

# Ordering Trees via Immanants - An Update

Ng E.J.<sup>1</sup>, Chan O.<sup>2</sup>, Tan S.P.<sup>3</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, National University of Singapore,  
10 Kent Ridge Crescent, Singapore 119260

## Summary

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and  $\lambda$  be a partition of  $n$ . For an  $n \times n$  complex matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , the immanant of  $A$  with respect to  $\lambda$ , denoted by  $d_\lambda(A)$ , is defined by

$$d_\lambda(A) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)},$$

where  $S_n$  denotes the permutation group of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $\chi_\lambda$  denotes the irreducible character of  $S_n$  associated with the partition  $\lambda$ . Familiar examples of immanants include the determinant and permanent, which correspond to the alternating character  $\chi_{(1^n)}$  and the trivial character  $\chi_{(n)}$  respectively. The normalized immanant  $\bar{d}_\lambda(A)$  is defined by  $\bar{d}_\lambda(A) := d_\lambda(A)/\chi_\lambda(\text{id})$ , where  $\text{id}$  denotes the identity permutation in  $S_n$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices with vertex set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Its Laplacian matrix  $L(G) = (l_{ij})$  is defined by

$$l_{ij} = \begin{cases} \deg_G(v_i) & \text{if } i = j \\ -1 & \text{if } i \neq j, \text{ and } v_i \text{ and } v_j \text{ are adjacent} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on the set of trees with  $n$  vertices, which we denote by  $\mathcal{T}_n$ , as follows:

$$T_1 \sim T_2 \Leftrightarrow \bar{d}_\lambda(L(T_1)) = \bar{d}_\lambda(L(T_2)) \quad \forall \text{ immanants } d_\lambda.$$

A partial order  $\leq$  on the equivalence classes  $\mathcal{T}_n/\sim$  is defined by

$$T_1 \leq T_2 \Leftrightarrow \bar{d}_\lambda(L(T_1)) \leq \bar{d}_\lambda(L(T_2)) \quad \forall \text{ immanants } d_\lambda.$$

(Note that there exist nonisomorphic trees on  $n$  vertices whose Laplacian matrix share the same immanant values for all immanants - see for example [9] - and so the partial order  $\leq$  cannot be defined on  $\mathcal{T}_n$  itself.) In this project when we speak of a tree  $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ , we identify the tree  $T$  as a representative of the equivalence class of  $\mathcal{T}_n/\sim$  to which it belongs. If for two trees  $T$  and  $T'$ , we have  $T \leq T'$ , we say that tree  $T'$  *dominates*  $T$ .

It was shown in [9] that for a fixed positive integer  $n$ , we have the ordering

$$S(n) \leq T \leq P(n),$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Student

<sup>2</sup>Senior Lecturer

<sup>3</sup>Associate Professor

where  $S(n)$  and  $P(n)$  denote the star and path on  $n$  vertices respectively. In this project, we sharpen this result by proving that for all immanants  $d_\lambda$  other than those associated with the partitions  $\lambda = (1^n), (2, 1^{n-2})$ , we have the strict inequality

$$d_\lambda(L(S(n))) < d_\lambda(L(T)) < d_\lambda(L(P(n))). \quad (1)$$

The key steps in proving this relation are as follows:

For a tree  $T$ , we define  $a_T(j)$  to be the number of  $j$ -vertex orientations that can be assigned to the tree  $T$ . It is shown that we can express  $d_\lambda(L(T))$  in terms of the  $a_T(j)$ 's as follows:

$$d_\lambda(L(T)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} a_T(i) \sum_{j=0}^i \chi_\lambda(j) \binom{i}{j}. \quad (2)$$

The next important result is that the binomial sums of characters

$$\sum_{j=0}^i \chi_\lambda(j) \binom{i}{j} \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

whenever  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  and  $n \geq 2i$ . An important corollary of this result is:

Let  $\chi_\lambda$  be an irreducible character of  $S_m$ , where  $m \geq 4$ . Then

$$\chi_\lambda(0) + 2\chi_\lambda(1) + \chi_\lambda(2) \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

equality holding if and only if  $\lambda = (1^m)$  or  $(2, 1^{m-2})$ .

