon_2x_2y_3 + \epsilon_3x_3y_2 + \epsilon_4x_4y_1$$

for some $\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. Observe that for any spherical class,

$$\beta_s(\alpha) = Sq^s_{-1}(\alpha) = 0$$

for any $s, t \geq 1$. By applying $Sq^2_x$ to $\alpha$, we have

$$0 = Sq^2_x(s^{-1}\alpha) = \epsilon_1x_1y_2 + 0 + 0 + \epsilon_4x_2y_1$$

in $s^{-1}H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Thus

$$(4-2) \quad \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_4 = 0$$
By applying the Bockstein $\beta_r$ to $\alpha$, we have

$$0 = \beta_r(s^{-1}\alpha) = \epsilon_1\beta_r(x_1y_4) + \epsilon_2\beta_r(x_2y_3) + \epsilon_3\beta_r(x_3y_2) + \epsilon_4\beta_r(x_4y_1)$$

$$= \epsilon_1x_1y_3 + \epsilon_2x_1y_3 + \epsilon_3x_3y_1 + \epsilon_4x_3y_1$$

and so

$$\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4 = 0.$$ 

Together with equation (4–2), we have $\epsilon_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Thus $\alpha = 0$ and hence the result. \hfill $\Box$

**Theorem 4.10** \quad $\pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) \cong \pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$.

**Proof** \quad Let $X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)$. Notice that $H_6(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong H_6(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

From diagram (4–1), there is an exact sequence

$$H_6(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_5(X) \longrightarrow \pi_4(X) \longrightarrow H_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

By Corollary 3.8,

$$\pi_4(X) \cong \pi_4(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/4.$$

From Theorem 2.5(2), there is a short exact sequence

$$\pi_4(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \Lambda^2(\pi_3(X)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_5(X) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(\pi_3(X), \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2.$$ 

Thus the group $\Gamma_5(X)$ is of order 4. It follows that the monomorphism

$$H_6(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_4(X)$$

is an isomorphism and hence the result. \hfill $\Box$

**Lemma 4.11** \quad Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$ with $\max\{r_1, r_2\} > 1$. Then there is a short exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \Gamma_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

**Proof** \quad Let $A = \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}$. Let $X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1)$. Then $X$ is a retract of $\Sigma K(A, 1) \wedge K(A, 1)$. From Theorem 3.7, $\Gamma_4(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 = \mathbb{Z}/2$ is summand of $\pi_4(X)$ and so

$$\pi_4(X) \cong \Gamma_4(X) \oplus H_4(X) \cong \Gamma_4(X) \oplus H_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1)) \cong \Gamma_4(X) \oplus \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{\min\{r_1, r_2\}}.$$

The assertion follows from Theorem 2.5(2). \hfill $\Box$
There is a canonical choice of skeleton $sk_n(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1))$ with

$$sk_n(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) = sk_{n-1}(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \cup e^n).$$

This induces a choice of skeleton

$$sk_n(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1)) = \bigcup_{i+j \leq n} sk_i(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) \wedge sk_j(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1)).$$

Lemma 4.12 Let $r_1, r_2 \geq 1$. Let $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$. Let $X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1)$. Then

1. $sk_4(X) \simeq M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \vee S^3$.
2. If $r_1 = r_2 = 1$, then $sk_5(X) \simeq S^5 \vee S^5 \vee \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2$.
3. If $\max\{r_1, r_2\} > 1$, then $sk_5(X) \simeq S^5 \vee S^5 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 4)$.
4. The group

$$\Gamma_5(X) \cong \pi_5(\Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1))$$

$$= \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } r_1 = r_2 = 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } \min\{r_1, r_2\} = 1 \\ \text{and } \max\{r_1, r_2\} > 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } r_1, r_2 > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof We may assume that $r_1 \leq r_2$ and so $r = r_1$. Let $x_i, (y_j)$ be a basis for $H_i(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ ($H_i(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1); \mathbb{Z}/2)$), which represents the $i$-dimensional cell in the space $K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)$. Then

$$s^{-1}H_*(sk_{n+1}(X); \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

has a basis given by $x_iy_j$ with $i+j \leq n$ and $i, j \geq 1$. In particular, $s^{-1}H_*(sk_4(X); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ has a basis $\{x_1y_1, x_1y_2, x_2y_1\}$ with the Bockstein $\beta_1(x_2y_1) = x_1y_1$. There is (unique up to homotopy) 2-local 3-cell complex with this homological structure which is given by $S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)$. Thus $sk_4(X) \simeq S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)$, which is assertion (1).

(2) and (3). Observe that $s^{-1}H_*(sk_5(X); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ has a basis $\{x_1y_1, x_1y_2, x_2y_1, x_1y_3, x_2y_2, x_3y_3\}$. Let

$$j: \Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1) \hookrightarrow sk_5(X)$$

be the canonical inclusion. For $i = 1, 2$, the composite

$$S^5 \xrightarrow{g} \Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \cong \Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \wedge S^{1} \xrightarrow{g} \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^s, 1),$$
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in which \( g \) is map that inducing isomorphism on \( H_5(\mathbb{Z}/2) \) as in Lemma 4.4, induces a map
\[
f_1: S^5 \to \text{sk}_5(X).
\]
By inspecting homology, the map
\[
(f_1, f_2, j): S^5 \vee S^5 \vee \Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \to \text{sk}_5(X)
\]
duces an isomorphism on mod 2 homology and so it is a homotopy equivalent localized at 2. If \( \max\{r_1, r_2\} > 1 \), then from decomposition (3–7),
\[
\Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) \simeq M(\mathbb{Z}/2^2, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4)
\]
and so \( \text{sk}_5(X) \simeq S^5 \vee S^5 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4) \) in this case. Thus assertions (2) and (3) follow.

(4). Case I. \( \max\{r_1, r_2\} > 1 \). By the definition of the Whitehead’s functor \( \Gamma \),
\[
\Gamma_5(X) = \text{Im}(\pi_5(\text{sk}_4(X)) \to \pi_5(\text{sk}_5(X))
\]
\[
= \text{Im}(\pi_5(S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) \to \pi_5(S^5 \vee S^5 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4)))
\]
\[
= \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4))
\]
because
\[
M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4)) \simeq \Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1) = (S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) \cup e^5.
\]
Now it suffices to compute
\[
\pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4)) = \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) \oplus \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4)).
\]
It is straight forward to see that \( \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \) represented by the composite
\[
S^5 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^4 \hookrightarrow M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 4).
\]
If \( r = \min\{r_1, r_2\} = 1 \), then \( \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \) according to [22, Proposition 5.1].

If \( r = \min\{r_1, r_2\} > 1 \), we compute \( \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) \). Observe that this is in the stable range and so
\[
\pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) \cong \pi_5^2(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)).
\]
Now we are working in the stable homotopy category. Since \( \eta: S^5 \to S^4 \) is of order 2, there is a map
\[
\tilde{\eta}: M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 5) \to S^4
\]
such that \( \tilde{\eta}|_{S^5} \simeq \eta \). Since the identity map of \( M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 5) \) is of order 4 (see for instance [17, Theorem 4.4]), there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^5 & \xrightarrow{j} & M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 5) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\eta} \\
M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \xrightarrow{\text{pinch}} S^4 & \xrightarrow{[2^r]} & S^4,
\end{array}
\]

where the bottom row is the cofibre sequence. The composite \( \tilde{\eta}: S^5 \to M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3) \) represents an element in \( \pi_5^s(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) \) that maps down to \( \pi_3^s(S^4) = \mathbb{Z}/2(\eta) \).

Since the map \( j: S^5 \to M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 5) \) is of order 2, the composite \( \tilde{\eta} \circ j \) is of order 2. It follows that

\[
\pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) \cong \pi_5^s(M(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 3)) \cong \pi_3^s(S^4) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2.
\]

Case II. \( r_1 = r_2 = 1 \). In this case, similar to the above arguments,

\[
\Gamma_5(X) = \Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) = \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2).
\]

We compute this homotopy group. Note that

\[
\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2 = \text{sk}_4(X) \cup e^5 = (S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \cup e^5.
\]

There is a cofibre sequence

\[
S^4 \xrightarrow{f} S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3) \xrightarrow{g} \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2,
\]

where the composite

\[
S^4 \xrightarrow{f} S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} S^4
\]

is of degree 2 because

\[
Sq^1_4: H_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \to H_4(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2
\]

is not zero, and the composite

\[
S^4 \xrightarrow{f} S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)
\]

is homotopic to the composite

\[
S^4 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^3 \xrightarrow{j} M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)
\]

because \( \pi_4(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \) and

\[
Sq^2_4: H_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \to H_3(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2
\]
is an isomorphism. Since
\[ \Gamma_3(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) = \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2), \]
\[ g_s : \pi_5(S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \to \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) \]
is an epimorphism. By applying the Hilton-Milnor Theorem,
\[ \pi_5(S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \cong \pi_4(\Omega S^4 \wedge \Omega(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \cong \pi_4(\Omega\Sigma(\Omega S^4) \wedge \Omega M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \cong \pi_4(\Omega S^4) \oplus \pi_4(\Omega(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \cong \pi_5(S^4) \oplus \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)). \]

From [22, Proposition 5.1], \( \pi_5(M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \) generated by the homotopy class of any map \( \phi : S^5 \to M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3) \) such that the composite
\[ S^5 \to M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3) \to S^4 \]
is homotopic to \( \eta \), the generator for \( \pi_5(S^4) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \), and, for any such a choice of map \( \phi \), the element \( 2[\phi] \) is given by the homotopy class of the composite
\[ S^5 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^4 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^3 \xrightarrow{j} M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3). \]

From the fact that \( g \circ f \simeq * \), the composite
\[ \pi_5(S^4) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_5(S^4 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 3)) \xrightarrow{g_*} \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) \]
is zero. Observe that
\[ f_*(\eta) = 2\eta + [j \circ \eta \circ \eta] = 2[\phi]. \]
Thus \( g_*(2[\phi]) = 0 \) and so \( \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) \) is a quotient group \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \). On the other hand, from Theorem 2.5(2), there is short exact sequence
\[ \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \Gamma_3(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) = \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) \to \mathbb{Z}/2. \]

It follows that \( \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \). The proof is finished. \( \square \)

Let \( \text{Len}^3(2') = \text{sk}_3(K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \) be the 3-dimensional lens space.

**Lemma 4.13** Let \( r_1, r_2 \geq 1 \). Let
\[ X_1 = \Sigma M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge M(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1), \]
\[ X_2 = \Sigma \text{Len}^3(2') \wedge \text{Len}^3(2'), \]
\[ X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \wedge K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1). \]
Then there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_5(X_1) & \cong & \pi_5(X_1) \\
\cong & & \\
\cong & & \\
\Gamma_5(X_2) & \subseteq & \pi_5(X_2) \\
\subseteq & & \subseteq \\
H_6(X) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_5(X) \\
\longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow \\
\pi_5(X) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2})^{\otimes 2},
\end{array}
\]

where the rows are exact and the middle a splitting short exact sequence.

**Proof** As in the proof in Lemma 4.12, \( s^{-1} \bar{H}_k(X) \) for \( k \leq 6 \) has a basis

\[ \{ x_i y_j \mid i + j \leq 6, \; i, j \geq 1 \}. \]

Thus

\[ \text{sk}_4(X) \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \text{sk}_5(X) \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \text{sk}_7(X) \]

and so the commutative diagram follows, where

\[ \Gamma_5(X_1) \cong \Gamma_5(X_2) \cong \Gamma_5(X) \]

are given by Lemma 4.12. Since \( \text{sk}_5(X) \subseteq X_2 \), \( \pi_5(X_2) \rightarrow \pi_5(X) \) is onto.

Now we show that the middle row in the diagram splits off. By taking the suspension, there is a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Gamma_5(X_2) & \subseteq & \pi_5(X_2) \\
\subseteq & & \subseteq \\
\Gamma_6(\Sigma X_2) & \subseteq & \pi_6(\Sigma X_2) \\
\subseteq & & \subseteq \\
H_6(X_2) & \longrightarrow & H_6(X_2),
\end{array}
\]

where the left column is an isomorphism because

\[ \Gamma_5(X_2) \cong \pi_5(X_1) \cong \pi_6(\Sigma X_1) \cong \Gamma_6(\Sigma X_2). \]

Thus

\[ (4-5) \quad \pi_5(X_2) \cong \pi_6(\Sigma X_2) \]

by the 5-Lemma.
From Lemma 4.4, there is a map

\[ g: S^5 \longrightarrow \Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1) \]

inducing an isomorphism on \( H_5(\mathbb{Z}/2) \). It follows that

\begin{equation}
\Sigma^2 \text{Len}^3(2') = \Sigma^2 \text{sk}_3(K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \cong S^5 \vee \Sigma^2 M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)
\end{equation}

and so

\begin{equation}
\Sigma X_2 = \Sigma^2 \text{Len}^3(2') \land \text{Len}^3(2')
\end{equation}

\begin{align*}
&\cong (S^5 \vee \Sigma^2 M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \land \text{Len}^3(2') \\
&\cong (S^5 \vee \Sigma^2 M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1) \\
&\cong S^5 \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 6) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 3) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1).
\end{align*}

Thus

\[ \pi_6(\Sigma X_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2' + \mathbb{Z}/2' + \text{Im}(\Gamma_5(X) \to \pi_5(X)) \]

and hence the result.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 4.14** Let \( r > 1 \). Then

\[ \pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \cong \pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1) \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 + \mathbb{Z}/2 + \mathbb{Z}/2'. \]

**Proof** Let \( X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1) \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1) \). By Lemma 4.13,

\[ \pi_5(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2' + \mathbb{Z}/2' + \text{Im}(\Gamma_5(X) \to \pi_5(X)). \]

From Lemma 4.12,

\[ \Gamma_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 3}. \]

By Lemma 4.4, the composite

\[ \pi_6(X) \to H_6(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2' + \mathbb{Z}/2' \to H_6(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \]

is zero. Thus

\[ H_6(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2' + \mathbb{Z}/2' \longrightarrow \Gamma_5(X) = \mathbb{Z}/2 + \mathbb{Z}/2 + \mathbb{Z}/2 \]

detects two copies of \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \)-summands in \( \Gamma_5(X) \). The proof is finished. \[ \square \]
4.4 The Group $\pi_5(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1))$ with $r_1 < r_2$.