Therefore, if for two trees  $T$  and  $T'$  on  $n$  vertices, we have  $a_T(j) \leq a_{T'}(j)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , then  $T \leq T'$ .

To prove equation (1), it suffices from (2), (3) and (4) to prove that  $a_{S(n)}(j) \leq a_T(j) \leq a_{P(n)}(j)$  for all  $j$ , and  $a_{S(n)}(2) < a_T(2) < a_{P(n)}(2)$ . The result for stars  $S(n)$  is easy since  $S(n)$  has no  $j$ -vertex orientations for all  $j \geq 2$ , and a tree  $T$  has no 2-vertex orientations if and only if  $T = S(n)$ . The result for paths  $P(n)$  follows by using these results:

1. If, in a tree  $T$ , there exist paths  $\{w, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$  and  $\{w, v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \dots, v_n\}$  for some  $1 \leq r < n$  such that  $\deg(v_i) \leq 2$  for every  $i$  and  $\deg(v_r) = \deg(v_n) = 1$ , let us call the tree  $T'$  obtained from  $T$  by replacing the edge  $\{w, v_{r+1}\}$  with the edge  $\{v_r, v_{r+1}\}$  a *majorant* of  $T$ . Then  $a_T(j) \leq a_{T'}(j)$  for all  $j$  and  $a_T(2) < a_{T'}(2)$ .
2. Furthermore, every tree  $T$  on  $n$  vertices ( $n \geq 4$ ) that is not isomorphic to the path has a majorant.

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be positive integers with  $p \leq q$ . Take the star  $S(p+1)$  and append  $q-1$  leaves to one of the end-vertices. Call this a *double star* and denote it by  $D(p, q)$ . We have the following result:

For positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  ( $p \leq q$ ) satisfying  $p + q = n$ , the double stars  $D(p, q)$  are linearly ordered with respect to the ordering  $\leq$ . Furthermore,

$$D(1, n - 1) \leq D(2, n - 2) \leq D(3, n - 3) \leq \dots \leq D(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor).$$

Let  $p, q$  and  $r$  be positive integers with  $p \leq q$ . Take the path  $P(r + 2)$  and append  $p - 1$  leaves to one of the end-vertices, and  $q - 1$  leaves to the other. Call this an  $r$ -broom and denote it by  $B(r; p, q)$ . We have the following result:

For positive integers  $p$  and  $q$  ( $p \leq q$ ) satisfying  $p + q + 1 = n$ , the 1-brooms  $B(1; p, q)$  are linearly ordered with respect to the ordering  $\leq$ . Furthermore,

$$B(1; 1, n - 2) \leq B(1; 2, n - 3) \leq B(1; 3, n - 4) \leq \dots \leq B(1; \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor).$$

## References

- [1] O. Chan and T. K. Lam, Immanant Inequalities for Laplacians of Trees , *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 21(1):129-144 (1999).
- [2] O. Chan and T. K. Lam, Hook Immanantal Inequalities for Trees Explained, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 273:119-131 (1998).
- [3] O. Chan and T. K. Lam, Hook Immanantal Inequalities for Laplacians of Trees, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 261:23-47 (1997).
- [4] O. Chan, T. K. Lam and R. Merris, Wiener Number as an Immanant of the Laplacian of Molecular Graphs, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, 37(4):762-765 (1997).
- [5] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Springer-Verlag (1991).
- [6] P. Heyfron, Immanant Dominance Orderings for Hook Partitions, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 24(1):65-78 (1988).
- [7] R. Merris, The Second Immanantal Polynomial and the Centroid of a Graph, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 7:484-497 (1986).
- [8] F. D. Murnaghan, *The Theory of Group Representations*, Dover Publications (1963).
- [9] H. K. Tang, *Generalized Matrix Functions*, Honours Thesis, Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore (1995).