Our computation is given by analyzing the cell structure. Let $x_i$ be a basis for $\tilde{H}_i(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, \mathbb{Z}/2))$ and let $y_i$ be a basis for $\tilde{H}_i(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}; \mathbb{Z}/2))$. Then

$$s^{-1}H_k(\Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1), \; k \leq 6,$$

has a basis $\{x_iy_j \mid i + j \leq 6\}$. From the assumption that $r_1 < r_2$, the Steenrod operation and Bockstein are indicated by the following diagram

(4–8)

where the dash arrows mean that the next Bockstein $\beta_{r_2}$, which comes from $H_*(K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1))$, does not actually happen in the Bockstein spectral sequence up to this range.

Lemma 4.15 Let $r_2 > r_1 \geq 1$ and let $X = \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1}, 1) \land K(\mathbb{Z}/2^{r_2}, 1)$. Then the suspension

$$E: \pi_5(X) \longrightarrow \pi_6(\Sigma X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof From formula (4–5) together with the fact that $\pi_{n-1}(sk_6(Y)) \cong \pi_{n-1}(Y),$

$$\pi_5(sk_6(\Sigma \text{Len}^3(2^{r_1}) \land \text{Len}^3(2^{r_2}))) \longrightarrow \pi_6(sk_7(\Sigma \text{Len}^3(2^{r_1}) \land \text{Len}^3(2^{r_2}))).$$

Notice that

$$sk_6(X) = sk_6(\Sigma \text{Len}^3(2^{r_1}) \land \text{Len}^3(2^{r_2})) \cup e^6 \cup e^6$$
indicated by the elements $x_1y_4$ and $x_4y_1$ in diagram (4–8). Then there is a commutative diagram of right exact sequences

$$\pi_5(S^5 \vee S^5) \xrightarrow{f_*} \pi_5(Z) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_5(\text{sk}_6(X))$$

$$\pi_6(S^6 \vee S^6) \xrightarrow{\Sigma f_*} \pi_6(\Sigma Z) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_6(\text{sk}_7(\Sigma X)),$$

where $Z = \text{sk}_6(\Sigma \text{Len}^3(2^n) \wedge \text{Len}^3(2^n))$ and $f: S^5 \vee S^5 \to Y$ is the attaching map for $\text{sk}_6(X)$. The assertion follows by the 5-lemma. \qed

**Theorem 4.16** Let $r_2 > r_1 \geq 1$. Then

$$\pi_5(\Sigma K(Z / 2^n, 1) \wedge K(Z / 2^n, 1)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 & \text{if } r_1 =as, r_2 = 2, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/8 & \text{if } r_1 = 1, r_2 \geq 3, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{n+1} & \text{if } r_2 > r_1 > 1. \end{cases}$$

**Proof** From Lemma 4.15, it suffices to compute $\pi_6(\Sigma^2 K(Z / 2^n, 1) \wedge K(Z / 2^n, 1))$. Let $X = \text{sk}_7(\Sigma^2 K(Z / 2^n, 1) \wedge K(Z / 2^n, 1))$. From splitting formula (4–7),

$$\text{sk}_7(\Sigma^2 \text{Len}^3(2^n) \wedge \text{Len}^3(2^n)) \simeq M(\mathbb{Z} / 2^n, 6) \cup M(\mathbb{Z} / 2^n, 6) \cup M(\mathbb{Z} / 2^n, 4) \cup M(\mathbb{Z} / 2^n, 5).$$

Let $Y = \text{sk}_7(\Sigma^2 \text{Len}^3(2^n) \wedge \text{Len}^3(2^n))$. Then $s^{-2} \tilde{H}_s(Y; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ has a basis listed in diagram (4–8) excluding the elements $x_1y_4$ and $x_4y_1$. Let $P^n(2^n) = M(\mathbb{Z} / 2^n, n-1)$. The mod homology $H_*(P^n(2^n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ has a basis $u'_{n-1}$ and $v'_n$ with degrees $|u'_{n-1}| = n-1$, $|v'_n| = n$ and the Bockstein $\beta(v'_n) = u'_{n-1}$. Since $X = Y \cup e^7 \cup e^7$, there is a cofibre sequence

$$S^6 \vee S^6 \xrightarrow{f} P^7(2^n) \vee P^7(2^n) \vee P^5(2^n) \vee P^5(2^n) \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{q} S^7 \vee S^7,$$

where

$$g_*(u'_6; v'_7; u'_5; v'_6; u'_4; v'_5; u'_3; v'_4) = s^2(x_1y_3, x_2y_3; x_3y_1, x_3y_2; x_1y_1, x_2y_1; x_1y_2; x_2y_2)$$

for catching the corresponding elements in $\tilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Here the map $f$ is the attaching map with $f|_{S^6}, f|_{S^6}$ corresponding to the homological classes $s^2(x_1y_4)$ and $s^2(x_1y_4)$, respectively. Namely, the induced boundary map $q: X \to S^7 \vee S^7$ has the homological property that $q_*(H_7(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_7(S^7 \vee S^7)$ is given by

$$q_*(x_2y_3) = q_*(x_3y_2) = 0, \quad q_*(s^2(x_4y_1)) = \iota_1, \quad q_*(s^2(x_4y_4)) = \iota_2.$$
where \( t_j \) is the basis for \( H_7(S_j^7; \mathbb{Z}/2) \). For \( j = 1, 2 \), let \( X_j \) be the homotopy cofibre of \( f_j \). Then there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S_6^5 \vee S_6^5 \xrightarrow{f} P^7(2^{r_1}) \vee P^7(2^{r_2}) \vee P^5(2^{r_1}) \vee P^6(2^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{q} S_6^7 \vee S_2^7 \\
S_6^6 \xrightarrow{f|_j \phi} P^7(2^{r_1}) \vee P^7(2^{r_2}) \vee P^5(2^{r_1}) \vee P^6(2^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{g_j} X_j \xrightarrow{q_j} S_7^7.
\end{array}
\]

Statement 1. \( \theta_j^*: \tilde{H}_*(X_j; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to \tilde{H}_*(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) is a monomorphism. Moreover,

\[
\text{Im}(\theta_1^*: H_7(X_1; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_7(X; \mathbb{Z}/2))
\]

has the basis given by \( \{ s^2(2x_2y_3), s^2(x_3y_2), s^2(x_4y_1) \} \) and

\[
\text{Im}(\theta_2^*: H_7(X_2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_7(X; \mathbb{Z}/2))
\]

has the basis given by \( \{ s^2(2x_2y_3), s^2(x_3y_2), s^2(x_1y_4) \} \). Thus a basis for \( \tilde{H}_*(X_j; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) can be listed in diagram (4–8) by removing one element. The statement follows immediately by applying mod 2 homology to diagram (4–9), where the only simple computation is given by checking the image of \( \theta_j^* \).

Statement 2. The composite

\[
\phi_j: S_j^6 \xrightarrow{f|_j \phi} P^7(2^{r_1}) \vee P^7(2^{r_2}) \vee P^5(2^{r_1}) \vee P^6(2^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} P^7(2^{r_1})
\]

is null homotopic for \( j = 1, 2 \).

Consider the commutative diagram of cofibre sequences

\[
\begin{array}{c}
S_6^6 \xrightarrow{f|_j \phi} P^7(2^{r_1}) \vee P^7(2^{r_2}) \vee P^5(2^{r_1}) \vee P^6(2^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{g_j} X_j \xrightarrow{\delta} Z.
\end{array}
\]

Then \( \dim \tilde{H}_*(Z, \mathbb{Z}/2) = 3 \) and \( \delta_*: H_*(X_j; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_*(Z; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) is onto. From diagram (4–8), the Bockstein

\[
\beta_1: H_7(Z; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_6(Z; \mathbb{Z}/2)
\]
is 0 for \( t < r_1 \) with the first non-trivial Bockstein given by \( \beta_{r_1} \) coming from \( \beta_{r_1}(x_{2y3}) = x_{1y3} \) in diagram (4–8). Note that \( \pi_6(P^7(2^{r_1})) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1} \) generated by the inclusion \( \tilde{t} : S^6 \to \tilde{P}^7(2^{r_1}) \). Then the homotopy class

\[ [\phi_j] = k\tilde{t} \]

for some \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \). If \( k \equiv 1 \mod 2 \), then \( \dim \tilde{H}_s(Z; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 1 \) which contradicts to that \( \dim \tilde{H}_s(Z; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 3 \). Thus \( k \) must be divisible by 2. Let \( k = 2k' \) with \( k' \equiv 1 \mod 2 \) for some \( t \geq 1 \). If \( t < r_1 \), then there is a nontrivial Bockstein \( \beta_t \) on \( \tilde{H}_s(Z; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) which is impossible from the above. Hence \( t \geq r_1 \) and so \( [\phi_j] = 0 \) in \( \pi_6(P^7(2^{r_1})) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1} \). Statement 2 follows.

Statement 3. The composite

\[ \psi : S^6 \xrightarrow{f|_{S^6}} P^7(2^{r_1}) \lor P^7(2^{r_2}) \lor P^5(2^{r_1}) \lor P^6(2^{r_1}) \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} P^6(2^{r_1}) \]

is null homotopic.

Consider the commutative diagram of cofibre sequences

\[ \begin{CD}
S^6 @>f|_{S^6}>> P^7(2^{r_1}) \lor P^7(2^{r_2}) \lor P^5(2^{r_1}) \lor P^6(2^{r_1}) @>g_1>> X_1 \\
S^6 @>>> P^6(2^{r_1}) @>g'>> W.
\end{CD} \]

Then \( \dim \tilde{H}_s(W; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 3 \) and \( \delta_* : \tilde{H}_s(X_1; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to \tilde{H}_s(W; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) is onto. Moreover, \( H_s(W; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) has a basis given by \( \delta_*(s^2(x_{4y1})) \). By Statement 1, a basis for \( \tilde{H}_s(X_1; \mathbb{Z}/2) \) is listed in diagram (4–8) by removing \( x_{1y3} \). The canonical projection

\[ p : P^7(2^{r_1}) \lor P^7(2^{r_2}) \lor P^5(2^{r_1}) \lor P^6(2^{r_1}) \to P^6(2^{r_1}) \]

has the property that \( p_*(u_3^2) = u_3^2 \), \( p_*(v_6^1) = v_6^1 \) and \( p_*(x) = 0 \) for \( x \) to the other elements in the basis for \( \tilde{H}_s(P^7(2^{r_1}) \lor P^7(2^{r_2}) \lor P^5(2^{r_1}) \lor P^6(2^{r_1}); \mathbb{Z}/2) \). In particular, \( p_*(v_5^j) = 0 \). Note that

\[ S \delta_*(s^2(x_{4y1})) = \delta_*(S \delta_*(s^2(x_{4y1}))) = \delta_*(s^2(x_{2y1})) = \delta_*(g_1(v_6^1)) = g'_* \circ p_*(v_5^j) = 0. \]
If follows that
\[ Sq^2_6: H_7(W; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_5(W; \mathbb{Z}/2) \]
is zero. From the exact sequence
\[ \pi_6(S^5) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{2^j \eta} \pi_6(S^5) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \pi_6(P^6(2^j)) \to \pi_5(S^5) = \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2^i} \mathbb{Z}, \]
we have
\[ (4-10) \quad \pi_6(P^6(2^j)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \]
generated by the composite
\[ \eta: S^6 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^5 \xrightarrow{\pi} P^6(2^j). \]
Thus the homotopy class \([\psi] = 0\) or \(\eta\). If \([\psi] = \eta\), then \(Sq^2_6: H_7(W; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_5(W; \mathbb{Z}/2)\) is not zero, which is impossible from the above. Hence \([\psi] = 0\).
This finishes the proof for Statement 3.

Statement 4. There is a homotopy decomposition
\[ X \simeq P^7(2^j) \vee T_1 \vee T_2, \]
where \(H_*(T_1; \mathbb{Z}/2)\) and \(H_*(T_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)\) have basis listed by the middle and the right modules in diagram (4-6), respectively.

From Statements 2 and 3, the attaching map \(f|_{S^6_1}\) maps into the subspace \(P^7(2^j) \vee P^5(2^j)\) up to homotopy because, in the range of \(\pi_6\), we have
\[ \pi_6(P^7(2^j) \vee P^5(2^j) \vee P^5(2^j) \vee P^6(2^j)) \cong \pi_6(P^7(2^j)) \oplus \pi_6(P^5(2^j)) \oplus \pi_6(P^5(2^j)) \oplus \pi_6(P^6(2^j)). \]
Thus there is a homotopy commutative diagram of cofibre sequences
\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
S_1^6 & \xrightarrow{f'} & P^7(2^j) \vee P^5(2^j) & \to & T_2 \\
\downarrow \quad \eta & & \downarrow \quad \\eta & & \\
S_1^6 \vee S_2^6 & \xrightarrow{f} & P^7(2^j) \vee P^7(2^j) \vee P^5(2^j) \vee P^6(2^j) & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\
\downarrow \text{proj.} & & \downarrow \text{proj.} & & \downarrow \proj. \\
S_1^6 & \xrightarrow{f'} & P^7(2^j) \vee P^5(2^j) & \to & T_2.
\end{array} \]
From Statement 2, there is a homotopy commutative diagram of cofibre sequences

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
S^5_1 \vee S^5_2 & \xrightarrow{f} & P^7(2^r) \vee P^7(2^r) \vee P^5(2^r) \vee P^6(2^r) & \xrightarrow{g} & X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \text{proj.} & & \downarrow q_2 \\
\ast & \xrightarrow{\phantom{f}} & P^7(2^r) & \xrightarrow{\phantom{f}} & P^7(2^r).
\end{array}
\]

Now the composite

\[P^7(2^r) \vee T_2 \xrightarrow{(g|_{P^7(2^r)}, \eta)} X \xrightarrow{q_2-g_1} P^7(2^r) \vee T_2\]

is a homotopy equivalence by inspecting the homology and hence the statement.

Computation of the Homotopy Group: From Statement 4, we have

\[\pi_6(X) \cong \pi_6(P^7(2^r) \vee T_1 \vee T_2) \cong \pi_6((P^7(2^r)) \oplus \pi_6(T_1) \oplus \pi_6(T_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^r \oplus \pi_6(T_1) \oplus \pi_6(T_2).\]

For computing \(\pi_6(T_1)\), since \(T_1 = P^6(2^r) \cup S^7\), there is a right exact sequence

\[\pi_6(S^6) = \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \pi_6(P^6(2^r)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \pi_6(T_1),\]

where \(\pi_6(P^6(2^r)) = \mathbb{Z}/2\) is given in formula (4–10). From diagram (4–6),

\[Sq^2_6: H_7(T_1; \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H_5(T_1; \mathbb{Z}/2)\]

is an isomorphism and so the attaching map \(S^6 \to P^6(2^r)\) of \(T_1\) is non-trivial. It follows that \(\pi_6(T_1) = 0\).

Now we compute \(\pi_6(T_2)\). From diagram (4–11), there is a right exact sequence

\[\pi_6(S^6) = \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f^*} \pi_6(P^7(2^r) \vee P^5(2^r)) = \pi_6(P^7(2^r)) \oplus \pi_6(P^5(2^r)) \longrightarrow \pi_6(T_2).\]

Note that a basis for \(\tilde{H}_4(T_2)\) can be listed in the right module of diagram (4–6). The composite

\[\pi_6(S^6) = \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f^*} \pi_6(P^7(2^r)) \oplus \pi_6(P^5(2^r)) \longrightarrow \pi_6(T_2)\]

is of degree \(2^r\) because of the existence of the Bockstein \(\beta_4\). Moreover the composite

\[S^6 \xrightarrow{f^*} P^7(2^r) \vee P^5(2^r) \longrightarrow P^5(2^r) \longrightarrow S^5\]

is homotopic to \(\eta\) because of the existence of the Steenrod operation \(Sq^2_4\).
Case I. $r_1 = 1$. According to [22, Proposition 5.1], $\pi_6(P^5(2)) = \mathbb{Z}/4$ generated by the homotopy class of any map $S^6 \to P^5(2)$ such that the composite $S^6 \to P^5(2) \to S^5$ is $\eta$. It follows that there is a right exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f_2^{(2r_1, \lambda)}} \mathbb{Z}/2r_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4 \longrightarrow \pi_6(T_2),$$

where $\lambda: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/4$ is an epimorphism. Thus

$$\pi_6(T_2) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}/4 & \text{if } r_2 = 2, \\
\mathbb{Z}/8 & \text{if } r_2 \geq 3.
\end{cases} \quad (4-13)$$

Case II. $r_1 > 1$. From formula (4–3), we have $\pi_6(P^5(2^{r_1})) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$. Since the composite in equation (4–12) is essential, the composite $\pi_6(S^6) = \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f_2^{(2r_1, \lambda)}} \pi_6(P^7(2^{r_1})) \oplus \pi_6(P^5(2^{r_1})) \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} \pi_6(P^5(2^{r_1})) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ is nontrivial and so there is right exact sequence

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{f_2^{(2r_1, \lambda)}} \mathbb{Z}/2r_2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow \pi_6(T_2)$$

with $\lambda: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ nontrivial. It follows that

$$\pi_6(T_2) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_1+1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \quad \text{for } r_1 > 1.$$ 

The proof is finished now. \qed

4.5 The Group $\pi_5(\Sigma^2K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1))$. 

We use the spectral sequence induced from Carlsson’s construction for computing this group. Let $A$ be an abelian group and

$$0 \to A_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} A_0 \to A \to 0$$

a two-step flat resolution of $A$, i.e. $A_0$ is a free abelian group. The diagram (1–1) implies that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_4(\Sigma^2K(A, 1)) \simeq A \hat{\otimes} A,$$

where $\hat{\otimes}^2$:

$$\hat{\otimes}^2(A) = A \hat{\otimes} A := A \otimes A/(a \otimes b + b \otimes a, \ a, b \in A).$$

Given a free abelian group $\bar{A}$, theorem 2.5 (2) implies the following natural exact sequence:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\Gamma_5(\Sigma^2K(\bar{A}, 1)) \quad \xrightarrow{\bar{\otimes}} \quad \pi_5(\Sigma^2K(\bar{A}, 1)) \quad \xrightarrow{\bar{\otimes}} \quad H_5(\Sigma^2K(\bar{A}, 1)) \\
\bar{\otimes} A \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \Lambda^2(\bar{A}) \quad \xrightarrow{\bar{\otimes}} \quad \pi_5(\Sigma^2K(\bar{A}, 1)) \quad \xrightarrow{\bar{\otimes}} \quad \Lambda^3(\bar{A})
\end{array}$$
The spectral sequence (2–9) for \( n = 2 \), gives the following diagram of exact sequences:

\[
\begin{aligned}
L_1 \Lambda^3(A) \\
\downarrow \\
A \cdot A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \Lambda^2(A) \quad & \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \quad \pi_5(\Sigma^2K(A, 1)) \quad \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \quad L_1 \tilde{\otimes}^2(A) \\
\downarrow \\
\pi_0(\pi_5(\Sigma^2K(N^{-1}(A_1 \delta A_0), 1))) \quad & \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \quad \pi_0(\pi_5(\Sigma^2K(A, 1))) \quad \xrightarrow{\delta_2} \quad \pi_1(\pi_4\Sigma^2K(N^{-1}(A_1 \delta A_0), 1)) \\
\downarrow \\
\Lambda^3(A)
\end{aligned}
\]

Consider the first derived functor of the functor \( \tilde{\otimes}^2 \). The short exact sequence

\[
LSP^2(A) \to L \otimes^2 (A) \to L \tilde{\otimes}^2(A)
\]

in the derived category has the following model:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^2(A_1) & \xleftarrow{\delta_2} A_1 \otimes A_0 \quad \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \quad SP^2(A_0) \\
A_1 \otimes A_1 & \xleftarrow{\delta'_2} (A_1 \otimes A_0) \oplus (A_0 \otimes A_1) \quad \xrightarrow{\delta'_1} \quad A_0 \otimes A_0 \\
SP^2(A_1) & \xleftarrow{\delta''_2} A_1 \otimes A_0 \quad \xrightarrow{\delta''_1} \quad A_0 \tilde{\otimes} A_0
\end{aligned}
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_2(a_1 \wedge a'_1) & = a_1 \otimes \delta(a'_1) - a'_1 \otimes \delta(a_1) \\
\delta_1(a_1 \otimes a_0) & = a_0 \delta(a_1) \\
\delta'_2(a_1 \otimes a'_1) & = (a_1 \otimes \delta(a'_1), -a'_1 \otimes \delta(a_1)) \\
\delta'_1(a_1 \otimes a_0, a'_1 \otimes a'_0) & = \delta(a_1) \otimes a_0 + \delta(a'_1) \otimes a'_0 \\
\delta''_2(a_1 a'_1) & = a_1 \otimes \delta(a'_1) + a'_1 \otimes \delta(a_1) \\
\delta''_1(a_1 \otimes a_0) & = \partial(a_1) \tilde{\otimes} a_0
\end{align*}
\]
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for \( a_0, a'_0 \in A_0, a_1, a'_1 \in A_1 \). For \( n \geq 2 \), looking at the resolution \( \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \) of the cyclic group \( \mathbb{Z}/n \), we obtain the following representative of the element \( L \tilde{\otimes}^2(\mathbb{Z}/n) \) in the derived category:

\[
\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2
\]

In particular,

\[
(4–16) \quad L_1 \tilde{\otimes}^2(\mathbb{Z}/2^k) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{k+1}, \quad k \geq 1.
\]

Here \( L_1 \tilde{\otimes}^2 \) denotes the first derived functor of \( \tilde{\otimes}^2 \) (see subsection 2.2).

We will use the following:

Lemma 4.17 (Lemma 2.1 from [21]) Let \( G_n \) be a simplicial group and let \( n \geq 0 \). Suppose that \( \pi_0(G_n) \) acts trivially on \( \pi_n(G_n) \). Then the homotopy group \( \pi_n(G_n) \) is contained in the center of \( G_n/BG_n \), where \( BG_n \) is the \( n \)th simplicial boundary subgroup of \( G_n \). \( \square \)

Theorem 4.18 The homotopy group

\[
\pi_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2^r, 1)) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}/8 & \text{if } r = 1 \\
\mathbb{Z}/2^{r+1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } r > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof Case 1: \( r = 1 \). The natural epimorphism \( \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \) induces the homomorphisms

\[
\pi_n(S^3) = \pi_n(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)) \to \pi_n(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 1)) = \pi_n(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^\infty), \quad n \geq 1.
\]

The diagram (4–15) together with (4–16) implies the following short exact sequences:

\[
(4–17) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \pi_5(S^3) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \pi_5(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4
\end{array}
\]

Consider this map simplicially, at the level of the natural map between the Carlsson constructions \( F(S^2) = F^2(S^2) \to F^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^2) \):
Here $F^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^2)_k$ is the free product of $\binom{k}{2}$ copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2$. In particular

$$F^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^2)_4 = \langle s_j s_i(\sigma) 0 \leq i < j \leq 3 \mid (s_j s_i(\sigma))^2 = 1 \rangle$$

Using the description of the element $(2–7)$, we see that the simplicial cycle which defines the image of $\pi_5(S^3)$ in $\pi_5(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ can be chosen of the form

$$[[s_2 s_1(\sigma), s_1 s_0(\sigma)], [s_2 s_1(\sigma), s_2 s_0(\sigma)]] \in F^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^2)_4$$

With the help of lemma 4.17, we have

$$[[s_2 s_1(\sigma), s_1 s_0(\sigma)], [s_2 s_1(\sigma), s_2 s_0(\sigma)]] = [[(s_2 s_1(\sigma), s_1 s_0(\sigma)], (s_2 s_1(\sigma) s_2 s_0(\sigma))^2] \equiv

[[s_2 s_1(\sigma), s_1 s_0(\sigma)], (s_2 s_1(\sigma), s_2 s_0(\sigma))])^2 \mod B^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^2)_4$$

since $[[s_2 s_1(\sigma), s_1 s_0(\sigma)], s_2 s_1(\sigma) s_2 s_0(\sigma)]$ is a cycle in $F^{\mathbb{Z}/2}(S^2)$. That is, the image of the element $\pi_5(S^3)$ is divisible by 2 in $\pi_5(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R}P^\infty)$. The diagram (4–17) implies the result.

Case 2: $r > 1$. Now the diagram (4–15) together with (4–16) implies the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to \pi_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \to \mathbb{Z}/2^{r+1} \to 0$$

Therefore, $\pi_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1))$ is either $\mathbb{Z}/2^{r+2}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/2^{r+1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$.

By theorem 2.5 (2), the Whitehead exact sequence for $\Sigma^2 K(A, 1)$ has the follow-
ing form:
(4–19)
\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \tilde{\otimes} A \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \Lambda^2(A) \\
\downarrow \\
H_4(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_5(\Sigma^2 K(A, 1)) \rightarrow \pi_5(\Sigma^2 K(A, 1)) \rightarrow H_3(A) \\
\downarrow \\
Tor(A, \mathbb{Z}/2)
\end{array}
\]
For \( A = \mathbb{Z}/2 \) it is of the following form:
(4–20)
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\downarrow \\
\Gamma_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \leftarrow \pi_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2'
\end{array}
\]
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/2
\end{array}
\]
The natural projection \( \mathbb{Z}/2' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \) induces the map
(4–21)
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\downarrow \\
\Gamma_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) \rightarrow \Gamma_5(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R} P^\infty) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/2
\end{array}
\]
where the lower map is zero since the induced map \( Tor(\mathbb{Z}/2', \mathbb{Z}/2) \rightarrow Tor(\mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2) \) is zero. The fact that \( \pi_5(\Sigma^2 \mathbb{R} P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/8 \) together with diagram (4–19) implies that \( \Gamma_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/4 \). Hence \( \Gamma_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \), since there is no endomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4 \) with zero map on quotients \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \) (as in diagram (4–21)). The diagram (4–20) and exact sequence (4–18) implies that \( \pi_5(\Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}/2', 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/2' + 1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \).

\( \square \)
4.6 Applications

Proposition 4.19 The group $\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Proof Consider the Whitehead exact sequence

$$
\pi_6(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \xrightarrow{h} H_6(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \longrightarrow \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow H_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = 0,
$$

where $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ by Theorem 4.10 and the Hurewicz homomorphism

$$h_6 : \pi_6(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \to H_6(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty)$$

is zero because, otherwise, it would induces a splitting of $\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^5$ which is impossible by inspecting the Steenrod operation on mod 2 homology. Thus the order of $\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ is 8. We have to determine the group $\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty)$. By the definition,

$$\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \text{Im}(\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3) \to \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^4)).$$

Thus the inclusion $\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3 \to \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty$ induces an epimorphism

$$\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3) \longrightarrow \Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty).$$

Note that $\mathbb{R}P^3 = SO(3)$ and so, by the Hopf fibration,

$$\pi_5(\Sigma SO(3)) \cong \pi_5(\Sigma SO(3) \wedge SO(3)) \cong 2\pi_4(SO(3)) \oplus \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3).$$

From Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13,

$$\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3) \cong \Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3 \wedge \mathbb{R}P^3) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2.$$ 

It follows that its quotient $\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ must be an elementary 2-group and so hence the result. \hfill \Box

Proposition 4.20 For the suspended projective spaces,

$$\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^n) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \text{if } n = 1, \\
\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 3} & \text{if } n = 2, \\
\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 5} & \text{if } n = 3, \\
\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 3} & \text{if } n = 4, \\
\mathbb{Z}/2^{\oplus 2} & \text{if } 3 \leq n \leq \infty.
\end{cases}$$
Proof When $n = 1$, $\pi_5(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ from Toda’s table\cite{16}. When $n = 2$, $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^2) = \mathbb{Z}/2^\oplus 3$ is given in \cite[Theorem 6.36]{22}. When $n = 3$, $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3)$ has been computed in Proposition 4.19. For $n \geq 4$, since $sk_6(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^5$, $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \cong \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$

by Theorem 4.14. The remaining case is $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^4)$. Let $F$ be the homotopy fibre of the pinch map $\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^6 \longrightarrow \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^6/\mathbb{R}P^4 = M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 6)$. By inspecting the Serre spectral sequence to the fibre sequence

$$\Omega M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 6) \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow \Omega \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^6,$$

the canonical injection $j : \Sigma \mathbb{R}P^4 \rightarrow F$ induces an isomorphism on $H_k(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ for $k \leq 6$ and so

$$j_k : \pi_k(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^4) \longrightarrow \pi_k(F)$$

is an isomorphism for $k \leq 5$. In particular, $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^4) \cong \pi_5(F)$. From the exact sequence

$$\pi_5(\Omega M(\mathbb{Z}/2, 6)) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \pi_5(F) \longrightarrow \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^6) = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

the group $\pi_5(F)$ is of order at most 8 and so is $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^4)$. From Proposition 4.19,

$$\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) = \text{Im}(\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^3) \rightarrow \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^4)) = \mathbb{Z}/2^\oplus 3.$$

It follows that $\pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^4) = \mathbb{Z}/2^\oplus 3$ and hence the result. \hfill \Box

Proposition 4.21 $\pi_5(\Sigma K(\Sigma_3, 1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$.

Proof This follows from the analysis of the map between the Whitehead exact sequences (2–2) induced by the natural map $\mathbb{Z}/2 \hookrightarrow \Sigma_3$:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
H_5(\mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow & \Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \longrightarrow & \pi_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \longrightarrow & H_4(\mathbb{Z}/2) \\
\| & \| & \| & \|
H_5(\Sigma_3) \longrightarrow & \Gamma_5(\Sigma K(\Sigma_3, 1)) \longrightarrow & \pi_5(\Sigma K(\Sigma_3, 1)) \longrightarrow & H_4(\Sigma_3)
\end{array}
$$

Here the natural isomorphism $\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \rightarrow \Gamma_5(\Sigma K(\Sigma_3, 1))$ follows from the
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diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
L_2 \Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2) \\
\downarrow \\
\Gamma_2^3(\mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/4) \\
\downarrow \\
\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \\
\downarrow \\
L_1 \Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2)
\end{array}
\] •

\[
\begin{array}{c}
L_2 \Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2) \\
\downarrow \\
\Gamma_2^3(\mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/2 \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/4) \\
\downarrow \\
\Gamma_5(\Sigma \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \\
\downarrow \\
L_1 \Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2)
\end{array}
\] •

5 Relation to K-theory

As we mentioned in the introduction, there is a natural relation between the problem considered and algebraic K-theory. Since the plus-construction \(K(G, 1) \to K(G, 1)^+\) is a homological equivalence, there is a natural weak homotopy equivalence

\[
\Sigma K(G, 1) \to \Sigma(K(G, 1)^+)
\]

This defines the natural suspension map:

\[
\pi_n(K(G, 1)^+) \to \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma(K(G, 1)^+)) = \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma K(G, 1))
\]

for \(n \geq 1\).

Given a group \(G\) and its maximal perfect normal subgroup \(P \triangleleft G\), one has natural isomorphism \(\pi_n(K(P, 1)^+) \simeq \pi_n(K(G, 1)^+), \ n \geq 2\) since \(K(P, 1)^+\) is homotopy equivalent to the universal covering space of \(K(G, 1)^+\).

For a perfect group \(G\), the Whitehead exact sequences form the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
H_4(G) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_2(H_2(G)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(K(G, 1)^+) & \longrightarrow & H_3(G) \\
\| & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| \\
H_4(G) & \longrightarrow & H_2(G) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(G, 1)) & \longrightarrow & H_3(G)
\end{array}
\]
Here we will look at the applications of the following two classical constructions:

1) Let $R$ be a ring and $G = E(R)$, the group of elementary matrices. The group $E(R)$ is perfect and the plus-construction $K(E(R), 1)^+$ also denoted $\tilde{K}(R)$, defines the algebraic K-theory of $R$: $K_n(R) = \pi_n(\tilde{K}(E(R), 1)^+)$, $n \geq 2$.

2) Let $\Sigma_{\infty}$ be the infinite permutation groups and $A_{\infty}$ is the infinite alternating subgroup. There is the following description of stable homotopy groups of spheres [14]:

$$\pi_n^S = \pi_n(K(\Sigma_{\infty}, 1)^+) = \pi_n(K(A_{\infty}, 1)^+), \ n \geq 2.$$  

5.1

Let $R$ be a ring. In this case, one has the natural homomorphisms:

$$K_n(R) \to \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma K(E(R), 1)), \ n \geq 2.$$  

For $n = 2$, clearly one has the natural isomorphism:

$$K_2(R) \simeq H_2(E(R)) \simeq \pi_3(\Sigma K(E(R), 1)).$$  

It is shown in [1] that the map $\Gamma_2(K_2(R)) \to K_3(R)$ factors as

$$\Gamma_2(K_2(R)) \to K_2(R) \otimes K_1(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{*} K_3(R),$$

where $*$ is the product in algebraic K-theory: $*: K_i(S) \otimes K_j(T) \to K_{i+j}(S \otimes T)$. Hence the diagram (5-1) has the following form:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
H_4(E(R)) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_2(K_2(R)) & \longrightarrow & K_3(R) & \longrightarrow & H_3(E(R)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_4(E(R)) & \longrightarrow & K_2(R) \otimes K_1(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(E(R), 1)) & \longrightarrow & H_3(E(R))
\end{array}$$

and the natural map

$$K_3(R) \to \pi_4(\Sigma K(E(R), 1))$$

is an isomorphism. From equations (5-3) and (5-5) together with the fact that $SL(\mathbb{Z}) = E(\mathbb{Z})$, we have the following:
Theorem 5.1 The natural homomorphism
\[ K_n(R) \to \pi_{n+1}(\Sigma K(E(R), 1)) \]
is an isomorphism for \( n = 2, 3 \). In particular,
\[ \pi_3(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1)) \cong K_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \text{ and} \]
\[ \pi_4(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1)) \cong K_3(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/48. \]
□

Remark 5.2 The isomorphism (5–5) and Carlsson construction \( F^E(R)(S^1) \) gives a way, for an element of \( K_3(R) \), to associate an element from \( F^E(R)(S^1)^3 = E(R) \ast E(R) \ast E(R) \) (uniquely modulo \( BF^E(R)(S^1) \)):

\[ \begin{array}{c}
K_3(R) \\
\sim \\
\sim \\
\sim \\
E(R) \ast E(R) \ast E(R)
\end{array} \]

It is interesting to represent in this way known elements from \( K_3(R) \) for rings. For \( R = \mathbb{Z} \), \( x \in SL(\mathbb{Z}) = E(\mathbb{Z}) \), denote by \( x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)} \) the correspondent elements in the free cube \( SL(\mathbb{Z}) \ast SL(\mathbb{Z}) \ast SL(\mathbb{Z}) \). Take the following commuting elements of \( SL(\mathbb{Z}) \):
\[
u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
The structure of the element (2–7), diagram (5–4) and well-known facts about structure of \( K_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) imply that, using the above notations, the element
\[ [[u^{(2)}, \nu^{(3)}], [u^{(1)}, \nu^{(3)}]] \]
corresponds to the element of order 2 in \( K_3(\mathbb{Z}) \). It would be interesting to see an element of \( SL(\mathbb{Z}) \ast SL(\mathbb{Z}) \ast SL(\mathbb{Z}) \) which corresponds to the generator of \( K_3(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/48 \).
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Consider the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $n = 5$. In this case, $E(\mathbb{Z}) = SL(\mathbb{Z})$ and we have the following commutative diagram with exact horizontal sequences:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_5 SL(\mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & \Gamma_4(\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})) & \rightarrow & K_4(\mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/4 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_5 (SL(\mathbb{Z})) & \rightarrow & \Gamma_5(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1)) & \rightarrow & \pi_5(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1)) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2
\end{array}
\]

and the following commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
(\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma_3^2(\Gamma_2(\mathbb{Z})) & \rightarrow & \Gamma_4(\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})) & \rightarrow & K_4(\mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & R_2(\mathbb{Z}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\pi_4(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & \Gamma_5(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1)) & \rightarrow & Tor(\pi_3(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1)), \mathbb{Z}/2) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2
\end{array}
\]

Simple analysis shows that the suspension map $\Gamma_4(\tilde{K}(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \Gamma_5(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1))$ is an epimorphism and therefore we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.3** The Hurewicz homomorphism

\[\pi_5(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1)) \rightarrow H_4(SL(\mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z}/2\]

is an isomorphism. \(\square\)

**Remark.** Since $K_4(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, we see that the natural homomorphism

\[K_4(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \pi_5(\Sigma K(SL(\mathbb{Z}), 1))\]

is not an isomorphism.
5.2

Here we will use (5–2) for certain computations.

Theorem 5.4 Let $A_4$ be the 4-th alternating group. Then $\pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) = \mathbb{Z}/4$.

Proof First recall that
\[
\begin{align*}
H_1(A_4) &= \mathbb{Z}/3, & H_2(A_4) &= \mathbb{Z}/2, & H_3(A_4) &= \mathbb{Z}/6, & H_4(A_4) &= 0 \\
H_2(A_\infty) &= \mathbb{Z}/6, & H_3(A_\infty) &= \mathbb{Z}/12, & \pi_3(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) &= \mathbb{Z}/6
\end{align*}
\]

Consider the Whitehead exact sequence for the space $\Sigma K(A_4, 1)$:
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Gamma_3(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) & \longrightarrow & H_3(A_4) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_2(H_1(A_4)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_3(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) & \longrightarrow & H_2(A_4) \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/6 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/3 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/6 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/6 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2
\end{array}
\]

Since $R_2(\pi_2 \Sigma K(A_4, 1)) = R_2(\mathbb{Z}/3) = 0$, we have $\Gamma_3(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) = \Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6)$. It follows from the definition of the functor $\Gamma_2^2$ that it is isomorphic to the pushout
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathbb{Z}/3 \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/6 \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6)
\end{array}
\]

That is, $\Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and there is the following short exact sequence:
\[
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0.
\]

We come to the extension problem: is it $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ or $\mathbb{Z}/4$?

Consider the monomorphism $A_4 \hookrightarrow A_\infty$ and the map between corresponding Whitehead sequences:
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\Gamma_3(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) & \longrightarrow & H_3(A_4) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_2(H_1(A_4)) \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma_3(\Sigma K(A_\infty, 1)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_\infty, 1)) & \longrightarrow & H_3(A_\infty) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_2(H_1(A_\infty))
\end{array}
\]

These computations were done with the help of HAP-system. The authors thank Graham Ellis for these computations.
which is
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \hookrightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/6 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/6 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Gamma_2^2(0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6) & \rightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_\infty, 1)) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/12 & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

It is easy to see that \(\Gamma_2^2(0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6) = \mathbb{Z}/2\) and that \(\Gamma_2^2(\mathbb{Z}/3 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6) \rightarrow \Gamma_2^2(0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/6)\) is an isomorphism. We obtain the following diagram:
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \hookrightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_\infty, 1)) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/12
\end{array}
\]

Now we use the isomorphism (5–2). Consider the suspension:
\[
K(A_\infty, 1)^+ \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma K(A_\infty, 1)^+ \simeq \Omega \Sigma K(A_\infty, 1)
\]
and the corresponding map between Whitehead sequences:
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_4(A_\infty) & \rightarrow & \Gamma_2(\pi_3^5) & \rightarrow & \pi_3^5 & \rightarrow & H_3(A_\infty) \\
H_4(A_\infty) & \rightarrow & \pi_3^5 \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_\infty, 1)) & \rightarrow & H_3(A_\infty)
\end{array}
\]

Since
\[
\pi_3^5 = \mathbb{Z}/24, \ \pi_4^5 = 0,
\]
we conclude that the Whitehead sequence for \(K(A_\infty, 1)^+\) has the following form:
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H_4(A_\infty) & \hookrightarrow & \Gamma_2(\pi_3^5) & \rightarrow & \pi_3^5 & \rightarrow & H_3(A_\infty) \\
\mathbb{Z}/2 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/4 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/24 & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/12
\end{array}
\]

We conclude that the map
\[
\pi_3^5 \rightarrow \pi_4(\Sigma K(A_\infty, 1))
\]
is an isomorphism and that the map

\[ H_4(A_{\infty}) \to \Gamma^2_2(0 \to \mathbb{Z}/6) \]

is the zero map. The diagram (5–7) has the following form:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Z}/2 \\ \downarrow \\
\pi_4(\Sigma K(A_4, 1)) \\
\downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/2 \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{Z}/2 \\ \downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/24 \\
\downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}/12 \\
\end{array}
\]

The result follows. \[\square\]
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